Questions for public consultation on ancillary risk indicators in the Global Monitoring Exercise

Thank you for your interest in the public consultation on ancillary risk indicators in the Global Monitoring Exercise. The Consultation Tool is available on the IAIS website.

|  |
| --- |
| **Please do not submit this document to the IAIS. All responses to the Consultation Document must be made via the** [**Consultation Tool**](https://survey.iaisweb.org/378211?lang=en) **to enable those responses to be considered.** |

Consultation questions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Questions for stakeholders | |
| **Question 1** | Do you have any views on the proposed definitions of the metrics on investments by credit rating (CRE.1.a and CRE.1.b)? If you would recommend changes, please provide revised definitions and technical specifications. |
| **Question 2** | Do you have any views on the proposed definitions of the metrics on credit risk scenario analysis (CRE.2.a, CRE.2.b and CRE.2.c)? If you would recommend changes, please provide revised definitions and technical specifications. |
| **Question 3** | Do you have any views on the proposed default and recovery rates in the credit risk scenario analysis (Table 3)? If you would recommend changes, please provide the data sources. |
| **Question 4** | Is the current level of granularity of collected data in the IIM template (asset class, credit rating) adequate to monitor an insurers’ credit risk exposure, taking into account the reporting burden of any potential increased granularity? |
| **Question 5** | Do you have any other comments on the proposed ancillary indicator on credit risk? |
| **Question 6** | Do you have any views on the proposed definitions of the metrics on relative usage of derivatives (DER.1.a, DER.1.b, DER.1.c, DER.1.d and DER.1.e)? If you would recommend changes, please provide revised definitions and technical specifications. |
| **Question 7** | Do you have any views on the proposed definition of the metric on PFE of derivatives (DER.2.a)? If you would recommend changes, please provide a revised definition and technical specifications. |
| **Question 8** | Do you have any views on the proposed definition of the metric on materiality of OTC derivatives (DER.3.a)? If you would recommend changes, please provide a revised definition and technical specifications. |
| **Question 9** | Do you have any views on the proposed definitions of the metrics on margin and collateral calls (DER.4.a, DER.4.b and DER.4.c)? If you would recommend changes, please provide revised definitions and technical specifications. |
| **Question 10** | Do you have any views on the proposed definition of the metric on central clearing (DER.5.a)? If you would recommend changes, please provide a revised definition and technical specifications. |
| **Question 11** | Do you have any views on the proposed definition of the metric on hedging (DER.6.a)? If you would recommend changes, please provide a revised definition and technical specifications. |
| **Question 12** | Do you have any other comments on the proposed ancillary indicator on derivatives? |
| **Question 13** | Do you have any views on the proposed definitions of the metrics on reliance on reinsurance (RE.1.a and RE.1.b)? If you would recommend changes, please provide revised definitions and technical specifications. |
| **Question 14** | Do you have any views on the proposed definition of the metric on cross-border life reinsurance (RE.2.a)? If you would recommend changes, please provide a revised definition and technical specifications. |
| **Question 15** | Do you have any views on the proposed definition of the metrics on (re)insurers’ market share (RE.3.a and RE.3.b)? If you would recommend changes, please provide revised definitions and technical specifications. |
| **Question 16** | Do you have any additional suggestions for metrics to capture the potential build-up of any systemic risk in the reinsurance sector? |
| **Question 17** | Do you have any other comments on the ancillary indicator on reinsurance? |
| **Question 18** | Do you have any views on the proposed definitions of the metrics on mark-to-model assets (MTM.1.a, MTM.1.b and MTM.1.c)? If you would recommend changes, please provide revised definitions and technical specifications. |
| **Question 19** | Would it be feasible to report data on the new proposed item on mortgages that are not included in Level 3 assets held at fair value or in assets which would be classified as Level 3 if they were held at fair value (eg mortgages held as Level 2 assets)? If not, please describe any challenges and how to potentially overcome these. |
| **Question 20** | Do you have any other comments on the proposed ancillary indicator on mark-to-model assets? |
| **Question 21** | Do you have any views on the proposed methodology for the correlation adjustment for the ILR? If you propose any changes, please provide the revised methodology and technical specifications. |
| **Question 22** | Do you have any views on amending the correlation factor for ILR liquidity needs between life stress and P&C stress? Please provide evidence to support your proposals. |
| **Question 23** | Do you have any views on amending any other correlation factors for ILR liquidity needs? If so, which correlation factor(s) do you suggest changing, and why? Please provide evidence to support your proposals. |
| **Question 24** | Do you have any other comments on the correlation adjustment for the ILR? |
| **Question 25** | Do you have any other feedback on the development of ancillary risk indicators in the GME? |