



2018 Field Testing Questionnaire for the May 2018 Quantitative Data Collection Exercise of the Field Testing Project

("the Questionnaire")

This is an IAIS working document used for 2018 Field Testing purposes. It does not purport to represent or prejudge the final proposals of the IAIS on ICS.

This document must be read in conjunction with the associated 2018 Field Testing Technical Specifications, Templates and yield curve documentation to provide an accurate and up-to-date understanding of the Field Testing exercise.



Contents

1	Int	roduction	4
	1.1	Preamble	4
	1.2	Instructions	4
2	lde	entification	5
3	Ва	seline Current Regulatory Reporting	6
4	ВС	CR and ICS Balance Sheet	10
5	Ma	arket Adjusted Valuation (MAV or Market Adjusted)	18
	5.1	MAV: Valuation of Assets	18
	5.2	MAV: Yield Curves and Bucketing	31
6	GA	AAP with Adjustments (GAAP Plus)	46
7	Re	conciliations	65
	7.1	Reconciliations: Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV	65
	7.2	Reconciliations: Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV – Risk-Free Rate	67
	7.3	Reconciliations: Non-Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV	69
8	Ca	pital Resources	72
9	Со	nsistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate	80
	9.1	Cost of Capital MOCE (C-MOCE)	80
	9.2	Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE)	82
1	0 Th	e ICS Standard Method	84
	10.1	Look-through	84
	10.2	Risk mitigation	86
	10.3	Geographical segmentation	91
1	1 Ins	surance risks	93
	11.1	Grouping of Policies for Life Risks	93
	11.2	Mortality Risk	94
	11.3	Longevity Risk	97
	11.4	Morbidity and Disability Risk	100
	11.5	Lapse Risk	103
	11.6	Expense Risk	106
	11.7	Premium Risk and Claims Reserve Risk	109



1	11.8	Catastrophe Risk	113
	11.8	3.1 Catastrophe risk: Non-Life Exposures	113
	11.8	3.2 Catastrophe risk: Life Exposures	119
12	Mar	ket risks	121
1	12.1	Interest Rate risk	121
1	12.2	Non-default spread risk	125
1	12.3	Equity risk	130
1	12.4	Real Estate risk	133
1	12.5	Currency risk	136
1	12.6	Asset Concentration risk	139
13	Cre	dit risk	142
14	Оре	erational risk	146
15	ICS	Risk Charges	148
16	Agg	regation / Diversification of ICS Risk Charges	149
17	ICS	Tax Treatment	150
18	Bas	eline Jurisdictional Legal-Entity Capital Requirements	156
19	Bas	eline Supplementary Internal Model Data	158
20	Sco	pe of Group	163
21	Sup	plementary Data Collection (Segmentation of Investments)	170
22	Sup	plementary Data Collection (Internal Models)	176
2	22.1	Model coverage - Scope of application of internal economic capital model(s)	176
2	22.2	Uses and purposes of internal economic capital model(s)	179
2	22.3	Model governance	180
2	22.4	Model validation	181
2	22.5	Aggregation/diversification	183
2	22.6	Technical specifications of the internal economic capital model(s) used	184
2	22.7	Any other comments	186
23	Sup	plementary data collection (Dynamic Hedging)	188



1 Introduction

1.1 Preamble

This Questionnaire focuses on supplementary information in relation to quantitative data provided in the 2018 Field Testing Template of the May 2018 Quantitative Data Collection Exercise of the Field Testing Project, and is due **31 August 2018**.

1.2 Instructions

Please:

- Provide your answers ONLY in the spaces in the table provided for responses to each question.
- **DO NOT** alter the structure of this document (e.g. do not add additional rows or boxes for your answers, unless they are within the space already provided).
- DO NOT enter any information in blank spaces between questions or sections. The structure provided in this document is used to collate responses across Volunteer Groups. Any information entered outside this structure may result in that information being discarded during collation of the responses.

If this results in any problems with providing a response to any question in this Questionnaire, please email IAIS Field Testing@bis.org with details of the problem.

Please refer to the 2018 Field Testing Technical Specifications for instructions on submitting this Questionnaire. In particular please adhere to the deadlines and the file naming convention provided therein.



2 Identification

1	Please provide the	name of your Volunteer Group below:
	Volunteer Group	name
	Insert text	
2		date of submission of this questionnaire (dd/mm/yyyy). If an earlier questionnaire has been updated please indicate a new date here:
	Date of this subr	nission
	Insert text	
3		name of the contact persons for queries about the responses to this uding email address and telephone number.
	Primary Contact	Information
	Name:	Insert text
	Email:	Insert text
	Phone:	Insert text
	Backup Contact	Information
	Name:	Insert text
	Email:	Insert text
	Phone:	Insert text



3 Baseline Current Regulatory Reporting

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.Baseline
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	5. Baseline Current Regulatory Reporting

4	Were any material simplifications and/or divergences from sectorial rules made when calculating the sectoral capital requirements for regulated banking activities in FT18.Baseline?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable					
If YES, please describe these simplifications and/or divergences from sectoral rules. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality direction of impact.						
	Item name	Description and rationale				
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
5	Were any material (in aggregate) non-regulated banking-like activities reported in FT18.Baseline?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please describe those non-regulated banking-like activities. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
	Item name Description and rationale					
	Insert text Insert text					



	(Add additional rows as nec	essary)			
6	Were there any material simplifications or divergence from the rules of application when determining the leverage ratio and Basel III risk-weighted assets information for non-regulated banking-like activities in FT18.Baseline?				
	Provide your response by	placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES N	O Not Applicable			
If YES, please describe these simplifications or divergence from the rules of application determining the leverage ratio and Basel III risk-weighted assets information for non-reg banking-like activities. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of material direction of impact.					
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	Add additional rows as necessary)				
7	Were any material (in aggregate) other financial activities, particularly securities businesses or asset management businesses, within the scope of the group consolidation reported in FT18.Baseline?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please describe these other financial activities and the basis and amount of any capital requirements as reported for these other financial activities businesses.				
Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					



	Item name	Description and rationale	Basis and amount of capital requirements reported		
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert te	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)			
8				ite) unregulated business that is considered as insurance business	
	Provide your response b	by placing an 'x' in the releva	nt cell:		
	YES	NO Not App	licable		
If YES, please describe the unregulated business and the jurisdiction in which the busines operated. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality potential impact.					
	Item name	Description of unregulated business		Jurisdiction in which it operates	
	Insert text	Insert text		Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)			
9	In order for the IAIS to better understand the Baseline current regulatory reporting, please provide information on the impact of transitional measures applicable to the figures reported for the 'Insurance-related' 'Capital requirement' and 'Insurance-related' 'Qualifying capital resources'. Do those reported values take into account the effect of any transitional measures?				
	Provide your response to	by placing an 'x' in the releva	nt cell:		
	YES	NO Not App	licable		



	If YES, please quantify the impact by comparing these values to the values if no transitional measures were taken into account.			
Item name Description and rationale				
	Insert text Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
10	Do you have further com	nments regarding this section?		
	you consider relevant to	includes comment on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculation that the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material ns reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please specify the testing analysis.	ne item or issue and describe it and the rationale for its relevance to the field		
	Please describe in sumr potential impact.	nary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
	•			



4 BCR and ICS Balance Sheet

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	8 BCR and ICS Balance Sheet

11	IAIS defined segmentat according to the BCR se	Vere any material assumptions or simplifications applied (for any entity) in filling in the AIS defined segmentation in the table 'Detailed information on the insurance liabilities according to the BCR segmentation' in FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet and elsewhere in the Template where these segments are used?				
	Provide your response	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	any material assumptions or simplifications applied (for any entity) ned segmentation in the table 'Detailed information on the ording to the BCR segmentation' in FT18.BCR & ICS. Balance the Template where these segments are used. Please provide the ar assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the estimated impact options or simplifications relative to a more precise approach.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					
	Item name Description and rationale					
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
12	The approach taken for segmentation for the BCR has not changed from that used for 2017 Field Testing. If you participated in 2017 Field Testing, have you made any material changes in the most recent prior segmentation basis used to report your business this year?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					



	YES	NO	Not	Applicable
If YES, please describe the reclassifications made, the basis on which they vand the impact they have had. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessmen and direction of impact.			·	
	Item name Description and rationale			e
	Insert text Insert text			
	(Add additional rov	vs as necessar	y)	
13	consolidation meth	nod for the GAA multiple jurisdic	AP Balance Sheettional GAAP me	a aggregation rather than full et has been used: does that method ethods for valuing portfolios of insurance)?
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO	Not	Applicable
	If YES, please provide the balance for insurance liabilities valued under each different jurisdictional GAAP method. It is assumed that the GAAP Plus approach used to adjudy balances to a current estimate would align with the jurisdictional GAAP. If this is not case please provide an explanation as well as any additional qualitative or quantitative details of the impact of these liabilities not having been restated to the primary or predominant jurisdictional GAAP basis specified above.			e GAAP Plus approach used to adjust e jurisdictional GAAP. If this is not the y additional qualitative or quantitative been restated to the primary or
Jurisdictional GAAP Plus approach used Amount Description and ratio		Description and rationale		
	Insert text			Insert text



	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
14	Were any material reclassifications made between reported general purpose audited financial statements (GAAP) and GAAP Plus in FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet (table 'Information on the balance sheet used for BCR and ICS purposes', Column 'Reclassification from GAAP')?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES	NO		Not Applicable		
	•			made between reported GAAP and GAAP points for reclassification:		
	adjusted amount were subject to audit and an assessment of the impact of these , any amounts that are adjusted due to ing or grossing of balances, differences in the at consolidation methods or rules.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					
	Item name	Item name Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
15	Were any material reclassifications made between reported GAAP and MAV in FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet (table 'Information on the balance sheet used for BCR and ICS purposes under current economic conditions', Column 'Reclassification from GAAP')? Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please describe the reclassifications made between reported GAAP and MAV. Please address at least the following points for reclassification:					



- The method used to calculate each adjusted amount.
- If the processes and systems used were subject to audit.
- Any simplifying assumptions used and an assessment of the impact of these simplifications.

Reclassifications could include, for example, any amounts that are adjusted due to changes in balance sheet presentation, netting or grossing of balances, differences in the scope of the group, or application of different consolidation methods or rules.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.

Item name	Description and rationale	
Insert text	Insert text	

(Add additional rows as necessary)

Were any adjustments made to values of invested assets originally recorded at cost made between values reported for GAAP and GAAP Plus in FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet (table 'Information on the balance sheet used for BCR and ICS purposes under current economic conditions', Column 'Reclassification from GAAP')?

Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:

YES

NC

Not Applicable

If YES, please describe the adjustments made between reported GAAP and GAAP Plus. Please address at least the following points for reclassification:

- The method used to calculate the adjustment to each cost value to fair value and whether these fair value amounts are based on market, pricing services, or internally modelled.
- If the processes and systems used were subject to audit.
- Any simplifying assumptions used and an assessment of the impact of these simplifications.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.

Item name	Description and rationale		
Insert text	Insert text		



	(Add additional rows as	s necessary)	
17	between values reporte	made to values of invested assets originally recorded at cost made of for GAAP and MAV in FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet (table ance sheet used for BCR and ICS purposes under current economic eclassification from GAAP')?	
	Provide your response	e by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	-	the adjustments made between reported GAAP and MAV. Please owing points for reclassification:	
	 The method used to calculate the adjustment to each cost value to fair value and whether these fair value amounts are based on market, pricing services, or internally modelled. If the processes and systems used were subject to audit. Any simplifying assumptions used and an assessment of the impact of these simplifications. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of material and direction of impact. 		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	s necessary)	
18	Were any loans to policyholders reported in the table 'Information on the balance sheet used for BCR and ICS purposes under current economic conditions' in FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet?		
	Provide your response	e by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	•	the approach(es) taken to the valuation of these policy loans, any r simplifications made, the rationale supporting your assumptions	



	or simplifications, and indicate the estimated impact of making those assumptions or simplifications relative to a more precise approach.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additio	nal rows as necessary)			
19	balance she	unt been reported in FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet, table 'Information on the et used for BCR and ICS purposes under current economic conditions', Rowents already included in other equity items"?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please provide a description of what was included in the amount in sufficient detail to understand why the offset was necessary.				
	Amount	Description			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additio	nal rows as necessary)			
20		unt been reported in the "Other" line in the equity section of the worksheet LCS Balance Sheet?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable			



	If YES, please provide additional information on the amount so that the IAIS can determine whether that amount should be included within ICS capital resources.							
	Amount	unt Description						
	Insert text							
	(Add additional rows as	s necessary)						
21	Do the columns for "Ot Balance sheet include	her than Related to insurance activities" on FT18.BCR&ICS any pension business?						
	Provide your respons	e by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:						
	YES	NO Not Applicable						
	If YES, please address	the following points:						
	 is any capital requirement recorded for this business on the Baseline shee For pension business deemed "Other than Related to insurance activities, asset management of that pension business recorded either 'Within Insura Activities' on the balance sheet or in the Baseline 'Information on Assets u Management'? Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of mand direction of impact. 							
	Item name	Description and rationale						
	Insert text	Insert text						
	(Add additional rows as	s necessary)						
22	Do you have further co	mments regarding this section?						
	calculations that you co	s includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or onsider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the erial impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or						



Provide your res	sponse by placing an 'x	c' in the relevant cell:
YES	NO	Not Applicable
Technical Specific		including a reference to the Field Testing nd provide a description as well as rationale for it
Dloggo dosgriba i	n eummany but with ei	efficient detail to allow on accommon of materials
and potential impa	• •	ufficient detail to allow an assessment of material
	• •	Description and rationale
and potential impa	act.	
Item name	Reference	
Item name	Reference	



5 Market Adjusted Valuation (MAV or Market Adjusted)

5.1 MAV: Valuation of Assets

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.Valuation assets FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	6 Market Adjusted Valuation (MAV) Approach

23	Were any sections of the MAV Technical Specifications not followed when valuing assets and liabilities (including insurance liabilities)?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable	
	<u> </u>	e part(s) of the Technical Specifications which were not followed and the out to perform the calculation as specified.	
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
24	Is there any section of the MAV Technical Specifications where you believe more detailed specifications would be helpful to minimise inconsistencies in understanding/interpretation?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		



	If YES, please identify the section(s) of the Technical Specifications and describe the issues which you believe would need to be addressed.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality.					ent detail to allow an assessment of materiality.
	Item name Description and rationale					
	Inse	ert text				
	(Add	additional rows	s as r	necessary)		
25	incor	• •				ty reported in the MAV Balance Sheet that may be nould the IAIS define a new adjustment for that balance
	Pro	vide your respo	nse	by placing an '›	c' in t	he relevant cell:
		YES		NO		Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe each asset or liability reported in the MAV Balance Sheet that may be inconsistently valued across GAAPs, including an assessment of the materiality of such inconsistencies, and provide proposals for how such inconsistencies may be managed and addressed. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				ng an assessment of the materiality of such ow such inconsistencies may be managed and	
	Iten	n name		Description a	and r	ationale
	Inse	ert text		Insert text		
	·	additional rows				
26		-				tions applied for the purposes of determining MAV reinsurance assets in FT18.BCR & ICS Balance sheet?
	Pro	vide your respo	nse	by placing an 'x	c' in t	he relevant cell:
		YES		NO		Not Applicable



	·	ach material simplification or adjustment made, including an assessment of ationale for making the assumption or simplification.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Examples of areas which	could be covered:			
	 Recognition criteria Contract boundaria Treatment of expe Management action Valuation of option 	es nses ns			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
27	Are there any (re)insurance	e contracts for which either of the following are true?			
	in part, by future • Ceded reinsura	which (1) meet the MAV recognition criteria and (2) are covered, at least re ceded reinsurance contracts that do not meet the recognition criteria. ance contracts which (1) meet the MAV recognition criteria and (2) cover, future direct policies that do not meet the recognition criteria.			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe the (re)insurance products or contracts in the product lines for which this occurred. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materia and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
28	Was any materially negative current estimate calculated for any product line in any IAIS defined segment (even if the total amount of insurance liabilities for the entire segment was not negative)?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe the insurance products or contracts in the product lines for which negative current estimates were obtained, explain why these negative current estimates are reasonable outcomes, and describe how these negative current estimates were treated when results were aggregated into field testing segments.			
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
		e provide an assessment of the extent to which such outcomes are a result teria, which allows for recognition of future profits in the current estimate		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
29	Are there any lines of business for which options and/or guarantees are material in determining current estimates in any IAIS defined segment?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	for each line of business in following points for each re	ow you valued options and/or guarantees in determining current estimates in each affected Field Testing segment. Please address at least the elevant line of business: and /or guarantee in your insurance or reinsurance obligations		
	- The type of option	and for guarantee in your insurance or remourance obligations		



The materiality of the valuation of the options and/or guarantees relative to the valuation of the line of business The methodology used to determine the valuation of the option and/or guarantees (Monte Carlo simulation approach, closed form stochastic approach, deterministic approach; or an Other approach (please explain)) If a deterministic approach was used, how the probability that the option will gain in intrinsic value over time was addressed How any practical problems in the valuation of options and/or guarantees were addressed, including approximations made (and their impact) The extent to which future expected policyholder behaviour was taken into account when valuing your options and guarantees, including what that expected future behaviour was assumed to be Any material changes in the valuation of options and/or guarantees since the most recent field testing exercise you participated in Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact. IAIS defined segment Description of valuation of options and/or guarantees Insert text Insert text (Add additional rows as necessary) Were there any IAIS defined segments for which material future assumed management actions were taken into account in the calculation of the current estimates with respect to Section 6.3.13 (MAV Management Actions of the Technical Specifications)? Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please describe the future assumed management actions taken into account in the calculation of the current estimates for any product line in any field testing segment. Please address at least the following points for each relevant line of business: The management actions assumed when calculating current estimate liabilities The extent to which the application of future assumed management actions has reduced the total current estimate that would otherwise be derived

The extent to which the use of management actions have reduced the current estimate corresponding future discretionary benefits or options and guarantees that would otherwise be derived. That is, changes in current estimates attributable to assumed management

30



	being triggered by The extent to whice actions have beneeus and testing exercise your arrows on whice the string exercise on whice the string exercise of the basis on whice the string exercise by the string exercise of the basis on whice the string exercise by the string ex	to normal reductions in bonuses following adverse experience, such as the solvency of the company and / or fund being seriously threatened the future assumed policyholder behaviours in response to management taken into account ges in future assumed management actions since the most recent field ou participated in the allowance was made (written policy, established practice, etc.) ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	IAIS defined segment	Description of valuation of options and/or guarantees
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
31	-	ifferences in the methods and/or assumptions used to calculate the compared to those used to calculate current estimates for any material line S defined segment?
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	reinsurance recoverables methods/assumptions, and	e differences between the methods/assumptions used to calculate and current estimates, the rationale for using different d the impact of not using the methods/assumptions used for calculating outing reinsurance recoverables for each relevant line of business in each ment.
		ner there is any material change in approach compared to the most recent participated in, the rationale for those changes, and the impact of those
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	IAIS defined segment	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
32	This question refers to the valuation of contingent liabilities, which should be based on the Volunteer Group's reported IFRS or GAAP valuations. Most contingent liabilities are disclosed in the notes to financial statements because estimates are not reliable and/or the IFRS/GAAP definition of a liability to be reported on the balance sheet is not met. Were any material contingent liabilities not disclosed in the balance sheet due to local accounting rules?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe the material contingent liabilities not included in the balance sheet, and the possible size of those liabilities. Please also explain if there are any material changes in local accounting rules regarding contingent liabilities since the most recent field testing exercise you participated in, and the impact of those changes.				
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
33	Were overhead expenses material when calculating any current estimates for IAIS defined segments?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	-	ow overhead administrative expenses are taken into account when imates. Please address at least the following points for each relevant line			



	 The proportion (both in currency and percentage terms) of your projected overhead expenses that has been taken into account in current estimates The methodology used for the allocation of overhead expenses between administrative expenses included in current estimates and other expenses such as acquisition expenses Any material changes in treatment of overhead expenses since the most recent field testing exercise you participated in Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact. 				
	IAIS defined segment	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
34	Did you encounter practic current estimates for IAIS	al difficulties in the calculation of overhead expenses to be included in defined segments?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered and provide proposals for how they could be addressed in order to make the calculation more practical. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and				
	direction of impact.	Description and retionals			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)			
35	Were any defined benefit Sheet worksheet?	(DB) pension plan surplus assets included in the FT18.BCR&ICS Balance			
	Provide your response to	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			



		YES		NO		Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe the source and valuation methods used to calculate any defined benefit pension plan surplus assets included in your balance sheet. Please also explain any material changes in treatment of these valuation methods since the most fold to the standard					
	recent field testing exercise you participated in. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact. Item name Description and rationale					
						ationale
	Ins	ert text		Insert text		
	(Ada	l additional rows	as n	ecessary)		
36	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied for the purposes of providing the "Breakdown of the Present Value of Future Cashflows" for non-life premium liabilities?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please describe material assumptions or simplifications, provide the rationale supporting your assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your assumptions or simplifications. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact. Item name Description and rationale					
						ationale
	Ins	ert text		Insert text		
	(Ada	l additional rows	as n	ecessary)		



37	Is there any additional information that you think would be valuable for understanding the "Breakdown of the Present Value of Future Cashflows" for non-life premium liabilities?				
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable			
If YES, please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of and direction of impact. Examples of items that could benefit from further explanation incare not limited to):					
		ve values ng expenses to the columns provided, ments" made in the last column.			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
38	Were either of the possible simplifications for non-life premium liabilities applied?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please indicate which simplification was used and provide details on the difficulties faced the calculation of non-life premium liabilities. Provide details on alternative simplifications (include formulas) which the IAIS could consider (references/ links to external sources are also welcome litem name. Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text			



	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
39	Compared to the most recent field testing exercise you participated in, have you made any material changes in the approaches or methodologies used to value assets and liabilities in the MAV Balance Sheet?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe these changes in approach or methodology, provide the rationale supporting your changes, and indicate the impact of making these changes on reported outcomes.				
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
40	This question refers to valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions.				
	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied for the purposes of entering insurance liabilities by segment for each discounting approach in the valuation of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions in FT18.Valuation liabilities?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	-	aterial assumptions or simplifications, provide the rationale supporting ifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your assumptions or			
	Additionally, if material ass impact:	sumptions or simplifications were applied, are they likely to materially			
	<u> </u>	veen some approaches for some insurance segments or products? If so, segments or products and the extent of the impact.			



	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows a	as necessary)		
41	This question refers to stressed economic co	o valuation of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and nditions.		
	valuation of insurance	ficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the tables on oilities as well as valuation of assets, under current economic conditions omic conditions, on FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet and FT18.Valuation		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	YES NO Not Applicable YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of opting your resolutions.		
	of these difficulties, pr			
	Additionally, if similar data is requested in future field testing exercises how could the structure of the data request be improved?			
	Please describe in sur direction of impact.	mmary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name Description and rationale			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Item name Insert text	Insert text		



42	Do you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?	
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to t testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality an potential impact.				
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



5.2 MAV: Yield Curves and Bucketing

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.Valuation Assets
	FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
	FT18.Insurance portfolios
	FT18.Model portfolio
	FT18 Yield Curves.xlsx
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	6.3.15 and 6.4

43	Do you have evidence supporting a different specification for any component of the IAIS' methodology to determining base yield curves for any currency (e.g. instrument chosen for the base curve, selection of the observed term, length of the extrapolation period, level of LTFR)?					
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in	the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please identify which components are affected for each currencies and provide the evidence supporting comments. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					
	Item name Description and rationale					
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
44	Did you apply the IAIS base yield curve methodology to any additional currency beyond the 35 for which IAIS published data?					
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in	the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable					



	If YES, please describe any difficulties which you faced in that process. Please describe in summary.				
	Item name Description and rationale				
Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
45	1	ing of insurance liabilities (Three-Bucket Approach, Revised Blended, and y particular difficulties in allocating the liabilities to buckets?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe the particular difficulties in applying the criteria for each of the buckets, explain how you addressed these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your approach, and indicate the impact of adopting your approach. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
46	Are the criteria proposed for the different buckets (Three-Bucket Approach and Revised Blended) sufficiently clear?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			



	If NO, please describe the elements regarding which you believe additional clarity is necessary.				
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
47	_	10% threshold included in criterion c) (Top Bucket and Middle Bucket) to, material impact on the scope of business that could be included in those			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please provide an assessment of materiality of the portfolios affected in each bucket, as well as a description of the key features of the business lines. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	al rows as necessary)			
48	Would the change of the 5% threshold included in criterion e) (Middle Bucket) to, for example, 10%, have a material impact on the scope of business that could be included in that bucket?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			



	If YES, please provide an assessment of materiality of the portfolios affected, as well as a description of the key features of the business lines.						
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.						
	Iten	n name		Description a	and r	ationale	
Insert text Insert text							
	(Add	additional rows	s as n	ecessary)			
49	Would the extension of the requirement to match until after the LOT in criterion c) (Middle Bucket and Top Bucket) have a material impact on the scope of business that could be included in those buckets?						
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please provide an assessment of materiality of the portfolios affected, as well as a description of the key features of the business lines. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact (in particular, provide separate feedback for the Middle and Top Bucket).						
	Iten	n name		Description a	and r	ationale	
	Insert text Insert text						
	(Add	additional rows	s as n	ecessary)			
50		•				idually modified, would have a material impact be included in either the Top or the Middle Bucket?	
	Pro	vide your respo	nse l	oy placing an 'x	' in t	he relevant cell:	
		YES		NO		Not Applicable	



	portfolios affected, as well	S, please identify the criterion in question and provide an assessment of materiality of the blios affected, as well as a description of the key features of the business lines.						
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.							
	Item name	Description and rationale						
	Insert text Insert text							
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)						
51	When applying bucketing of liabilities, are there insurance liabilities that fulfil the criteria for the Top or Middle Bucket, but that were reported in the General Bucket due to materiality or as a simplification?							
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:							
	YES	NO Not Applicable						
	If YES, please describe the insurance product and explain the rationale for opting to not report these liabilities in the Top or Middle Bucket.							
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.							
	Item name	Description and rationale						
	Insert text	Insert text						
	(Add additional rows as necessary)							
52	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied in the valuation of insurance liabilities allocated to the Top Bucket?							
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:							



		YES		NO		Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions and simplifications applied, provide the rationale supporting your assumptions and simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments.								
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.								
	Item name Description and rationale								
	Insert text Insert te					xt			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)								
53	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied in the valuation of insurance liabilities allocated to the Middle Bucket?								
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:								
	YES NO Not Applicable								
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions and simplifications applied, provide the rationale supporting your assumptions and simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.								
	Iter	n name		Description a	Description and rationale				
	Insert text			Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)								
54	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied in the valuation of insurance liabilities allocated to the General Bucket?								



	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	•	material assumptions and simplifications applied, provide the rationale ons and simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your
	-	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
55	Were any material assumpusing the risk-free rate?	otions or simplifications applied in the valuation of insurance liabilities
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	-	material assumptions and simplifications applied, provide the rationale ons and simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)



56	Regarding the Top Bucket data to determine the spre	, were any particular difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary ad on own assets?
	YES	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable I material difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your
	-	Ities, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
57		ket, were any particular difficulties encountered in providing the data to ed spreads in the worksheet FT18.Valuation assets?
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	into the two tables on dete	material difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data to enterermination of the risk corrected spread FT18.Valuation assets, your lties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the solutions.
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
58	This question refers to the Bucket.	shared currency basis risk mitigation mechanism under the General
	Were any practical difficult	ies encountered in the application of the mechanism?
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe the mechanism which could ac	e difficulties encountered and suggest potential changes to the ddress them.
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
59	-	foreign assets basis risk mitigation mechanism under the General Bucket. ies encountered in the application of the mechanism?
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
		NO Not Applicable
	mechanism which could ac	e difficulties encountered and suggest potential changes to the ddress them. by hut with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	direction of impact.	
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text



	(Add	additional rows	as r	necessary)				1
60	Gene	eral Bucket, wer 1) Eliminating t 2) Allowing 100	re mo he 5º 1% of	odified by: % threshold for the additional	the a	application of the me		e e
	Pro	vide your respo	nse	by placing an '>	r' in t	he relevant cell:		
		YES		NO		Not Applicable		
	If YE	S, please provid	de th	e following info	rmat	ion.		
		al insurance liat ee-Bucket Appr	_		Gene	eral Bucket of the	[please specific the unit and currency of the amount]	
	Buc	ange in total inso ket of the Three posed		~		inder General ming the changes	[please specific the unit and currency of the amount]	
			[/	Please provide	any	other comments on	this cell]	
	* Th	e balance shou	ld be	consistent with	n the	amount reported in	the Field Testing Template.	
61	If the	specifications of	of the	e Middle Bucke	t wer	e modified by:		
	(currency whi	ch is	different from	that	of the liabilities (mea	y hedged assets denominated in a ning that foreign assets would inated in the currency of the	
	woul	d that materially	' imp	act the value o	f insu	ırance liabilities (und	der the Three-Bucket Approach)?	
	Pro	vide your respo	nse	by placing an '›	c' in t	he relevant cell:		
		YES		NO		Not Applicable		



	Total insurance liability balance under Middle Bucket of the Three-Bucket Approach *	[please specific the unit and currency of the amount]
	Change in Total insurance liability balance under Middle Bucket of the Three-Bucket Approach assuming the changes proposed	[please specific the unit and currency of the amount]
	[Please provide any other comments in t	this cell]
	* The balance should be consistent with the amount reported in	the Field Testing Template.
2	If the specifications of the Top Bucket were modified by:	
	(1) Excluding the possibility to consider spreads generated be currency which is different from that of the liabilities (mea contribute with the same spread as similar assets denom liability)	ning that foreign assets would
	would that materially impact the value of insurance liabilities (und	der the Three-Bucket Approach)?
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please provide the following information.	
	Total Insurance liability balance under Top Bucket of the Three-Bucket Approach *	[please specific the unit and currency of the amount]
	Change in Total Insurance liability balance under Top Bucket of the Three-Bucket Approach assuming the changes proposed	[please specific the unit and currency of the amount]



63	Were any difficulties enco	untered in performing the requested revaluation of assets and liabilities
	when using stressed spreadiscretionary benefits)?	ad scenarios (whether or not using the proposed simplification for future
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	assets and liabilities when	e difficulties encountered in performing the requested revaluation of using stressed spread scenarios, propose adjustments, provide the adjustments, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments.
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
64	Was OAG 2.0 applied to o	liscount a subset of insurance liabilities or all insurance liabilities?
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	-	e percentage of insurance liabilities that was discounted using OAG 2.0. ria were used to decide on the approach (OAG 2.0/Three-Bucket) to be insurance liabilities.
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
65		6 2.0 portfolios, are there mechanisms in place to ensure that the identified ed by the identified assets, and that these are managed separately from the Volunteer Group?
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
		escription about the mechanisms in place, and how they are maintained abilities. Please also describe existing controls in place.
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
66	In the calculation of the risk adjusted spread on currently held (own) assets, were internal ratings assigned to assets that are not externally rated?	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please provide a d	escription of the internal governance and/or regulatory controls in place.
		ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text



	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)	
67		isted asset and liability	d-income assets (e.g. equity, real estate) included in cash flow ratios, for the purpose of the calculation of
	Provide your response I	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:
	YES	NO N	ot Applicable
	If YES, please describe the rationale and evidence us		o project such future cash flows. Provide the proach.
	Please describe in summ direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and ratio	onale
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)	
68	Do you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?
	that you consider relevan	t to the field testing an	data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations alysis (that is, have the potential to have a material se data and/or its analysis).
	Provide your response l	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:
	YES	NO N	ot Applicable
		·	ng a reference to the Field Testing Technical ription as well as rationale for its relevance to the
	Please describe in summ potential impact.	ary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text	



(Add additional rows as i	necessary)	



6 GAAP with Adjustments (GAAP Plus)

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	7 GAAP with Adjustments valuation approach (GAAP Plus)

69	•	V and GAAP Plus are essentially the same (and hence you did not Plus balance sheet with explicit adjustments)?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable		
		e analyses performed to determine that the balances under GAAP Plus m MAV, and specify what the key factors in support of that determination		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
70	Were any GAAP Plus spe GAAP Plus Balance Shee	cifications not followed or simplifying assumptions made in calculating the t?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	· ·	or each GAAP Plus specification not followed and each simplifying pact of using such alternative treatment (from the specifications, or		



	simplification) particularly provide your rationale for t	the approach taken.
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
71	,	ternal inconsistencies or material adjustments that should be addressed in sdictional GAAP Plus approaches in the Technical Specifications?
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	supporting your changes,	ese internal inconsistencies or material adjustments, provide the rationale and indicate the impact of making these changes on reported outcomes. ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	
	ntom mamo	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Description and rationale Insert text
		·
		·
		Insert text
72	Insert text (Add additional rows as ne	Insert text ecessary) ats in the GAAP Plus 'Reclassification from GAAP' column in the
72	Insert text (Add additional rows as new points) Did you record any amount worksheet FT18.BCR&ICS	Insert text ecessary) ats in the GAAP Plus 'Reclassification from GAAP' column in the
72	Insert text (Add additional rows as new power sheet FT18.BCR&ICS) Provide your response by	Insert text ecessary) ats in the GAAP Plus 'Reclassification from GAAP' column in the S.Balance Sheet?



	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text
valuation of fixed term a	der U.S. GAAP Plus, as a result of applying the new U.S. GAAP rules that and limited payment contracts, did you use a current upper-medium gratty to discount future cash flows on U.S. insurance contract portfolio
Provide your response	e by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
YES	NO Not Applicable
1. Was a reference	e portfolio(s) used to develop this discount rate? Please describe
unobservable po 3. What other relevant 4. Please provide approach taken 5. Can you provide	eveloped, what assumptions and judgments were applied (e.g. how wantion, extrapolation and long term forward rate addressed)? vant/significant judgments were made? any additional context that you may deem important to understand the
unobservable po 3. What other relevant 4. Please provide approach taken 5. Can you provide	eveloped, what assumptions and judgments were applied (e.g. how want ortion, extrapolation and long term forward rate addressed)? want/significant judgments were made? any additional context that you may deem important to understand the ea comparison of this rate to the MAV Three-Bucket Approach? Please
unobservable po 3. What other relevance 4. Please provide approach taken 5. Can you provide highlight any sig	eveloped, what assumptions and judgments were applied (e.g. how wantion, extrapolation and long term forward rate addressed)? vant/significant judgments were made? any additional context that you may deem important to understand the e a comparison of this rate to the MAV Three-Bucket Approach? Please prificant differences in terms of overall balance sheet impacts.
unobservable po 3. What other relevance 4. Please provide approach taken 5. Can you provide highlight any significant and significant approach taken	eveloped, what assumptions and judgments were applied (e.g. how was prtion, extrapolation and long term forward rate addressed)? want/significant judgments were made? any additional context that you may deem important to understand the e a comparison of this rate to the MAV Three-Bucket Approach? Pleas unificant differences in terms of overall balance sheet impacts. Response
unobservable po 3. What other relevance 4. Please provide approach taken 5. Can you provide highlight any significant and significant approach taken begin{align*}	eveloped, what assumptions and judgments were applied (e.g. how we ortion, extrapolation and long term forward rate addressed)? want/significant judgments were made? any additional context that you may deem important to understand the e a comparison of this rate to the MAV Three-Bucket Approach? Pleas unificant differences in terms of overall balance sheet impacts. Response



	_	
74	term and limited paymen	r U.S. GAAP Plus as a result of applying the new U.S. GAAP rules for fixed it contracts, was a discount rate based on a current upper-medium grade and to any non-U.S. portfolios?
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe a derived and applied for r	any differences in how an upper-medium grade fixed-income rate was ion-U.S. portfolios.
	Please provide details in	your answer similar to the bullets in the question above.
	Question Reference	Response
	Insert text	Insert text
	1.	
	2.	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)
75	U.S. GAAP Plus – Under U.S. GAAP Plus, as a result of applying the new U.S. GAAP rules for fixed term and limited payment contracts, was an AOCI amount representing the effect of updating the discounting rate assumption estimated?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	-	how either the AOCI related to updating discounting assumptions was ifying assumption may have been used.
	Response	



	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
	If NO, please explain why an amount was not estimated.			
76	U.S. GAAP Plus – We to GAAP Plus?	re there any difficulties encountered when applying the new U.S. GAAP rules		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item Reference	Response		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(A del e dell'e e el es e e e			
	(Add additional rows as	s necessary)		
77		re there any difficulties encountered when applying a gross premium valuation investment contracts to a current estimate?		
	<u>I</u>			



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe below:
	Response
	Insert text
78	U.S. GAAP Plus – Were any variable term and/or investment contracts reported, where a book yield plus a reinvestment assumption was used to discount cash flows?
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe the method used to weight or blend the book yield with the reinvestment rate.
	Response
	Insert text
79	U.S. GAAP Plus – Were overhead expenses included in life current estimate liability calculations?



	Provide your response	by placing an 'x	' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO	Not Applicable
		J	
	If YES:		
	 Please describe how an estimate of overhead expenses was obtained and any significant judgments, assumptions or simplifications that were applied. In addition, it would be helpful if an assessment of materiality impact of this adjustment could be provided. If a breakout of the overhead expense that was included in current estimate liability is possible, please provide that amount in total and by segment. 		
	Question Reference	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		
	2.		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
80	U.S. GAAP Plus – Is a statements.	Policy Dividend (Obligation (PDO) reported in your U.S. GAAP financial
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x	' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO [Not Applicable
			balance was reported and explain how this balance may orting the GAAP Plus balance sheet.
	Response		
	Insert text		
81	Guarantees/Options und	der the new U.S.	xpedients or simplifying assumptions used to measure GAAP accounting rules? For example were the 2017 GAAP ntees measured under SOP 03-1?



Provid	e your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
YE	ES	NO Not Applicable	
		J	
If YES, ¡	olease describe	any practical expedients or simplifying assumptions that were used.	
Item R	eference	Response	
Insert t	ext	Insert text	
(Add ad	ditional rows as	necessary)	
		an estimate of the impact of adopting the new FASB credit loss model GAAP Plus Balance Sheet?	
Provid	e your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
YE	YES NO Not Applicable		
I I	If YES, please describe the method that was used to develop an estimate for the Estimation of Expected Credit Loss and provide a breakout of the impact.		
Respon	Response		
Insert t	ext		
(Add ad	ditional rows as	necessary)	
	IFRS GAAP Plus (Life Liabilities) – Do you expect that there will be significant differences in the way that future cash flows are estimated between IFRS 17 and MAV?		
Provid	e your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	



	YES	NO	Not Applicable
	for each impacted prContract BoxOverhead ex	roduct segment. Exa undaries spenses	these differences and if possible a sense of the materiality amples might include: timate fulfillment cash flows
	(Add additional rows	s as necessary)	
	Item Reference	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	
84	significant difference	es in the way that fur FRS 17 and MAV?	or Remaining Coverage)— Do you expect that there will be ture non-life liability for remaining coverage cash flows are Please indicate in your response whether (or not) you are
	Provide your respo	nse by placing an 'x	x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO	Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe the driver(s) of these differences and if possible a sense of the materiality for each impacted product segment. Examples might include: Contract boundaries Overhead expenses Level of aggregation used to estimate fulfillment cash flows		
	Item Reference	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
85	IFRS GAAP Plus (Non-Life Claims) – Do you expect that there will be significant differences in the way future non-life claim cash flows are estimated between IFRS 17 assuming similar treatment under GAAP Plus and MAV?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe the driver(s) of these differences and if possible a sense of the materiality for each impacted product segment. Examples might include: Contract boundaries Overhead expenses Level of aggregation used to estimate fulfillment cash flows		
	Item Reference Response		
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
86	IFRS GAAP Plus – Are purposes of implementing	you developing a methodology for calculating a discount rate or curve for ng IFRS 17?	



YES	NO Not Applicable
If YES, Please describe	e your current thinking on this methodology including:
Will you be using how they might	ng multiple curves/rates? If so please describe how these will be applied
, ,	diller. Blying a top down or bottom up method to develop a discount rate/curve
 If top down, desimplicit in the far as well as other 	scribe how the yield curve that reflects the current market rates of returnal value measurement of a reference portfolio of assets may be determed relevant considerations requiring judgments (e.g. what may be used a colio, how a risk premium and expected default may be calculated and
4. If bottom up, de	escribe relevant considerations requiring judgement such as how a liqui be calculated and how the risk-free rate/curve may be constructed.
•	ered to be the observable period (last liquid point)?
•	o use a spot rate or a forward rate for the ultimate rate? How will that ra
determined?	
	nin to anni. O
7. When will it beg	• • • •
7. When will it beg8. Describe the te	chnique that will be used, if any, to extrapolate between the last liquid
 When will it beg Describe the te and the ultimate 	gin to apply? chnique that will be used, if any, to extrapolate between the last liquid per discount rate. How might the discount curve construction differ by curther adjustments that may be made.
7. When will it beg8. Describe the teand the ultimate	chnique that will be used, if any, to extrapolate between the last liquid pediscount rate. How might the discount curve construction differ by cur
7. When will it beg8. Describe the te and the ultimate9. Describe any o	chnique that will be used, if any, to extrapolate between the last liquid pe discount rate. How might the discount curve construction differ by curther adjustments that may be made.
7. When will it beg8. Describe the te and the ultimate9. Describe any o Question Reference	chnique that will be used, if any, to extrapolate between the last liquid pe discount rate. How might the discount curve construction differ by curther adjustments that may be made. Response
 7. When will it beg 8. Describe the te and the ultimate 9. Describe any o Question Reference Insert text	chnique that will be used, if any, to extrapolate between the last liquid pe discount rate. How might the discount curve construction differ by curther adjustments that may be made. Response
 7. When will it beg 8. Describe the te and the ultimate 9. Describe any o Question Reference Insert text 1. 	chnique that will be used, if any, to extrapolate between the last liquid per discount rate. How might the discount curve construction differ by curther adjustments that may be made. Response Insert text
7. When will it beg 8. Describe the te and the ultimate 9. Describe any o Question Reference Insert text 1. 2. (Add additional rows a	chnique that will be used, if any, to extrapolate between the last liquid per discount rate. How might the discount curve construction differ by curther adjustments that may be made. Response Insert text Insert text
7. When will it beg 8. Describe the te and the ultimate 9. Describe any o Question Reference Insert text 1. 2. (Add additional rows a	chnique that will be used, if any, to extrapolate between the last liquid per discount rate. How might the discount curve construction differ by curther adjustments that may be made. Response Insert text s necessary) Indiscussion of what elements are being considered and the timeline for



87	IFRS GAAP Plus – Are of implementing IFRS 17	you developing a methodology for calculating a risk adjustment for purposes 7?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable	
		Tract Applicable	
	If YES, please describe your current thinking on this methodology including:		
	 What method will be used (e.g. VaR, TVaR, Cost of Capital)? What time period may be used and what is the rationale for choosing that time period? What risks are captured in your non-financial risks? What level of aggregation may be used? 		
		ance be applied or addressed?	
	7. How will you de	o incorporate a diversification effect, if so please describe? termine your confidence level to develop a risk adjustment and what may	
	that level be? 8. How might the r Field Testing?	isk adjustment differ from the fixed cost of capital MOCE defined in the 2018	
	9. For non-life con	tracts, note whether or not you are using the Premium Allocation Approach sk adjustment for claims liabilities is being calculated.	
	10. If applicable, ho jurisdiction (e.g.	w might the risk adjustment differ from the risk margin in the regime in your Solvency II)?	
	Question Reference	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		
	2.		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
88	IFRS GAAP Plus – Have you developed a policy for assigning or defining a portfolio for purposes of implementing IFRS 17?		
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, Please describe	this methodology including:	



	 Will the portfolio allocation under IFRS 17 be more or less granular than the ICS segments? Please describe likely material differences. Will the portfolio allocation under IFRS 17 differ from what you have currently under your jurisdiction's solvency regime? Please describe. 		
	Question Reference	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		
	2.		
	(Add additional rows as i	necessary)	
89	IFRS GAAP Plus – Will classified as Life for 2018	you be applying the Premium Allocation Approach (PAA) to any products 8 Field Testing?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe t	the products and the rationale for applying the PAA. Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as i	necessary)	
90	IFRS GAAP Plus – Will you be applying the Premium Allocation Approach (PAA) to any contracts with a coverage period greater than one year?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe t	the products and the rationale for applying the PAA.	
	Item name	Description and rationale	



	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)
91	IFRS GAAP Plus – Hav	e you developed a timeline for the implementation of IFRS 17 and IFRS 9?
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES:	
	perhaps with sor 2. Can you provide	allow for preparation of a balance sheet reflecting IFRS 17 and IFRS 9, me simplifying assumptions, for the field testing of GAAP Plus in 2019? any additional commentary that would explain possible limitations to nce sheet for 2019 Field Testing?
	Question Reference	Response
	Insert text	Insert text
	1.	
	2.	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)
	If NO, please explain the new accounting rules for	e issues that would prevent you from submitting a balance sheet reflecting 2019 Field Testing.



92	JGAAP Plus - For life insurance current estimates, did you apply a discount rate to cash flow projections equal to your book yield (portfolio asset return) plus a reinvestment assumption?					
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable				
	If NO, please describe the composition of the discount rate or curve that was used: 1. Book yield rate (portfolio asset return) or market curve					
	2. If book yield was u	sed, describe the reinvestment assumption if any				
		escribe the composition of the curve. Base curve, LOT, method of grading vard Rate, composition of the Long Term Forward Rate.				
	Question Reference	Response				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	1.					
	2.					
	3.					
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)				
93	JGAAP Plus - For non-life	e liabilities, did you discount cash flows using a market curve?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe the composition of discount curve that was used: 1. Base curve					
	2. LOT					
	3. Method of grading	to Long Term Forward Rate				
	4. Composition of Lo	ng Term Forward Rate				
	Question Reference	Response				



	Inse	ert text		Insert text				
	1.							
	2.							
	3.							
	4.							
	(Add	additional rows	s as r	necessary)				
	If No	, please describ	e yo	ur method of di	scou	nting?		
94	JGA	AP Plus – Do y	ou u	se MAV Time \	/alue	of Options and Guarantees (TVOG) for JGAAP Plus?		
	Pro	vide your respo	nse	c' by placing an	' in t	ne relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable							
	If NO, please describe how the TVOG is calculated under JGAAP Plus.							
		, p						
95		-		•		OCI adjustment (applicable for Volunteer Groups anese GAAP examples of GAAP Plus)?		
	Pro	vide your respo	nse	by placing an 'x	' in t	ne relevant cell:		
		YES		NO		Not Applicable		



If YES, please answer the following questions:

- 1. Were you able to segregate assets into appropriate buckets (e.g. assets backing long term liabilities) in order to comply with the specifications? If not, please describe any simplifications employed.
- 2. Did you exclude any fixed income instruments from the AOCI adjustment under the "more likely than not" criteria? If YES, please provide the instrument type, rationale and relevant description.
- 3. Did you exclude any fixed income investment that had experienced "significant credit deterioration"? How did you define "significant credit deterioration" when applying that criterion to calculate the AOCI adjustment?

Chieffor to calculate the AOOI adjustment:				
Question Reference	Response			
Insert text	Insert text			
1.				
2.				
3.				
(Add additional rows as	necessary)			
and segregated assets	. GAAP Plus Only) – Have you reported an AOCI adjustment for GAAP Plubacking liabilities that would no longer apply an AOCI adjustment because new accounting rules for fixed term and limited payment contracts.			
Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable			
I -	the process used to segregate those assets that are backing only the OCI adjustment is applicable.			



97	Did you complete the Stressed Spreads scenario balance sheet under GAAP Plus?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe the methodology used to apply stressed spreads to invested assets, insurance liabilities and the AOCI adjustment (if applicable)				
Please also describe any practical expedients or simplifying assumptions that were used.					
	Item name Description and rationale				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Item name Insert text	Description and rationale Insert text			
		Insert text			
98	Insert text (Add additional rows as	Insert text			
98	Insert text (Add additional rows as Do you have any sugge Specifications?	Insert text necessary)			
98	Insert text (Add additional rows as Do you have any sugge Specifications?	Insert text Inser			
98	Insert text (Add additional rows as Do you have any suggestions? Provide your response YES If YES, please specify the analysis.	Insert text Inser			



Item name Description and rationale					
text	Insert text				
ditional rows as	necessary)				
have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?			
consider relevan	nt to the field testing an	data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations alysis (that is, have the potential to have a material se data and/or its analysis).			
e your response	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:			
YES NO Not Applicable					
If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
					Item name Reference Description and rationale
Insert text Insert text					
(Add additional rows as necessary)					
	have further comappropriate, this is consider relevant on any conclusion e your response ES please specify the ations or Templating analysis. describe in summal impact.	have further comments regarding this seappropriate, this includes comments on a consider relevant to the field testing and on any conclusions reached based on the eyour response by placing an 'x' in the ES NO NO No please specify the item or issue, including the reactions or Template, and provide a describing analysis. Indescribe in summary, but with sufficient all impact. Reference Text Insert text			



7 Reconciliations

7.1 Reconciliations: Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9 Reconciliations from GAAP Plus to MAV

100	Reconciliation of life insurance liabilities GAAP Plus to MAV includes two user defined columns to report any material adjustments required to move from GAAP Plus to MAV excluding changes to contract boundaries, cash flow projections and discounting. If these columns were utilised, please provide a description for the adjustment amounts reported in each column.					
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable				
	Item name	Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
101	Reconciliation of life insurance liabilities GAAP Plus to MAV includes a column labelled "other" to report any additional adjustments required to move from GAAP Plus to MAV excluding changes explicitly reported in previous columns. If this column was utilised, please provide a description for the amounts reported.					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	Item name Description and rationale					



	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)				
102	Do you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?			
	that you consider relevan	t to the field testing an	data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations alysis (that is, have the potential to have a material e data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the 2018 Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
	Item name Reference Description and rationale					
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)				



7.2 Reconciliations: Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV – Risk-Free Rate

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
·	9 Reconciliations from GAAP Plus to MAV

103	Reconciliation of life insurance liabilities GAAP Plus to MAV using a risk-free rate (RFR) includes three user defined columns to report any material adjustments required to move from GAAP Plus to MAV excluding changes resulting from applying the RFR. If these columns were utilised, please provide a description for the adjustment amounts reported in each column.				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
104	Reconciliation of life insurance liabilities GAAP Plus to MAV using a RFR includes a column labelled "other" to report any additional adjustments required to move from GAAP Plus to MAV excluding changes explicitly reported in previous columns. If this column was utilised, please provide a description for the amounts reported.				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
Insert text Insert text					



		T	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
105	that you consider releva	includes comments on nt to the field testing an	ection? data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations alysis (that is, have the potential to have a material ne data and/or its analysis).
	If YES, please specify the Specifications or Templa field testing analysis.	ne item or issue, includirate, and provide a descr	relevant cell: ot Applicable ng a reference to the 2018 Field Testing Technical ription as well as rationale for its relevance to the detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	



7.3 Reconciliations: Non-Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9 Reconciliations GAAP Plus to MAV

106	Did you report any amount in the Other columns for the premium liability reconciliations?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES	NO	Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe all the amounts reported as part of 'Other' in each table, provide the rationale supporting your adjustments, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					
	Table	Item name	Description and rationale			
	GAAP to MAV	Insert text	Insert text			
	GAAP to GAAP Plus					
	GAAP Plus to					
	MAV					
	(Add additional ro	ows as necessary)				
107	Do you have any other comments on the premium liability reconciliations?					
	Where appropriate, this includes comment on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculation that					
	you provide which you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to					
	have a material ir 	npact on any conclusions re	eached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES	NO	Not Applicable			
	If YES, please specify the item or issue and discuss it and the rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					



	Item name		Description and	d ra	tionale
	Insert text		Insert text		
	(Add additional row	vs as ne	cessary)		·
108	Did you report any amounts in the Other columns for the claim liability reconciliation?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO			Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all the amounts reported as part of 'Other' in each table, provide the rationale supporting your adjustments, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Table	Item name			Description and rationale
	GAAP to GAAP Plus	I INSERT TEXT			Insert text
	GAAP Plus to MAV				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
109	Do you have further comments regarding this section?				
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	1	NO		Not Applicable
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.				



Item name	Reference	Description and rationale		
Insert text	Insert text			
(Add additional rows as necessary)				



8 Capital Resources

ICS Summary
·
Financial Instruments
Financial ments.TPC
Non-Paid-Up Cap ırces
Encumbered Assets
alifying Capital ırces

10	Do any of the debt instruments reported contain terms allowing for the acceleration of future scheduled interest and/or principal payments?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES	NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, for each instrument with acceleration terms, please describe the nature of the terms and circumstances under which acceleration could be triggered.					
	immediately due (i.e. p	example, acceleration terms can allow for the principal amount of the instrument to become nediately due (i.e. payable) if the Volunteer Group fails to pay any scheduled interest payment nin thirty days of the relevant interest payment date. Other acceleration terms may only allow for acceleration of future scheduled payments when the Volunteer Group is bankrupt, insolvent, und-up, or liquidated.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality a direction of impact.					
	Item name Description and rationale Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					



111	Was "Other" indicated for special conditions that apply to a financial instrument as it nears maturity?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe the special conditions and how they are applied. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as i	necessary)			
112	• •	the issuance of debt at a parent holding company transferred or contributed ubsidiary within the group?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable				
	 If "YES", please provide the following information: The nature of the Holding Company's operations in particular, whether any policyholder obligations reside on the parent's balance sheet. For each debt instrument issued, indicate the amount of the proceeds that have been downstreamed into each insurance subsidiary of the Holding Company. If dividends from insurance subsidiaries to the Holding Company are subject to review and/or prior supervisory approval, please provide details of the circumstances under which supervisory review of distributions applies as well as those situations under which dividends are subject to prior regulatory approval. Please indicate which amounts, if any, were contributed to an entity/subsidiary in another jurisdiction. If amounts have been transferred to an entity/subsidiary in another jurisdiction, does the supervisory regime in that jurisdiction enforce structural subordination? Please describe the regulatory controls in place over distributions 				



	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.						
	Operations undertaken within the holding company	•	Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert tex	t				
	(Add additional rows a	es necessary)					
	(Add additional rows a	is riccessary)					
113	'		-	there any mechanisms or protocols in place that allow for wn-streamed amounts?			
	Provide your respons	se by placing a	n 'x' in t	he relevant cell:			
	YES	NO		Not Applicable			
If "YES", please describe the mechanisms or protocols. If "NO", please describe any difficulties you foresee in establishing mechanisms or protocol for future monitoring and tracking of amounts down-streamed to subsidiaries. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiali direction of impact.				oresee in establishing mechanisms or protocols to allow s down-streamed to subsidiaries.			
	Item name	Description	on and i	rationale			
	Insert text	Insert tex	t				
	(Add additional rows a	s necessary)					
114	For mutual Volunteer Groups only, do you have any current issuances or future planned issuances of Tier 2 non-paid-up capital as defined in the Technical Specifications?			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Provide your respons	se by placing a	n 'x' in t	he relevant cell:			
	YES	NO		Not Applicable			



	If YES, please provide an up to date listing of the nature and size of all current issuances and future planned issuances of Tier 2 non-paid-up capital as defined in the Technical Specifications. In particular, please also indicate if the issuances have received supervisory approval and if they are required to receive supervisory approval. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)			
115	Have any regulatory reserves been reported that are not included in the table in the Technical Specifications?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please complete the table for each new regulatory reserve. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of the loss absorbin capacity of the reserve.				
	Item name	Description			
	Name of reserve	Insert text			
	Purpose of the regulatory reserve				
	Any restrictions or conditions placed on the use of the reserve				
	How the reserve is calculated				
	Circumstances under which the reserve				



	could be released or used to absorb losses beyond the stated purpose of the reserve			
	Specific conditions or approvals that must be met in order to release the reserves or use the reserves to absorb losses beyond the stated purpose of the reserve			
	Estimate of the frequency (over the past 10 years) that the regulatory reserve has been released or used to absorb losses beyond the stated purpose			
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
116	Were any amounts for 'p		rs' equity account for the companies' Retained t?	
	Provide your response YES	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell: ot Applicable	
	classified as equity or a this amount would be av	liability? Please explain ailable to absorb losses	ted under local GAAP accounting. For example is it how this amount might be restricted or whether / how is more generally. Also describe how this amount is into of equity such as AOCI.	
	Please describe in sumr potential impact.	nary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		



	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
117	Do any reported financial instruments contain features that cannot be accurately captured within the Template?			
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:	
	YES	NO N	ot Applicable	
	If YES, please describe	the instrument(s) and i	ts associated features.	
	Please describe in sumn potential impact.	nary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
118	Are there any ERROR codes in the 'BCR Assessment Table' and 'ICS Field Testing Assessment Table'?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, Please explain th	ne reason for the ERRC	PR code or why it could not be addressed.	
	Please describe in sumn potential impact.	nary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
119	treatment was not clearly	y set out in the Technic	nstruments reported for BCR or ICS, for which the al Specifications, or for which there was uncertainty lassification of the capital element?	



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
		YES		NO		Not Applicable
	If YES, please provide additional details, relevant assumptions and rationale for the reporting of those capital elements in the 2018 Field Testing Template.					
	Item name Reference Description and ra			Description and rationale		
	Inse	ert text		Insert text		
	(Add	additional rows	s as i	necessary)		
120		•		•		which the classification afforded in the Field Testing on provided in the Technical Specifications?
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable				Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe this instrument and its associated features.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
	Item	n name		Reference		Description and rationale
	Inse	ert text		Insert text		
	(Add	additional rows	s as i	necessary)		
121	Do yo	ou have further	com	ments regardin	g this	s section?
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).					
	Prov	vide your respo	nse	by placing an 'x	c' in t	he relevant cell:
		YES		NO		Not Applicable



If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.

Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	



9 Consistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate

9.1 Cost of Capital MOCE (C-MOCE)

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.MOCE FT18.ICS.MOCE.Patterns
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	12.1 Cost of Capital MOCE (C-MOCE)

122	Please provide the following information:				
	Item	Answer			
	a) the weighted average cost of capital rate for your group	Insert text			
	b) the period of time (for example, 2015, 2014) to which the above rate relates (you may provide several rates for several periods of time)				
	c) the structure (equity versus debt) of the capital for your group				
123	Projection patterns for life: Volunteer Groups should calculate the projection pattern based on cash outflows excluding amounts not exposed to risk (that is, net amounts at risk). Does your protection pattern exclude any amounts not exposed to risk?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				



	YES	NO N	lot Applicable			
	If YES, please explain what amounts are excluded for which risk (for example, maturity benefit for Mortality risk).					
	Item name Description and rationale					
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)				
124	Do you have further con	nments regarding the co	ost of capital MOCE?			
	In particular do you have	e any suggestions to im	prove the cost of capital MOCE approach?			
		ng analysis (that is, hav	evant data, and/or calculations that you consider we the potential to have a material impact on any its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)				



9.2 Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE)

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.MOCE
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	12.2 Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE)

125	For non-life P-MOCE, wer	e there any difficulties in providing reserves on an undiscounted basis?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please describe those difficulties. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
126	Do you have further comments regarding the prudence MOCE?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.		
	Please describe in summa potential impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	



Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	
(Add additional rows as i	necessary)	



10 The ICS Standard Method

10.1 Look-through

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	-
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.2.1 Look-through

127	_	re any difficulties encountered in applying the look-through approach as described in the difference of Testing Technical Specifications?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	-	I material difficulties encountered in applying the look-through approach, difficulties, the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the esolutions.		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
128	Do you have further comments regarding this section?			
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable			



If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.

Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	



10.2 Risk mitigation

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.2.2 Risk mitigation

129	With regard to the criteria that need to be met in order to recognise the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements, were some of those criteria difficult to interpret?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please provide details on the difficulties encountered:		
	Criteria Difficulties raised		
	Insert text Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
130	Do you have any risk mitigation arrangements currently in place to which you have applied the 2018 Field Testing approach of recognising their renewal by virtue of meeting the specified criteria?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable		
	 If YES, please provide details of the arrangements, including: the type of risk and the risk mitigation technique employed how the renewal of the risk mitigation arrangement meets the criteria for recognition of the renewal details of the approach to valuing the effectiveness of the renewal, the associated costs and how these assumptions have been justified or validated 		



	Risk Type and Risk mitigation technique Insert text		Desc	Description of how the criteria are met			renewal and on of effectiveness
			Insert text				
	(Add addition			ary) ng table on the impact	of recognising	the rene	awal of the risk
	mitigation tech				Tecognising	, the rene	twai of the risk
	Type of risk Mitigated	Were any criteria not and if so w was the renewal recognised	/hy	ICS Risk Charge including the recognition of the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements	ICS Risk Chano cap is apprecognition of renewal of rismitigation arrangement	plied to of the sk	ICS Risk Charge if the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements is not recognised
	Insert text						
	(Add additiona	al rows as ne	ecessa	ary)			
131	_	Do you have any risk mitigation arrangements currently in place to which you have applied the 2018 Field Testing approach of recognising their renewal but DO NOT meet the specified criteria?					
	Provide your	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable					
	the typdetails	 If YES, please provide details of the arrangements, including: the type of risk and the risk mitigation technique employed details of the approach to valuing the effectiveness of the renewal, the associated costs and how these assumptions have been justified or validated 					



Risk mitigation technique		Description and rationale					
Insert text		Inser	Insert text				
'Add additiona	il rows as ne	ecessa	nry)				
Please also co nitigation tech				act	of recognising the rene	ewal of the risk	
Type of risk Mitigated	Which crite were not n		ICS risk charge including the recognition of the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements		ICS risk charge if no cap is applied to recognition of the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements	ICS risk charge if the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements is not recognised	
Market risk							
Interest Rate risk							
Equity risk							
Real Estate risk							
Currency risk							
Other							
Please also pr	ovide details	s rega	rding the criteria tha	t w	ere not met.		
Type of risk r	mitigated	Crite	Criteria not met		Description		



	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)				
132	Were there any difficulties in applying the cap and calculating its impact?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If "YES", please provide	details				
	Item name	Description and ratio	onale			
	Insert text	Insert text		1		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
133	Do you have further comments regarding this section?					
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text				
	<u> </u>					





10.3 Geographical segmentation

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	-
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.2.3 Geographical segmentation

134	Were there any difficulties encountered in using the geographical segmentation as described in the 2018 Field Testing Technical Specifications?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
		y placing an ix in the relevant com		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	(for example, were there s segments), your resolution indicate the impact of adop	material difficulties encountered in using the geographical segmentation ome risks for which it was not possible to split results into geographical as of these difficulties, the rationale supporting your resolutions, and oting these resolutions. ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
135	Do you have further comm	nents regarding this section?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		



If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.

Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	



11 Insurance risks

11.1 Grouping of Policies for Life Risks

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.1 Grouping of Policies for Life Risks

Do you have any comments on this section?		
Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		e relevant cell:
YES	NO	Not Applicable
field testing analysi	S.	cription as well as rationale for its relevance to the to detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
poternia:pasa.		
Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
	Reference Insert text	Description and rationale
Item name	11010101100	Description and rationale
Item name	11010101100	Description and rationale



11.2 Mortality Risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.2 Mortality Risk

137	Were any material assump	otions or simplifications used when providing data on Mortality risk?
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable
	•	material assumptions or simplifications made for Mortality risk, provide e assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the ons.
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
138	Are there material differen	ces in the Mortality risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	foresee and describe the p	differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you orimary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by the approach to the stress calculation or something else?).
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and



	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
139	Did you specify any effect of management actions for Mortality risk?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe ar the basis for such actions.	ny material management actions taken with respect to Mortality risk and
	Management actions	Description and rationale
Insert text		Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
140	Do you have further comments regarding this section?	
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable
		item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical e, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.	



Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	
(Add additional rows as I	necessary)	



11.3 Longevity Risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV
	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.3 Longevity Risk

141	Were any material assump	otions or simplifications used when providing data on Longevity risk?
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable
	-	material assumptions or simplifications made for Longevity risk, provide e assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the ons.
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
142	Is Longevity risk one of the	e main contributors (above 20%) to your total Life risk charge?
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
		differences in the way Longevity risk is assessed in your economic/internal pproach used in 2018 Field Testing?
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and



	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
143	Are there material differences in the Longevity risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?		
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
Item name Description and rationale		Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
144	Did you specify any effect of management actions for Longevity risk?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe ar the basis for such actions.	ny material management actions taken with respect to Longevity risk and	
	Management actions	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows a	s necessary)	
145	Do you have further co	mments regarding this s	ection?
	that you consider relev	ant to the field testing an	data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations alysis (that is, have the potential to have a material ne data and/or its analysis).
	Provide your respons	e by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:
	YES	NO N	ot Applicable
		·	ng a reference to the Field Testing Technical ription as well as rationale for its relevance to the
	Please describe in sum potential impact.	nmary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows a	s necessary)	



11.4 Morbidity and Disability Risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV
	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.4 Morbidity and Disability Risk

146	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Morbidity/Disability risk?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	provide the rationale supp adopting the assumptions	·	
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
147	Are there any material diff MAV?	erences in the Morbidity/Disability risk charge between GAAP Plus and	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	foresee and describe the	differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by the approach to the stress calculation or something else?).	



	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
148	Did you specify any effect	of management actions for Morbidity/Disability risk?	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe ar risk and the basis for such	ny material management actions taken with respect to Morbidity/Disability actions.	
	Management actions	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
149	Do you have further comments regarding this section?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	ES NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.		



Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.

Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	



11.5 Lapse Risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV
	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.5 Lapse Risk

150	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Lapse risk?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Lapse risk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
151	Are there material differen	ces in the Lapse risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	foresee and describe the p discounting, differences in	differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you orimary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	



	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
152	Did you specify any effect	of management actions for Lapse risk?	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe ar basis for such actions.	ny material management actions taken with respect to Lapse risk and the	
	Management actions	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
153	Do you have further comments regarding this section?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		



Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	
(Add additional rows as i	necessary)	



11.6 Expense Risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.6 Expense Risk

154	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Expense risk?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable	
	·	material assumptions or simplifications made for Expense risk, provide e assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the ions.	
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
155	Are there material differences in the Expense risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	foresee and describe the p	differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you orimary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by the approach to the stress calculation or something else?).	
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		



	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
156	Did you specify any effect	of management actions for Expense risk?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe ar the basis for such actions.	ny material management actions taken with respect to Expense risk and		
	Management actions	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
157	Do you have further comm	nents regarding this section?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			



Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	
(Add additional rows as i	necessary)	



11.7 Premium Risk and Claims Reserve Risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.7 Premium Risk and Clams Reserve Risk

158	The non-life Premium and non-life Claims Reserve risk charges are calculated based on lines of business in the main jurisdictions in the various regions.				
	Do you have any feedback on the level of granularity in the lines of business within each region? In particular, please provide feedback on any lines of business that should be removed or merged together in order to achieve a better level of granularity of the lines of business within each region.				
	Provide your response by	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please provide tha	t feedback.			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
159	The non-life segmentation for 'other developed' and 'other emerging' markets has been changed by merging some of the segments together.				
	Does the change appropriately reflect the level of granularity in these markets?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable If NO, please provide rationale and evidence to support specific refinements to the segmentation, including potential impacts on the Non-Life risk charge.				



	Suggested refinements	Rationale and evidence	Potential impact on the NL risk charge			
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)				
160	The Technical Specifications request that segmentation be based on the location of the risk. Where this information is not available, the location of the legal entity underwriting the business may be used as a proxy. Did you apply any simplifications or proxies in allocating business across the geographical segmentation and lines of business?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please describe the simplifications, provide the rationale for the simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the simplifications. Please also indicate: - was the location of the legal entity used as a proxy for location of risk? - was another approximation applied, for example, using underwriting location? - is this likely to materially impact the Premium and Claims Reserve risk charge?					
	 is the limitation in reporting an effect of the best effort basis or does it reflect the limitations of your current systems and data collection? Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and 					
	direction of impact.	y, but with sufficient detail to allow	an assessment of materiality and			
	Item name	Description and rationale				
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)				
161	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Premium and Claims Reserve risk?					



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
		YES		NO		Not Applicable
		I				
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Premium and Claims Reserve risk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					
	Item name Description and rationale					
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
162	Are there material differences in the Premium or Claims Reserve risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?).					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					
	Iter	n name		Description	and r	ationale
	Ins	ert text		Insert text		
	(Ada	additional rows	as ne	ecessary)		
<u>-</u>	-					



163	Do you have further comments regarding Premium and Claims Reserve risks?			
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO N	ot Applicable	
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		



11.8 Catastrophe Risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.8 Catastrophe Risk

11.8.1 Catastrophe risk: Non-Life Exposures

Model description	Tropical cyclone	Other windstorm	Earthquake	Other
<u> </u>				
Time of seedal condex /				
Type of model: vendor / proprietary				
Vendor name(s) (if relevant)				
Vendor model name(s) (if relevant)				
Vendor model version(s) (if relevant)				
If not a vendor model: 1st year when the model was developed and used				
If not a vendor model: year of the last major update of the model				



Event set selected		
Frequency selected		
Attenuation function selected (if relevant)		
Secondary uncertainty (Y/N)		
Secondary perils included (primary peril for the 'other' category)		
Demand surge / Loss amplification (Y/N)		
Model run: in house / by third party		
Exposures description		
Main territories of exposures		
Main lines of business covered		
Main geocoding level and estimated % of total exposures		
Estimated insurance / direct business (% of total exposures)		
Estimated non-proportional reinsurance business (% of total exposures)		
Modelling adjustment		
Please briefly describe the perils, sub-perils or territories not modelled to which you are materially exposed		
What additional charge did you include for non-modelled		



		risks or non-modelled exposures or other (e.g. adjustments for exposure data quality, adjustments exposure growth, model deficiencies – severity or frequency, other factors for prudence)? (%)	for
		Please briefly describe th method used to calculate adjustment	
		If you use multiple model please explain how result from different models are aggregated/ blended	ts
165	Please describe how the impact of the risk mitigation arrangements was calculated to determine the losses net of protection.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		
		Item name	Description and rationale
		Insert text	Insert text
	((Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
166	Please describe why any perils or territories are not modelled using catastrophe models (for example, no model available for these perils or territories, concerns on the reliability of available models, exposure data collected does not allow the use of models, etc.) and the materiality of these perils or territories.		
		Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
		Item name	Description and rationale
		Insert text	Insert text



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary			
167	_	omponent of the credit and surety scenario, please indicate if the PML s into account salvage and subrogation.			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please briefly desc	cribe the methodology.			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
168	Do you have any additional suggestions to improve the design or calibration of Catastrophe risk with respect to non-life exposures?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe yo	our suggestion and provide a rationale for the suggestion.			
	Suggestion	Rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
169	Are there material differen	ces in the Catastrophe risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?			



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO N	ot Applicable		
	foresee and describe the discounting, differences	e primary drivers of the sin the approach to the	ed in the Template or other differences that you differences (for example, are differences driven by stress calculation or something else?). detail to allow an assessment of materiality and onale		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)			
170	Do you have further comments regarding Catastrophe risk? Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).				
	Provide your response	e by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell: ot Applicable		
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.				
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text			





11.8.2 Catastrophe risk: Life Exposures

171	Do you have any additional suggestions to improve the design or calibration of the Catastrophe risk charge with respect to life exposures?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please describe your suggestion and provide a rationale for the suggestion.			
	Suggestion	Rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
172	Are there material differences in the Catastrophe risk charge for life exposures between GAAP Plus and MAV?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



173	Do you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		
Item name Reference Description and rationale		Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



12 Market risks

12.1 Interest Rate risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV
	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.4.1 Interest Rate Risk

174	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Interest Rate risk?			
	Provide your respon	nse by placing an 'x' i	n the relevant cell: Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Interest Rate risk, prove the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.			е
	Item name Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
175	Were lapse rates varied in response to the interest rate scenarios?			
	Provide your respon	nse by placing an 'x' i	n the relevant cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable			
			os for which it was assumed that lapse rates vary with e rates change under the scenarios?	
	Scenario	Major product groups	Description and rationale, change in lapse rates	



	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows	s as necessary)		
176	Does your group ha	ve products with intere	est rate guarantees?	
	Provide your respo	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		Not Applicable	
	=	_	st rate guarantees triggered under the scenarios? How wer roses of the interest rate stresses?	e
	Product groups	Valuation of gu	uarantees	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows	s as necessary)		
177	A 10% IRR stress is applied at the LTFR point. The IAIS Base Yield Curve Methodology limits the annual change of the LTFR to 15 bps/year, for valuation purposes. If the IRR shock were to be subject to a similar limit, what would be the impact on the IRR risk charge compared to the current 10% stress?		ect	
	Quantification of in	npact		
178	Are there material d	ifferences in the Intere	est Rate risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?	
	Provide your response	onse by placing an 'x' i	in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO	Not Applicable	



If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or of foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or son			the differences (for example, are differences driven by	
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and	rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
179	different GAAP Plus exa	amples were used fo	implementation of each GAAP Plus stress method? Where or valuation purposes, did you face any practical issues ethods to different parts of your business?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in	the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO	Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe the problems encountered. Where different GAAP Plus methods were use value assets and insurance liabilities, please describe the approach used to calculate Interest Raterisk.			
	Please comment on whi	•	s more reasonable stress outcomes in light of features of	
	Item name	Description and ra	ationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
180	Have management action	ons been incorporat	ed into the Interest Rate risk scenarios?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in	the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO	Not Applicable	



rt text		
	Insert text	
additional row	s as necessary)	
		s on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations the alysis (that is, have the potential to have a material imp
YES		the relevant cell: Not Applicable Sluding a reference to the Field Testing Technical description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field
g analysis.	summary but with suffic	eient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
g analysis.	summary, but with suffic	Description and rationale
	•	



12.2 Non-default spread risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.4.2 Non-Default Spread Risk

182	Did you experience practical difficulties when calculating the Non-Default Spread risk charge?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable e difficulties encountered.	
	Identification Description		
	·		
	insert text	insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
183	Was the information (e.g. yield curves, stressed spreads) provided by the IAIS sufficient for the calculation of the Non-Default Spread risk charge?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If NO, please identify the missing elements and the rationale for their inclusion.		
	Identification	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
183	Was the information (e.g. y calculation of the Non-Definition (e.g. y calculation of the Non-Definition of the	yield curves, stressed spreads) provided by the IAIS sufficient for the ault Spread risk charge? y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable missing elements and the rationale for their inclusion. Description and rationale	



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
184	Were any material assumprisk?	otions or simplifications used when providing data on Non-Default Spread	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Non-Default Spread r provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
185	Are there material differen	ces in the Non-Default Spread risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



186	Where different GAAP P	roblems regarding implementation of each GAAP Plus stress method? lus examples were used for valuation purposes, did you face any practical ferent Non-Default Spread risk methods to different parts of your business?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
YES NO Not Applicable		NO Not Applicable	
	-	the problems encountered. Where different GAAP Plus methods were used rance liabilities, please describe the approach used to calculate Non-Default	
	Please comment on which method produces more reasonable stress outcomes in light of featibility and asset portfolios.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
187	Have management actio	ns been incorporated into the Non-Default Spread risk scenarios?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	·	ow management actions were incorporated. nary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	



188	stress (with a 0 floor). Ea	ach stress is applied sin charge change materia	calculated as the maximum between an up and downultaneously across all currencies. Would the reportedly if the application of the MAX (Up stress; Down evel?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:	
	YES	NO N	ot Applicable	
	·	•	pact, as well as a description of its main drivers. detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and ration	ale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
189	Do you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?	
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO N	ot Applicable	
			ng a reference to the Field Testing Technical iption as well as rationale for its relevance to the field	d
	Please describe in sumn potential impact.	nary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		



(Add additional rows as	necessary)	



12.3 Equity risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.4.3 Equity Risk

190	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Equity risk?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable	
	•	I material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Equity supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of or simplifications.	
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
191	Did you have any significant issues in applying implied volatility shocks for different tenors?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe any significant issues you had in applying implied volatility shocks for differen tenors.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		



	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
192	Are there material differen	ces in the Equity risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?).		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
193	Do you have further comments regarding this section?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	



If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.

Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	



12.4 Real Estate risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.4.4 Real Estate Risk

194	Were any material assumptions and simplifications used when providing data on Real Estate risk?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable	
	-	I material assumptions or simplifications made for Real Estate risk, provide e assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the ions.	
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
195	Did you have any significant issues in applying the Technical Specifications for Real Estate risk using the GAAP Plus approach?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe any significant issues you had in applying the Technical Specifications for Real Estate risk using the GAAP Plus approach.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		



	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
196	Are there material differen	ces in the Real Estate risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?).		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name Description and rationale		
	Insert text Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
197	Do you have further comments regarding this section?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	



If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.

Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	



12.5 Currency risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.4.5 Currency Risk

198	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Currency risk?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Currency risk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
199	Did you specify any effect of management actions for Currency risk?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, places describe any material management actions taken with respect to Currency risk and the		
	If YES, please describe any material management actions taken with respect to Currency risk and the basis for such actions.		
	Management actions Description and rationale		



	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)	
200	Are there material differen	ces in the Currency risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	foresee and describe the p	differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you orimary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by the approach to the stress calculation or something else?).	
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	necessary)	
201	Do you have further comments regarding this section?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.		



Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.

Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	



12.6 Asset Concentration risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.4.6 Asset Concentration Risk

202	Were any material assumprisk?	otions or simplifications used when providing data on Asset Concentration	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Asset Concentration risk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	ecessary)		
203	Were any deviations from	the BCBS definition of connected counterparties applied?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe th	e deviations along with an estimate of the impact of those variations.	
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	



	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
204	Are there material differences in the Asset Concentration risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?		
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	foresee and describe the p	differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by the approach to the stress calculation or something else?).	
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
205	Do you have further comm	nents regarding this section?	
	you consider relevant to the	cludes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that are field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impacted based on the data and/or its analysis).	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	



If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.

Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	



13 Credit risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV		
	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+		
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.5 Credit Risk		

206	Were any material assump	otions or simplifications used when providing data on Credit risk?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Credit risk, provide rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.			
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
207	Have you relied on a rating under the Basel II Framew	g agency that is not listed in the specifications, but that qualifies as an ECAI ork in your jurisdiction?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please provide the name of the rating agency or agencies.			
	Rating agency	Description and rationale		



	Insert text		Insert text		
	(Add additional row	s as ne	cessary)		
208	<u>-</u>	Have you relied on a rating agency that is not listed in the Technical Specifications and does not qualify as an ECAI in your jurisdiction?			
	Provide your response		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, for each age	ency ple	ease provide:		
	 the name of the rating agency; the name of the national authority that regulates or has recognised the rating agency, a with a summary of how the authority regulates, or the criteria that the authority uses for recognising rating agencies; The rating agency's definition of default, including a link to where the definition is posted. The rating agency's average three-year cumulative default rates by rating, the number years of default data on which this average is based, the number of credits for each rawhich the average is based, and a link to where all of the information is posted. The ICS rating categories to which you have mapped the agency's ratings 				
			of regulatory authority and ary of regulation	Rating agency definition of default	ĺ
	Insert text	Insert to	ext		1
					1
					Ī
	(Add additional rows as necessary) For each rating agency listed in the table above please complete the table below Rating agency name			ete the table below	
	3-year cumulative default rates by rating including		per of credits for each rating on the average is based	ICS Rating Category mapping	



	years of default data			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add tables for each	n rating agency)		
209	Are there material differences in the Credit risk charge between GAAP Plus and MAV?			
	Provide your response	onse by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?).			
	Please describe in s direction of impact.	summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows	s as necessary)		
210	-	s as necessary) comments regarding this section?		
210	Do you have further Where appropriate, you consider relevan			
210	Do you have further Where appropriate, you consider releval on any conclusions	comments regarding this section? this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations nt to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material in		
210	Do you have further Where appropriate, you consider releval on any conclusions	comments regarding this section? this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations nt to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material in reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		



If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.

Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	

(Add additional rows as necessary)



14 Operational risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.6 Operational Risk

211	_	marks to compare with the Operational risk charge that the IAIS should rimprovement of the current benchmarks being used?
	YES If YES, please describe th	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable e proposed benchmark or improvement, and provide the rationale
	supporting this method. Item name	Description of rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
212	segmentation. Please provand to which ICS segment brief description of a few,	inderstand how the "Life (Non-Risk)" categories align with the ICS wide a brief description of some "Life (Non-Risk)" products that you have t(s) they are mapped. This list need not be exhaustive. Please provide a lat most, of the largest "Life (Non-Risk)" products that you write. External sources are welcome.
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe th products, please select "N	ese product(s). If you do not have significant exposure to "Life (Non-Risk)" ot Applicable".



	Product Name	Closest ICS Segmen	nt Description		
	Insert text	Insert text			
213	Do you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?		
	you consider relevant to	his includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that it to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact eached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:		
	YES	NO NO	ot Applicable		
			ng a reference to the Field Testing Technical iption as well as rationale for its relevance to the field		
	Please describe in sumn potential impact.	nary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)			



15 ICS Risk Charges

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.MAV FT18.ICS.Risk Charges.GAAP+
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3 – 13.6 All ICS risk charge sections

214	method (risk-free rate, Re	ifferences in any of the risk charges reported if a different discounting vised Blended, OAG 2.0) was applied rather than the MAV Three-Bucket Plus approach with GAAP rates?
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable
		e differences and describe the primary drivers of the differences. ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	ICS IISK	Difference compared to the reported risk charge and primary drivers of the difference.
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)



16 Aggregation / Diversification of ICS Risk Charges

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS Summary
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.7 Aggregation / Diversification

215	Do you have comments	regarding this section?						
		the field testing analysi	data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that s (that is, have the potential to have a material impact and/or its analysis).					
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:					
	YES							
	Specifications or Templa testing analysis.	te, and provide a descr	ng a reference to the Field Testing Technical ription as well as rationale for its relevance to the field detail to allow an assessment of materiality and					
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale					
	Insert text	Insert text						
	(Add additional rows as i	necessary)						



17 ICS Tax Treatment

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ICS Summary FT18.Tax Information
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	14 ICS Tax Treatment

216	asse	•				J.S. GAAP, is the utilisation (RS) or more likely than not	
	Prov	ide your respo	onse by placing a	n 'x' in the re	elevant cell:		
		YES	NO	N	ot Applicable		
	If NO	Assessme	ribe the following nt criterion of the practical approac e (IFRS) or more	deferred tax h to adjust th	ne deferred tax asset to	be equivalent to the criter	ion
217	GAA	P Plus balanc		liabilities and	d investments on an en	ce, and also GAAP, MAV attity level basis for the three	
		Entity name	Country	Currency Unit	GAAP DTA balance	GAAP DTL balance	
	1						
	2						



	Entity name ¹	Insurance	liability balar	nce	Investmen	t balance ²	
	name.	GAAP	MAV	GAAP Plus	GAAP	MAV	GAAP Plus
1							
2							
3							
The adju	te. purpose of t stments for I ement tax re	he table abov DTAs/DTLs fo	re is to gather or the largest sub-group ba	r data on repor three entities i sis by jurisdicti	rted GAAP DT n the group. H	As/DTLs an	d ICS ne jurisdictio
The adju	te. purpose of t stments for I ement tax re	he table abov DTAs/DTLs for	re is to gather or the largest sub-group ba	r data on repor three entities i sis by jurisdicti	rted GAAP DT n the group. H	As/DTLs an	d ICS ne jurisdictio



	If YES, please pro no ceiling.	ovide the amount of th	e def	erred tax asset on the ICS balance sheet, if there was
	Amount	Currency Unit		
219	Do you conduct at economic capital r		ent of	the tax effect from a stress event in your internal
	Provide your resp	onse by placing an 'x	in th	e relevant cell:
	YES	NO		Not Applicable
	If YES, please des requirements.	scribe the metrics of t	he uti	lisation assessment of the tax effect of capital
220		p under your internal		(group level) in worksheet FT18.Tax information, was omic capital model the same as the scope of group for
	Provide your response	onse by placing an 'x	in th	e relevant cell: Not Applicable
	If NO, please prov	ride the scope of grou	ıp und	der your internal economic capital model.



221	Does your local group solvency capital regulation require an utilisation assessment of the tax effect from a stress in your local solvency regulation?
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe the metrics of the utilisation assessment of the tax effect.
222	Regarding II. Local solvency capital regulations in worksheet FT18.Tax information, was the scope of group under your internal economic capital model the same as the scope of group for the ICS capital calculation?
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If NO, please provide the scope of group under your local group capital requirements.
223	Do jurisdictions in which your group operates allow for tax loss carry backs?
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable



	Tax jurisdiction	Number of years allowed	Total tax loss carry back capacity	Currenc y unit	
1		Years			
2		Years			
3		Years			
leve	acity by your group	unit			
leve			the data reported a	above is misle	ading.
Pleas		on, if you believe		above is misle	ading.
Pleas Do yo Wher	e provide an explanation	on, if you believe Ints regarding this udes comments of the field testing a	s section? on data, additional i	relevant data, ave the potent	and/or calculatio
Pleas Do yo Wher that y impac	e provide an explanation but have further commented appropriate, this included consider relevant to	nts regarding this udes comments of the field testing a eached based on	s section? on data, additional i analysis (that is, ha i the data and/or its	relevant data, ave the potent	and/or calculatio



Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.

Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	

(Add additional rows as necessary)



18 Baseline Jurisdictional Legal-Entity Capital Requirements

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.Baseline.Jurisdictional
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	15 Baseline Jurisdictional Legal-Entity Capital Requirements

225	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied (for any entity) in filling in FT18.Baseline.Jurisdictional?				
Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable			\neg		
	•		entity, each material simplification or adjustment made, ty, and the rationale for making the assumption or		
	Please describe in s direction of impact.	ummary, but with suff	ficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Entity	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
226	Were any immaterial subsidiaries (across all jurisdictions) accumulated in the consolidation into a single line in FT18.Baseline.Jurisdictional?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please specify how many subsidiaries are counted in that accumulation.				
	Insert count of sub	sidiaries			



227	Do you have further com	ments regarding this s	ection?
		the field testing analys	data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that is (that is, have the potential to have a material impact and/or its analysis).
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:
	YES	NO N	ot Applicable
		•	ng a reference to the Field Testing Technical ription as well as rationale for its relevance to the field
	Please describe in sumn potential impact.	nary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	



19 Baseline Supplementary Internal Model Data

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.Baseline.Internal Model
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	16 Baseline Supplementary Internal Model Data

The purpose of this section is to enable Volunteer Groups to provide supplementary qualitative information (with respect to the quantitative data submission) to support the assessment of the appropriateness of calibrations of risk charges covered by the standard method. This will facilitate a better understanding of:

- The reasons for differences in outcomes between the ICS standard method and risk charges determined by internal models at the same calibration level; and
- The nature and scope of application of internal models used by Volunteer Groups

228	Does the Economic Balance Sheet reported have the same scope as the Insurance-related column on the worksheet FT18.BCR & ICS.Balance Sheet?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If No, please describe the differences Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Difference in scope	Description a	nd r	ationale
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
229	Are there any material differences between the valuation of insurance liabilities in the Economic Balance Sheet and the MAV and GAAP Plus approaches?			
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x'	in t	he relevant cell:
	YES	NO		Not Applicable



	If Yes, please describe those differences. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Valuation approach	Differences, Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
230	Are there any material different and the MAV and GAAP P	erences between the valuation of assets in the Economic Balance Sheet lus approaches?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe the Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Valuation approach	Differences, Description and rationale		
	MAV	Insert text		
	GAAP Plus			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
231	Do any of the required capital amounts reported on the basis of VaR 99.5% and a one-year time horizon in FT.18.Baseline.Internal Model differ materially from the equivalent ICS risk charges determined according to the ICS standard method?			
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable		



If YES, please outline the key drivers of any material differences for each risk. Please also outline any material differences in your definition of each risk compared to that used by the IAIS.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.

Risk	Key drivers of differences between Volunteer Group reported capital amounts for regulatory purposes and ICS standard method capital amounts. Please also include outline of any material differences in the definitions of risks.
Mortality risk	
Longevity risk	
Morbidity/Disability risk	
Lapse risk	
Expense risk	
Premium risk	
Claims reserve risk	
Catastrophe risk	
Interest Rate risk	
Non-default Spread risk	
Equity risk	
Real Estate risk	
Currency risk	
Credit risk	
Asset Concentration risk	
Operational risk	
Did you report 'Other risks r	that contured by the ICS'2

232



	YES I				
		NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe those risks and the materiality of each risk if more than one reported in that cell. Please provide the rationale for modelling these risks.				
	Risk name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
(4	Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
	Does the ICS standard me	thod cover risks that are not included in your internal economic capital			
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
If	f YES, please explain why	those risks are not modelled in your Group			
l l	Please describe in summa lirection of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Risk name	Description and rationale of why the risk is not modelled			
	Insert text	Insert text			
(4	Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
9 ta	For the actual internal modelling result where the risk measure and confidence interval differs from 99.5% VaR and the time horizon differs from one year, please detail the reasons why a different target calibration is used and outline any adjustments used when entering data on at 99.5% VaR over a one-year time horizon.				



	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item where different from ICS calibration	Reasons and adjustments when entering data on same calibration as ICS	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessany)	
	Aud additional Tows as Tic	occosary)	
235	Where you have indicated the results come from a model whose use has been approved by a supervisory authority, please provide details of the approval – has the approval been granted by the group-wide supervisor, a single legal entity supervisor or a college of supervisors?		
	Model approved	Details of the approval	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	



20 Scope of Group

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.ReportingScope
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	17 Scope of Group 3 Scope of Application

236	For those Volunteer Groups that participated in the 2017 Field Testing, are there any differences in the scope of your group for the 2018 Field Testing compared to last year's exercise?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES	NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please pro profile of your Volu	vide details of significant acquisitions and divestitures and their impact on the risk inteer Group.				
	Please describe in potential impact.	n summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and				
	Acquisitions / divestitures	Description and impact				
	Insert text					
	(Add additional rov	vs as necessary)				
237	Were there any material post-balance date acquisitions and divestitures?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
	Acquisitions / divestitures	Description and rationale				



	Insert text	Insert text						
	(Add additional rov	as necessary)						
238	Please submit a group structure chart with sufficient detail to support the data submitted in FT18.ReportingScope and this Questionnaire.							
	_	er comments necessary for the Field Testing Analysis Team to understand the gram and its relationship to the data submitted?						
	you provide which	, this includes comment on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculation that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to pact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).						
	Provide your resp	oonse by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:						
	YES	NO Not Applicable						
	If YES, please specify the item or issue and discuss it and the rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.							
	Item name	Description and rationale						
	Insert text	Insert text						
	(Add additional rov	Iditional rows as necessary)						
239	Was any significant judgement exercised in determining the level at which the group consolidated balance sheet should be prepared?							
	Provide your resp	oonse by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:						
	YES	NO Not Applicable						



	If YES please explain how the judgement was made. In particular, if a choice was made between the consolidated balance sheet of an insurance holding company of an insurance group and the consolidated balance sheet of a financial holding company of a financial conglomerate, how was this choice made? And if there was any ambiguity as to which entity was the head of the insurance group or head of the financial conglomerate, how was this ambiguity resolved?				
	Item name Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)			
240	Have additional entities been included or excluded because the ICS valuation consolidation criteria is based on regulatory requirements (such as submissions under the GAAP Plus valuation basis that is based on the European Solvency II Directive) rather than on the jurisdictional GAAP accounting consolidation standard that is used to prepare the group consolidated balance sheet (the starting point for both MAV and GAAP Plus valuation bases)?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES No Not Applicable				
	If YES, please provide details of such included or excluded entities and whether the relevant data provided has been audited.				
	Included / De excluded entity	escription and rationale	Relevant data audited? (Y/N)		
	Insert text Ins	sert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
241	Are there any material related entities that may be a potential source of risks to insurance operations (see paragraph 7 of the Technical Specifications), but are not reported on the consolidated balance sheet as financial instruments, equity method investments or fully consolidated entities?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				



		YES		NO		Not Applicable	
	If YES, please provide details of such material related entity and the potential impact if the entity had been included in the consolidated data submitted.						
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact						
	Material related Description and rationale entity					nale	
	Insert text Insert text						
	(Add	additional rows	ası	necessary)			
242	Have any related entities been excluded from the scope of the group owing to materiality, practicality, or similar reasons?						
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:						
	YES NO Not Applicable						
	If YES, please describe the nature of the exclusions. If in aggregate all excluded entities' gross assets represent more than 5% of the group's gross assets, 5% of profits or may require the group to absorb losses in excess of the capital contributed, please describe the nature of the excluded entities and the risk that they could pose to the group.						
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact						
	Related entity Description and rationale Insert text Insert text						
	(Add	additional rows	as ı	necessary)			
243	With respect to the 'Consolidation technique' column in FT18.ReportingScope, are there any material related entities in which the Volunteer Group has an interest of more than 20% but less than or equal						
	-						



	to 50% (i.e. including joint ventures and joint operations) that are not recorded as investments under the equity method? (For instance, if alternatively they are fully consolidated, proportionally consolidated, or recorded as financial instruments)?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable					
		etails of such entities, how were they are recorded and the rationale.				
	Insert text In	sert text				
	(Add additional rows as r	•				
244	On a best efforts basis, for the material related entities recorded as investments using the equity method, would applying the ICS capital requirements by looking through to the owned proportion of underlying assets and liabilities of the entity generate a materially different result?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please describe the significant drivers of the differences in capital requirement charges.					
	Description of Key driver of capital requirement difference Entity/Investment					
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					



245	If you answered 'Other' in the 'Valuation basis' column in FT18.ReportingScope for any entity, what other valuation bases are used to report the net assets or gross assets in the Template for the legal entities within your Volunteer Group?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable				
	Valuation basis	etails of the valuation bases. Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	III.OOT TOXE				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
246	Do you have further comments regarding the section of 'Scope of Group'? Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.				
	Item name Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text			



(Add additional rows as necessary)



21 Supplementary Data Collection (Segmentation of Investments)

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.Investment segmentation
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	18 Supplementary Data Collection (Segmentation of investments)

247	Did you have any significant issues in applying the Technical Specifications for this section?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe any significant issues you had in applying the Technical Specifications for this section.			
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
248	Did you utilise any specific definitions, concrete measures, and/ or other considerations for purposes of applying the criteria prescribed for strategic equity (for example, joint activity thresholds for determining 'durable link')?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe in summary below.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text Insert text			



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)				
249	purposes of applying the s	definitions, concrete measures, and/ or other considerations for specific criteria prescribed for private equity (for example, determining teen the equity investment and other assets)?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe in	summary below.				
	Item name	Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)				
250	Did you utilise any specific definitions, concrete measures, and/ or other considerations for purposes of applying the specific criteria prescribed for privately placed debt (for example, applying key ratio thresholds for determining if the financial state of the debtor is sufficiently strong)?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please describe in summary below.					
	Item name Description and rationale Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					



251	Did you have any significant issues in providing the data requested for the fixed-income investments qualifying as regulatory capital for a financial institution issuer?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe in	summary below.			
	Item name Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
252	Did you utilise any specific definitions, concrete measures, and/ or other considerations for purposes of applying the specific criteria prescribed for infrastructure corporates (for example, analysing the volatility of revenues generated by the infrastructure assets to assess predictability)?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe in summary below.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
253	Did you utilise any specific definitions, concrete measures, and/ or other considerations for purposes of applying the specific criteria prescribed for infrastructure projects (for example, testing if the infrastructure project can meet its financial obligations under sustained stressed conditions)?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				



	YES NO			Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe in summary below.						
		n name	ibe ii	-	Description and rationale		
	Insert text			Insert text		anonaic	
	(Ada	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
254		agement/econo				used in your credit risk assessment for internal/ coses deviate from the definition used for 2018 Field	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable						
	If YES, please describe qualitatively and/or through concrete examples how your definition differs from the definition in the Technical Specifications. Also, in case your internal definition materially differs from the Field Testing Technical Specifications, please provide an assessment of the impact it would have on the exposures						
		n name		Description a		stment segmentation" worksheet. ationale	
	Ins	ert text		Insert text			
(Add additional rows as necessary)							
255	In your economic capital model and/or regulatory internal model, are you using a calibration for investments in infrastructure that is different from the calibration used for non-infrastructure investments?						
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:						



		YES		NO		Not Applicable
		!		!		
	If YES, all other things being equal, is the calibration used for infrastructure investments higher, equivalent or lower than the calibration used for equivalent investments in non-infrastructure instruments?					
	More specifically, for the modelling of debt instruments in the economic capital model / regulatory internal model, how do the probability of default (PD) and loss given default (LGD) assumptions used for infrastructure compare to PD and LGD assumptions used for other corporate investments?					
	Please provide any qualitative or quantitative information that may help comparing the capital intensity of infrastructure investments in your own economic / internal model with the capital intensity of other asset classes.					
	Iten	n name		Description a	and r	ationale
	Inse	ert text		Insert text		
	(Add	additional rows	as n	ecessary)		
256	Are there any data sources that may be useful for possibly developing appropriate risk charge calibrations for any of the proposed investment segments for ICS Version 2.0?					
	Pro	vide your respo	nse t	y placing an 'x	' in t	he relevant cell:
	YES NO Not Applicable				Not Applicable	
	If VE	S, please descr	ihe ir	summary held	λ Μ/	
		n name		Description a		ationale
	Inse	ert text		Insert text		
	(Add	additional rows	as n	ecessary)		
257	Do you have further comments regarding this section?					



Provide your resp	onse by placing an 'x' in t	he relevant cell:
YES	NO	Not Applicable
If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact		
field testing analysis	s.	·
field testing analysis	s.	·
Flease describe in potential impact.	s. summary, but with sufficie	ent detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
field testing analysis Please describe in a potential impact. Item name	s. summary, but with sufficient Reference	ent detail to allow an assessment of materiality and



22 Supplementary Data Collection (Internal Models)

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.Internal Model
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	19 Supplementary Data Collection (Internal Models)

The purpose of this section is to collect information about the current use of internal models by Volunteer Groups. This information aims to support preparations for the future additional reporting by IAIGs, at the option of the group-wide supervisor, of an ICS based on internal model-based capital requirement calculation, which will be considered for inclusion in the ICS by the end of the monitoring period. This covers areas such as potential scope of application of internal models as well as controls, validation processes, or necessary requirements (governance, approval and use) for the use of internal models.

22.1 Model coverage - Scope of application of internal economic capital model(s)

258	What risks is/are your internal economic capital model(s) covering? Please specify.			
	Please describe in summary.			
	Risk covered by internal economic capital model	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
259	Are there any particular differences with respect to the definition of risks used in the ICS standard method? Please specify			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please comment o	n the differences in the definitions.		



	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Risk	Difference between internal definition and ICS definition		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
260	Are all risks to which your model(s)?	Volunteer Group is exposed covered by your internal economic capital		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	Risk not covered by internal economic	Description and rationale		
	capital model Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
261	Is there any risk not captured in the ICS standard method but covered by your internal economic capital model(s)?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
<u> </u>				



	If YES, please indicate which risks and provide the rationale for inclusion in your internal economic capital model(s).					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					
	Risk not captured in the ICS but covered in internal economic capital model	Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)				
262	Are all legal entities includ	ed in the scope of your internal economic capital model(s)?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If NO, please explain why. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality an direction of impact.					
	Legal entity or entities excluded	Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)				
263	Considering the risk profile of your group, is there any area for which the ICS standard method does not appropriately capture the risk profile of your group and for which an internal economic capital modelling approach would be more appropriate?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					



	YES	NO		Not Applicable
	•	•		nomic capital modelling approach would capture your of a consistent assessment of risk needed for the ICS.
Iter	n name	Description a	and r	ationale
Ins	ert text	Insert text		

22.2 Uses and purposes of internal economic capital model(s)

264	Are the internal economic	model(s) results used in your internal decision making process?			
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable			
	If YES: • Are you using your economic model at the level of group consolidation or at level of legal entities?				
	econ	se provide the most material examples of decisions to which the internal omic capital model(s) provide input (e.g. capital assessment, capital ation, buying reinsurance protection, business performance assessment			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality a direction of impact.				
	Example	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			



(Add additio	nal rows as ne	ecessary)
		ow long (years) has an internal economic model been used for internal ons (although the model might have changed over that period)?

22.3 Model governance

The following questions relate to internal economic capital model(s). Where results are reported from more than one internal economic capital model and the answers to these questions vary, please clearly state those differences.

265	Who (function or role) is responsible for developing, maintaining and running the internal economic capital model(s), e.g. risk management, actuarial?			
	Please describe in summary.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
266	Who (function or role) internally approves the use of internal economic capital model(s), e.g. risk committee, executive committee, board?			
	Please describe in summary.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text			



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
267	How is the integrity of the internal economic capital model(s) ensured over time (e.g. version control, documentation, process in place to keep it updated)?		
	Please describe in summa	ary.	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
268	Please briefly describe the the governance aspect)?	e process for updating the internal economic capital model(s) (including	
	Please describe in summa	ary.	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	

22.4 Model validation

The following questions relate to internal economic capital model(s). Where results are reported from more than one internal economic capital model and the answers to these questions vary, please clearly state those differences.

How is it ensured that results from the internal economic capital model are appropriate? What kind of controls/process are in place to ensure the appropriateness of model results? Amongst the



	validation tests, has the model been back-tested and, if so, how do the model's predictions compare to actual experience?			
	Please describe in summ	nary.		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)		
270	How is the independence	e of the validation process ensured within the Volunteer Group?		
	Please describe in summ	nary.		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)		
271	Which validation tools do used.	you use? Please briefly describe and indicate how frequently the tools are		
	Please describe in summary.			
	Validation tool	Description and frequency of use		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



22.5 Aggregation/diversification

The following questions relate to internal economic capital model(s). Where results are reported from more than one internal economic capital model and the answers to these questions vary, please clearly state those differences.

272	For each risk for which results are reported, is the calculation done at the consolidated level or are calculations at a more granular level aggregated; i.e. are there calculations based on region, legal entity, business line etc. which are then aggregated?					
	Risk name	Level of Aggregation				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
	If the calculations are at a more granular level, please explain how they are aggregated and what diversification benefits are taken into account. If diversification benefits, are taken into account please explain the basis of calibrating those diversification benefits.					
273	Was a diversification benefit reported among risks in the worksheet FT18.Internal Models?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES	NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, how has the diversification benefit been calculated?					



22.6 Technical specifications of the internal economic capital model(s) used

The following questions relate to internal economic capital model(s). Where results are reported from more than one internal economic capital model and the answers to these questions vary, please clearly state those differences.

274	Is external data used in the development and/or validation of your full or partial internal models?				
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please provide name (or link) to these data sources, provide a brief description of how the are used and state whether or not source is publicly available. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Data source	Description, how they are used, publicly available or not			
	Insert text Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
275	Has/have the model(s) been developed externally (e.g. vendor model)?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please name the vendors and versions of the model(s) being used.				
	Description and version of the model				
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				



276	How is the quality of the data used in your internal economic capital model(s) assured?				
	Please describe in summary.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
277	What are the main risk factors and drivers used for stresses in your internal economic capital model(s)?				
	Please describe in summa	ıry.			
	Main risk factors and drivers	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
278	What is the risk measure (e.g. VaR, TVaR) and confidence level (e.g. 99.5%) that is being used? If the measures are different for different risks please refer to the next question.				
	If using a common risk measure and confidence level across all risks, please provide the answer in the box below.				
	Risk measure and confidence level	Rationale for choice			
	Insert text	Insert text			



279	Do the risk measures and confidence levels differ for different risks?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please specify how those risk measures and confidence levels vary among different risks.					
	Risk measure, confidence level and rationale for choice					
	Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
280	To the extent that explicit diversification benefits are taken into account in your internal economic capital model(s), how has the diversification benefit been calibrated? If empirical and/or external evidence (as opposed to judgment) was used in the calibration, please provide a brief description of the data and/or sources used.					
	Please describe in summary.					
Method for calibration of diversification benefit Insert text Description of data and/or sources used Insert text Insert text		Description of data and/or sources used				
		Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
22	.7 Any other comments					

281	Do you have further comments regarding this section?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	



YES		NO		Not Applicable	
If YES, please describe in the table below					
Item name	tem name		Description and rationale		
Insert text		Insert text			



23 Supplementary data collection (Dynamic Hedging)

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT18.Dynamic Hedging
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	20 Supplementary Data Collection (Dynamic Hedging)

During 2018 Field Testing, data is being collected on the different methods currently used to model and measure risks associated with products where dynamic hedging programmes are employed. This could be an internal model used to produce regulatory capital or economic capital measures, a supervisor defined methodology or some other approach.

282	Do you currently have a dynamic hedging programme in place?			
	These are hedging programmes where market and credit risk mitigation instruments are rebalanced dynamically to manage complex exposures that arise from insurance products, and their associated assets, with exposure to market risks and containing significant levels of options and guarantees.			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please explain the approaches that are employed and the impact of applying these compared to the ICS standard method. The information provided below should correspond to the same models(s) that were used to generate the quantitative numbers reported in the Template.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact. In particular where possible please provide information on the following:			
	The types of products for which it is being employed;			
	Which risk(s) are being hedged;			
	 The mechanisms used to execute the hedging programmes; and How these models are currently being assessed and the capital requirements calibrated within local supervisory regimes 			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		



	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
283	Do you have further comments regarding this section? Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the field testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the field testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as i	necessary)		

END OF DOCUMENT