

## 2017 Field Testing Questionnaire for the May 2017 Quantitative Data Collection Exercise of the Field Testing Project

("the Questionnaire")

This is an IAIS working document used for 2017 Field Testing purposes. It does not purport to represent or prejudge the final proposals of the IAIS on ICS.

This document must be read in conjunction with the associated 2017 Field Testing Technical Specifications, Templates and yield curve documentation to provide an accurate and up-to-date understanding of the Field Testing exercise.



1	Intro	oduction4		
	1.1	Preamble	4	
	1.2	Instructions	4	
2	ldei	ntification	5	
3	Bas	eline Current Regulatory Reporting	6	
4	BCI	R and ICS Balance Sheet	10	
5	Mai	rket Adjusted Valuation ("MAV" or "Market Adjusted")	18	
	5.1	MAV: Valuation of Assets	18	
	5.2	MAV: Yield Curves and Bucketing	31	
6	GA	AP with Adjustments ("GAAP Plus")	47	
7	Red	conciliations	58	
	7.1 Disco	Reconciliations: Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV – Benchmark unting Option	58	
	7.2 Option	Reconciliations: Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV – HQA Discounting n 60		
	7.3 Disco	Reconciliations: Non-Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV – Benchmark unting Option	62	
8		bital Resources		
8 9	Cap		65	
	Cap	bital Resources	65 74	
	Car Cor	bital Resources nsistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate	65 74 74	
	Cap Cor 9.1	bital Resources nsistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate Consistent and comparable MOCE	65 74 74 76	
	Cap Cor 9.1 9.2 9.3	bital Resources nsistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate Consistent and comparable MOCE Cost of Capital MOCE (CoC-MOCE)	65 74 74 76 80	
9	Car Cor 9.1 9.2 9.3 0 The	bital Resources nsistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate Consistent and comparable MOCE Cost of Capital MOCE (CoC-MOCE) Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE)	65 74 74 76 80 83	
9	Car Cor 9.1 9.2 9.3 0 The	bital Resources Insistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate Consistent and comparable MOCE Cost of Capital MOCE (CoC-MOCE) Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE) e ICS Standard Method	65 74 74 76 80 83 83	
9	Car Cor 9.1 9.2 9.3 0 The 10.1	bital Resources Insistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate Consistent and comparable MOCE Cost of Capital MOCE (CoC-MOCE) Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE) e ICS Standard Method Look-through	65 74 74 76 80 83 83 85	
9	Car Cor 9.1 9.2 9.3 0 The 10.1 10.2 10.3	bital Resources Insistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate Consistent and comparable MOCE Cost of Capital MOCE (CoC-MOCE) Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE) ICS Standard Method Look-through Risk mitigation	65 74 74 76 80 83 83 85 92	
9	Car Cor 9.1 9.2 9.3 0 The 10.1 10.2 10.3	bital Resources Insistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate Consistent and comparable MOCE Cost of Capital MOCE (CoC-MOCE) Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE) ICS Standard Method Look-through Risk mitigation Geographical segmentation	65 74 74 76 80 83 83 83 85 92 94	
9	Car Cor 9.1 9.2 9.3 0 The 10.1 10.2 10.3 1 Insu	bital Resources Insistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate Consistent and comparable MOCE. Cost of Capital MOCE (CoC-MOCE) Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE). Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE). ICS Standard Method. Look-through. Risk mitigation. Geographical segmentation. urance risks	65 74 74 76 80 83 83 83 85 92 94 94	
9	Car Cor 9.1 9.2 9.3 0 The 10.1 10.2 10.3 1 Insu 11.1	bital Resources Insistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate Consistent and comparable MOCE Cost of Capital MOCE (CoC-MOCE) Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE) Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE) ICS Standard Method Look-through. Risk mitigation Geographical segmentation urance risks Grouping of Policies for Life Risks	65 74 74 76 80 83 83 83 85 92 94 94 96	
9	Car Cor 9.1 9.2 9.3 0 The 10.1 10.2 10.3 1 Insu 11.1 11.2	bital Resources Insistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate Consistent and comparable MOCE. Cost of Capital MOCE (CoC-MOCE) Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE). Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE). ICS Standard Method. Look-through. Risk mitigation. Geographical segmentation. Urance risks Grouping of Policies for Life Risks. Mortality Risk	65 74 74 76 80 83 83 83 85 92 94 94 96 99	
9	Car Cor 9.1 9.2 9.3 0 The 10.1 10.2 10.3 1 Insu 11.1 11.2 11.3	bital Resources hisistent and Comparable Margin Over Current Estimate Consistent and comparable MOCE Cost of Capital MOCE (CoC-MOCE) Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE) Prudence MOCE (P-MOCE) ICS Standard Method Look-through Risk mitigation Geographical segmentation urance risks Grouping of Policies for Life Risks Mortality Risk Longevity Risk	65 74 74 76 80 83 83 83 83 92 94 94 94 99 104	



1	1.7 Premium Risk and Claims Reserve Risk117				
1	11.8 Catastrophe Risk				
	11.8.1 Catastrophe risk: Non-Life Exposures				
	11.8.2 Catastrophe risk: Life Exposures				
	11.8	3.3 Catastrophe Risk (All Life & Non-Life)	137		
12	Mar	ket risks	139		
1	2.1	Interest Rate risk	139		
1	2.2	Equity risk	146		
1	2.3	Real Estate risk	149		
1	2.4	Currency risk	152		
1	2.5	Asset Concentration risk	155		
13	Cre	dit risk	158		
14	Оре	erational risk	163		
15	Agg	regation / Diversification	168		
16	Bas	eline Jurisdictional Legal-Entity Capital Requirements	170		
17	Bas	eline Supplementary Internal Model Data	172		
18 moo		estions to support the drafting of the plan to consider possible future use of internal n the ICS	177		
1	8.1	Model coverage - Scope of application of internal economic capital model(s)	177		
1	8.2	Uses and purposes of internal economic capital model(s)	179		
1	8.3	Model governance	180		
1	8.4	Model validation	182		
1	8.5	Aggregation/diversification	183		
18.6 Technical specifications of the internal economic capital model(s) used		Technical specifications of the internal economic capital model(s) used	184		
1	8.7	Other questions	186		
19	9 Scope of Group				
20	Supplementary data collection (national government exposures)				
21	21 Supplementary data collection (investment segments)				
22	2 Taxes under the ICS				



## 1.1 Preamble

This questionnaire focuses on supplementary information in relation to quantitative data provided in 2017 Field Testing, and is due 11 September **2017**.

## 1.2 Instructions

Please:

- Provide your answers ONLY in the spaces in the table provided for responses to each question.
- **DO NOT** alter the structure of this document (e.g. do not add additional rows or boxes for your answers, unless they are within the space already provided).
- **DO NOT** enter any information in blank spaces between questions or sections. The structure provided in this document is used to collate responses across Volunteer Groups. Any information entered outside this structure may result in that information being discarded during collation of the responses.

If this results in any problems with providing a response to any question in this questionnaire, please email <u>IAIS\_Field\_Testing@bis.org</u> with details of the problem.

Please refer to the 2017 Field Testing Technical Specifications for instructions on submitting this questionnaire. In particular please adhere to the deadlines and the file naming convention provided therein.



1	Please provide the name of your Volunteer Group below:				
	Volunteer Group name		ame		
		Insert text			
2				n of this questionnaire (dd/mm/yyyy). If an earlier een updated please indicate a new date here:	
		Date of this submis	sion		
		Insert text			
3				ict persons for queries about the responses to this s and telephone number.	
Primary Contact		Primary Contact	Information		
		Name:	Insert text		
		Email:	Insert text		
		Phone:	Insert text		
	Backup ContactInformationName:Insert text		Information		
			Insert text		
		Email:	Insert text		
		Phone:	Insert text		



FT Template Sheets referr	ed to in this section:	FT17.Baseline
Key FT Technical Specific section	ation sections relevant to this	5. Baseline Current Regulatory Reporting

4	Were any material simplifications and/or divergences from sectorial rules made when calculating the sectoral capital requirements for regulated banking activities in FT17.Baseline?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         NO       Not Applicable			
		ese simplifications and/or divergences from sectoral rules. ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)		
5	Does your Volunteer Group engage in any material (in aggregate) non-regulated banking-like activities that were reported in FT17.Baseline?			
	Provide your response by	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe those non-regulated banking-like activities.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.         Item name       Description and rationale         Insert text       Insert text			



	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
6	Were there any material simplifications or divergence from the rules of application when determining the leverage ratio and Basel III risk-weighted assets information for non-regulated banking-like activities in FT17.Baseline?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:          YES       NO       Not Applicable			
	-		ence from the rules of application when ted assets information for non-regulated	
	Please describe in summary direction of impact.	r, but with sufficient detail	to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationa	le	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
7	Does your Volunteer Group engage in any material (in aggregate) other financial activities, particularly securities businesses or asset management businesses, within the scope of the group consolidation that has been reported in FT17.Baseline?			
	Provide your response by p	placing an 'x' in the releva	nt cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe these other financial activities and the basis and amount of any capital requirements as reported for these other financial activities businesses.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name D	escription and rationale	Basis and amount of capital requirements reported	
	Insert text In	nsert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)			
8		Does your Volunteer Group engage in any material (in aggregate) unregulated business that is similar to other insurance regulated business and that could be considered as insurance business for ICS purposes?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe t operated.	he unregulated business and the juris	diction in which the business is		
	Please describe in summ potential impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow	an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description of unregulated business	Jurisdiction in which it operates		
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)			
9	In order for the IAIS to better understand the Baseline current regulatory reporting, please provide information on the impact of transitional measures applicable to the figures reported for the 'Insurance-related' 'Capital requirement and 'Insurance-related' 'Qualifying capital resources'.				
	Do those reported values take into account any transitional measures that have been applied to your Volunteer Group by your Group-wide Supervisor?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please quantify the impact by comparing these values to the values if no transitional measures were taken into account.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text Insert text				



	(Add additional rows as a	necessary)	
10	Do you have further comments regarding this section? Where appropriate, this includes comment on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculation that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	YES	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable e item or issue and describe it and the rationale for its relevance to the	
Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of mater potential impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional raws as		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	8 BCR and ICS Balance Sheet

11	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied (for any entity) in filling in the IAIS defined segmentation in the table 'Detailed information on the insurance liabilities according to the BCR segmentation' in FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet and elsewhere in the template where these segments are used?			
	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe any material assumptions or simplifications applied (for any entity) in filling in the IAIS defined segmentation in the table 'Detailed information on the insurance liabilities according to the BCR segmentation' in FT17.BCR & ICS. Balance sheet and elsewhere in the template where these segments are used. Please provide the rationale supporting your assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the estimated impact of making those assumptions or simplifications relative to a more precise approach. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
12	The approach taken for segmentation for the BCR has not changed from that used for the 2016 Field Testing exercise. If you participated in the 2016 Field Testing exercise, have you made any material changes in the most recent prior segmentation basis used to report your business this year?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:      YES      NO    Not Applicable			



	If YES, please describe the reclassifications made, the basis on which they were made,				
	and the impact they have had.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of material and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Descr	iption and ratior	nale	
Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional ro	ws as necessar	у)		
13	than full consolida	tion method for approaches for	GAAP Plus: do different comp	Specifications, use an aggregation rather es that method include the use of onents of insurance liabilities (i.e. the ch component)?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:       YES       NO   Not Applicable				
	If YES, please provide the balance for insurance liabilities valued under each different approach and describe here the GAAP Plus jurisdictional example under which they were remeasured to produce a GAAP Plus balance sheet. Please provide any additional qualitative or quantitative details of the impact of these liabilities not having been reported on the principal GAAP Plus basis specified above.				
	Jurisdictional GAAP used	GAAP Plus example used	Amount	Description and rationale	
	Insert text			Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
14	For volunteers whose GAAP Plus approach is based on IFRS 4 <i>Insurance Contracts</i> which does not require consistency of accounting policies: do you use different valuation approaches for different components of insurance liabilities (i.e. the most appropriate GAAP Plus specification for each component)?				



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO	Not Applicable	
		L		
	If YES, please give the quantum of insurance liabilities valued under each different approach and describe here the GAAP Plus specification under which they were calculated. Please give qualitative or quantitative details of the impact of these liabilities not having been reported on the principal GAAP Plus basis specified above. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality			
	and direction of impac			
	GAAP Plus A example used	Amount	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	nsert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows a	as necessary)		
15	Were any material reclassifications made between reported general purpose audited financial statements (GAAP) and GAAP Plus in FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet (table 'Information on the balance sheet used for BCR and ICS purposes', Column 'Reclassification from GAAP')?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	•		ns made between reported GAAP and GAAP of points for reclassification:	
	<ul> <li>The method used to calculate each adjusted amount</li> <li>If the processes and systems used were subject to audit</li> <li>Any simplifying assumptions used and an assessment of the impact of these simplifications.</li> </ul>			
	Reclassifications could include, for example, any amounts that are adjusted due to changes in balance sheet presentation, netting or grossing of balances, differences in the scope of the group, or application of different consolidation methods or rules.			
	Please describe in sur and direction of impac	-	ficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality	
	Item name Description and rationale			



			6	1			
	Ins	ert text		Insert text			
	(Ada	additional rows	s as n	ecessary)			
16	& IC	-	et (tab	le 'Information	on tł	tween reported GAAP and MAV in FT17.BCR ne balance sheet used for BCR and ICS AP')?	
	Pro	ovide your respo	onse b	by placing an 'x	c' in t	he relevant cell:	
		YES		NO		Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe the reclassifications made between reported GAAP and MAV. Please address at least the following points for reclassification:						
	<ul> <li>The method used to calculate each adjusted amount.</li> <li>If the processes and systems used were subject to audit.</li> <li>Any simplifying assumptions used and an assessment of the impact of these simplifications.</li> </ul>						
	Reclassifications could include, for example, any amounts that are adjusted due to changes in balance sheet presentation, netting or grossing of balances, differences in the scope of the group, or application of different consolidation methods or rules.						
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.						
	Iter	n name		Description a	and r	ationale	
	Insert text Insert text						
	(Add additional rows as necessary)						
17	betw (tabl	een values repo	orted n the	for GAAP and balance sheet	GAA	ested assets originally recorded at cost made P Plus in FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet d for BCR and ICS purposes', Column	
	Pro	ovide your respo	onse b	by placing an 'x	c' in t	he relevant cell:	
		YES		NO		Not Applicable	
1							



	•	e adjustments made between reported GAAP and GAAP east the following points for reclassification:	
	whether these fair internally modelled - If the processes ar	to calculate the adjustment to each cost value to fair value and value amounts are based on market, pricing services, or I. Ind systems used were subject to audit. sumptions used and an assessment of the impact of these	
	Please describe in summa and direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
18	Were any adjustments made to values of invested assets originally recorded at cost made between values reported for GAAP and MAV in FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet (table 'Information on the balance sheet used for BCR and ICS purposes', Column 'Reclassification from GAAP')?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable	
	•	e adjustments made between reported GAAP and MAV. Please ing points for reclassification:	
	<ul> <li>The method used to calculate the adjustment to each cost value to fair value and whether these fair value amounts are based on market, pricing services, or internally modelled.</li> <li>If the processes and systems used were subject to audit.</li> <li>Any simplifying assumptions used and an assessment of the impact of these simplifications.</li> <li>Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materia and direction of impact.</li> <li>Item name</li> <li>Description and rationale</li> </ul>		
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows a	as necessary)			
19	Were any loans to policyholders reported in the table 'Information on the balance sheet used for BCR and ICS purposes' in FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet?				
	Provide your respon YES	se by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:          NO       Not Applicable			
	material assumptions or simplifications, and simplifications relative	be the approach(es) taken to the valuation of these policy loans, any or simplifications made, the rationale supporting your assumptions indicate the estimated impact of making those assumptions or e to a more precise approach.			
	Please describe in sui and direction of impac	mmary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality ct.			
	Item name	em name Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows a	as necessary)			
20	Did you input an amount in FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet, table 'Information on the balance sheet used for BCR and ICS purposes', Row "(-) adjustments already included in other equity items"?				
	Provide your respon	se by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please provide a description of what was included in the amount in sufficient detail to understand why the offset was necessary.				
	Amount	Description			
	Insert text	Insert text			



	(Add additional rows as	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
21	Do you have any comments related to the segmentation that is used for the BCR & ICS Balance Sheet?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO	ot Applicable	
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.			
	Please describe in sum and potential impact.	mary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality	
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	s necessary)		
22	Do the columns for "Other than Related to insurance activities" on FT17.BCR&ICS Balance Sheet include any pension business?			
	Provide your respons	e by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:	
	YES	NO	ot Applicable	
	If YES, please address	the following points:		
	<ul> <li>is any capital requirement recorded for this business on the Baseline sheet?</li> <li>For pension business deemed "Other than Related to insurance activities, is any asset management of that pension business recorded either 'Within 'Insurance Activities' on the balance sheet or in the Baseline 'Information on Assets under Management'?</li> </ul>			
	Please describe in sum and direction of impact	-	detail to allow an assessment of materiality	
	Item name	Description and ratio	onale	



	Insert text	Insert text				
(4	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
D	o you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?			
ca (t	alculations that you pro	vide which you conside al to have a material im	data, additional relevant data, and/or r relevant to the Field Testing analysis pact on any conclusions reached based on			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES	NO	ot Applicable			
Т	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.					
Р	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	Insert text	Insert text				



## 5.1 MAV: Valuation of Assets

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.Valuation assets FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance
	sheet
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	6 Market Adjusted Valuation ("MAV") Approach

24	Were any MAV Technical Specifications not followed when valuing assets and liabilities (including insurance liabilities)?			
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	If YES, please describe the part(s) of specifications which were not followed and the rationale for decision not to perform the calculation as specified.			
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	rry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
25	Did you identify any asset or liability reported in the MAV Balance Sheet that may be inconsistently valued across GAAPs? (i.e. should the IAIS define an adjustment for that balance sheet item)?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	inconsistently valued acro	ach asset or liability reported in the MAV Balance Sheet that may be ss GAAPs, including an assessment of the materiality of such de proposals for how such inconsistencies may be managed and		



	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
26	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied for the purposes of determining MAV current estimates for insurance liabilities and reinsurance assets in FT17.BCR & ICS Balance sheet with respect to contract boundaries?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	their materiality, and the rationale for making the assumption or simplification.         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.         Item name       Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
27	Did you calculate material negative current estimates for any product line in any IAIS defined segment?				
	Note that this question requires a response not only when a negative current estimate is reported in MAV Gross Liabilities in FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet but also where material negative current estimates have reduced otherwise positive current estimates within IAIS defined segments.				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			



	If YES, please describe the insurance products or contracts in the product lines for which current estimates were obtained, explain why these negative current estimates are reason outcomes, and describe how these negative current estimates were treated when results aggregated into Field Testing segments. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materia direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)		
28	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied for the purposes of determining MAV current estimates for insurance liabilities and reinsurance assets in MAV Gross Liabilities and Reinsurance Recoverables in FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet with respect to recognition criteria?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable			
	their materiality, and the ra	ch material simplification or adjustment made, including an assessment of tionale for making the assumption or simplification.		
	direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	cessary)			
29	<ul> <li>Are there any (re)insurance contracts for which either of the following are true?</li> <li>Direct policies which (1) meet the MAV recognition criteria and (2) are covered, at least in part, by future ceded reinsurance contracts that do not meet the recognition criteria.</li> <li>Ceded reinsurance contracts which (1) meet the MAV recognition criteria and (2) cover, at least in part, future direct policies that do not meet the recognition criteria.</li> </ul>			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			

	YES	NO		Not Applicable	
	•	e describe in sumr		oducts or contracts in the product lines for which this out with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of	
	Item name	Description	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows	s as necessary)			
30	Under MAV, insurance contracts are recognized when a Volunteer Group becomes party to a contract, without any possibility to amend or cancel it, even though the insurance coverage has not started yet. That is, current estimates may include future profit (or loss) on not-yet-incepted business. Do these future profits/losses have a significant impact, net of reinsurance, on current estimates? Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please provide, on best efforts basis, an estimate of this impact. Volunteers that are using one of the suggested simplifications for non-life premium liabilities may select 'N/A' for this item.				
	Item name	Descriptior	and i	ationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows	s as necessary)			
31	Did you have materia determining current			hich options and/or guarantees are material in fined segment?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				

	IO Not Applicable			
-	w you valued options and/or guarantees in determining current estimate each affected Field Testing segment. Please address at least the levant line of business:			
<ul> <li>The materiality of the the line of business</li> <li>The methodology us Carlo simulation ap Other approach (pleter approach (pleter approach deterministic ap value over time wasses)</li> <li>How any practical provide approximation of the extent to which the the terministic of the extent to which the terministic of the terministic of the extent to which the terministic of terministic of the terministic of the terministic of terministi</li></ul>	sed to determine the valuation of the option and/or guarantees (Monte proach, closed form stochastic approach, deterministic approach; or ar ease explain)) proach was used, how the probability that the option will gain in intrinsi			
prior Field Testing e	es in the valuation of options and/or guarantees since the most recent exercise you participated in y, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Description of valuation of options and/or guarantees			
IAIS defined segment	Description of valuation of options and/or guarantees			
	Description of valuation of options and/or guarantees			
	Insert text			
Insert text (Add additional rows as ned Were there any IAIS defined	Insert text cessary) d segments for which material future assumed management actions w ilculation of the current estimates with respect to section 6.3.13			
Insert text Insert text (Add additional rows as new Were there any IAIS defined taken into account in the ca Management Actions of the	Insert text cessary) d segments for which material future assumed management actions w ilculation of the current estimates with respect to section 6.3.13			
Insert text Insert text (Add additional rows as new Were there any IAIS define taken into account in the ca Management Actions of the Provide your response by	Insert text <i>cessary)</i> d segments for which material future assumed management actions we ilculation of the current estimates with respect to section 6.3.13 a Technical Specifications?			



	<ul> <li>total current estima</li> <li>The extent to which corresponding future be derived. That is actions in addition being triggered by</li> <li>The extent to which actions have bene</li> <li>Any material change Field Testing exerce</li> <li>The basis on which</li> </ul>	In the application of future assumed management actions has reduced the attentiate that would otherwise be derived in the use of management actions have reduced the current estimate re discretionary benefits or options and guarantees that would otherwise s, changes in current estimates attributable to assumed management to normal reductions in bonuses following adverse experience, such as the solvency of the company and / or fund being seriously threatened in future assumed policyholder behaviours in response to management taken into account ges in future assumed management actions since the most recent prior tise you participated in in the allowance was made (written policy, established practice, etc) ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	IAIS defined segment	Description of valuation of options and/or guarantees			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
33	•	fferences in the methods used to calculate the reinsurance recoverables calculate current estimates for any material line of business within any			
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe the differences between the methods used to calculate reinsurance recoverables and current estimates, the rationale for using different methods, and the impact of not using the methods used for calculating current estimates for computing reinsurance recoverables for each relevant line of business in each relevant Field Testing segment. Please also indicate whether there is any material change in approach compared to the most recent prior round of Field Testing you participated in, the rationale for those changes, and the impact of those changes on outcomes.				
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	IAIS defined segment	Description and rationale			
	Insert text Insert text				



	Add additional rows as no	ecessarv)					
	This question refers to the valuation of contingent liabilities, which should be based on the Volunteer						
34	Group's reported IFRS or GAAP valuations. Most contingent liabilities are disclosed in the notes to financial statements because estimates are not reliable and/or the IFRS/GAAP definition of a liability to be reported on the balance sheet is not met. Were any material contingent liabilities not disclosed in the 2017 Field Testing balance sheet due to						
	local accounting rules?						
	Provide your response b	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES	NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please describe the material contingent liabilities not included in the 2017 Field Testing balance sheet, and the possible size to those liabilities. Please also explain if there are any materi changes in local accounting rules regarding contingent liabilities since the most recent prior round Field Testing you participated in, and the impact of those changes.						
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and					
	Item name	Description and rationale					
	Insert text Insert text						
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)					
35	Were overhead expenses material when calculating any current estimates for IAIS defined segments?						
	Provide your response b	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable						
	If YES, please describe how overhead administrative expenses are taken into account when calculating any current estimates. Please address at least the following points for each relevant line of business:						
	<ul> <li>The proportion (both in currency and percentage terms) of your projected overhead expenses that has been taken into account in current estimates</li> </ul>						



	The methodology used for the allocation of overhead expenses between administrative expenses included in current estimates and other expenses such as acquisition expenses.     Any material changes in treatment of overhead expenses since the most recent prior Field Testing exercise you participated in Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.      IAIS defined segment     Insert text     Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
36	Did you encounter practica current estimates for IAIS	al difficulties in the calculation of overhead expenses to be included in defined segments?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered and provide proposals for how they could be addressed in order to make the calculation more practical.         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
37	Were any defined benefit (DB) pension plan surplus assets included in FT17.BCR&ICS Balance Sheet sheet?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	



	If YES, please describe the source and valuation methods used to calculate any defined benefit (DB) pension plan surplus assets included in your balance sheet.						
	Please also explain any material changes in treatment of these valuation methods since the most recent prior Field Testing exercise you participated in.						
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.						
	Item name Description and rationale						
Insert text Insert text							
	(Add	l additional rows	as n	ecessary)			
38						tions applied for the purposes of completing the Cashflows" for Non-Life Premium Liabilities?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:						
	YES NO Not Applicable				Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe material assumptions or simplifications, provide the rationale supporting your assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your assumptions or simplifications.						
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.						
	Iter	m name		Description a	and r	ationale	
	Ins	ert text		Insert text			
	(Add	l additional rows	as n	ecessary)			
39	Is there any additional information that you think would be valuable for understanding the "Breakdown of the Present Value of Future Cashflows" for non-life premium liabilities?			-			
	Pro	ovide your respo	nse t	by placing an 's	c' in t	he relevant cell:	
		YES		NO		Not Applicable	



If YES, please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact. Examples of items that could benefit from further explanation include (but are not limited to):

- Unusual or negative values
- Issues with mapping expenses to the columns provided,
- Any "Other Adjustments" made in the last column.

	Item name		Description	and r	ationale	
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additiona	al rows as n	ecessary)			
40	In the reporting on Non-Life Liabilities, there is a table with historical combined ratios. Are there any material differences between the methodology to derive these combined ratios and the combined ratio provided in the Table for Selecting a MAV Premium Liability?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable				Not Applicable	
	If YES, please provide an explanation for the differences. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					
Item name Description and rationale				ationale		
	Insert text		Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
41	Did you apply	either of th	e possible Sim	plifica	ations for Non-Life Premium Liabilities?	
	Provide your	response l	by placing an 's	c' in t	he relevant cell:	
	YES		NO		Not Applicable	



If YES, please indicate which simplification was used and provide details on the difficulties faced in the calculation of Non-Life Premium Liabilities. Provide details on alternative simplifications (including formulas) which the IAIS could consider (references/ links to external sources are also welcome).

	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
42	-	ompared to the most recent prior round of Field Testing you participated in, have you made any aterial changes in the approaches or methodologies used to value assets and liabilities in the MAV alance sheet?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe these changes in approach or methodology, provide the rationale supporting your changes, and indicate the impact of making these changes on reported outcomes. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
43	This question refers to valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.				
	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied for the purposes of entering insurance liabilities by segment for each discounting option in Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and Valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions in FT17.Valuation liabilities?				



Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:     YES NO NO Not Applicable      If YES, please describe material assumptions or simplifications, provide the rationale supporting     your assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your assumptions or     simplifications.     Additionally, if material assumptions or simplifications were applied, are they likely to materially     impact     Comparisons between some options for some insurance segments or products? If so,     please specify the segments or products and the extent of the impact.     Comparisons between termet economic conditions and stressed economic conditions for     some insurance segments or products? If so, please specify the segments or products and     the extent of the impact.     Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and     direction of impact.     (Add additional rows as necessary)     (Add additional rows as necessary)     (Add additional rows as necessary)     Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:     YES No Not Applicable     YES No Not Applicable     If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions of     insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions and the table on     Yaluations of insurance be decommic conditions of IT17.Valuation liabilities?     Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:     YES No Not Applicable     If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions     of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of     adopting your resolutions.     Additionally, if similar data is requested in future Field Testing exercises how could the structure of     the data request be improved?	C				
If YES, please describe material assumptions or simplifications, provide the rationale supporting your assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your assumptions or simplifications.         Additionally, if material assumptions or simplifications were applied, are they likely to materially impact         • Comparisons between some options for some insurance segments or products? If so, please specify the segments or products and the extent of the impact.         • Comparisons between current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions for some insurance segments or products? If so, please specify the segments or products and the extent of the impact.         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.         (Add additional rows as necessary)         44         This question refers to Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.         Did you encounter any difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions and FT17.Valuation liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and the data request be improved?		Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
your assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your assumptions or simplifications.         Additionally, if material assumptions or simplifications were applied, are they likely to materially impact         - Comparisons between some options for some insurance segments or products? If so, please specify the segments or products and the extent of the impact.         - Comparisons between current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions for some insurance segments or products? If so, please specify the segments or products and the extent of the impact.         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.         Item name       Description and rationale         Insert text       Insert text         Insert text       Insert text         //Add additional rows as necessary)         44       This question refers to Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.         Did you encounter any difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions of insurance liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.         Add		YES	NO	Not Applicable	
your assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your assumptions or simplifications.         Additionally, if material assumptions or simplifications were applied, are they likely to materially impact         - Comparisons between some options for some insurance segments or products? If so, please specify the segments or products and the extent of the impact.         - Comparisons between current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions for some insurance segments or products? If so, please specify the segments or products and the extent of the impact.         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.         Item name       Description and rationale         Insert text       Insert text         Insert text       Insert text         //Add additional rows as necessary)         44       This question refers to Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.         Did you encounter any difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions of insurance liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.         Add					
impact       - Comparisons between some options for some insurance segments or products? If so, please specify the segments or products and the extent of the impact.       - Comparisons between current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions for some insurance segments or products? If so, please specify the segments or products and the extent of the impact.         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.       Item name       Description and rationale         Insert text       Insert text       Insert text       Insert text <i>(Add additional rows as necessary)</i> - Comparison for some insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.         44       This question refers to Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.         Did you encounter any difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions of FT17. Valuation liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:       YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.       Additionally, if similar data is requested in future Field Testing exercises how could the structure of the data request be improved?		your assumptions or simp			
please specify the segments or products and the extent of the impact.         Comparisons between current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions for some insurance segments or products? If so, please specify the segments or products and the extent of the impact.         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.         Item name       Description and rationale         Insert text       Insert text         Insert text       Insert text         Insert text       Insert text         Item conditions       Or place         (Add additional rows as necessary)         44       This question refers to Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.         Did you encounter any difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions of FT17.Valuation liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:       YES         YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.         Additionally, if similar data is requested in future Field Testing exercises how could the structure of the data req			sumptions or simp	lifications were applied, are they likely to materially	
direction of impact.       Item name       Description and rationale         Insert text       Insert text       Insert text         (Add additional rows as necessary)       (Add additional rows as necessary)         44       This question refers to Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.         Did you encounter any difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions of FT17.Valuation liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		<ul> <li>Comparisons between some options for some insurance segments or products? If so, please specify the segments or products and the extent of the impact.</li> <li>Comparisons between current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions for some insurance segments or products? If so, please specify the segments or products and</li> </ul>			
Insert text       Insert text         Insert text       Insert text         (Add additional rows as necessary)         44       This question refers to Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.         Did you encounter any difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions of FT17.Valuation liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:       YES         YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.         Additionally, if similar data is requested in future Field Testing exercises how could the structure of the data request be improved?         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			ary, but with suffici	ent detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
44       This question refers to Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.         Did you encounter any difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions of FT17.Valuation liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:       YES         YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.         Additionally, if similar data is requested in future Field Testing exercises how could the structure of the data request be improved?         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		Item name	Description and	rationale	
44       This question refers to Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.         Did you encounter any difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions of FT17.Valuation liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		Insert text	Insert text Insert text		
44       This question refers to Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.         Did you encounter any difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions of FT17.Valuation liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
44       This question refers to Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and stressed economic conditions.         Did you encounter any difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions of FT17.Valuation liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
stressed economic conditions.         Did you encounter any difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions of FT17.Valuation liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         Not Applicable         If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.         Additionally, if similar data is requested in future Field Testing exercises how could the structure of the data request be improved?         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)		
Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of insurance liabilities under stressed economic conditions of FT17.Valuation liabilities?         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.         Additionally, if similar data is requested in future Field Testing exercises how could the structure of the data request be improved?         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	44	-		ce liabilities under current economic conditions and	
YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.         Additionally, if similar data is requested in future Field Testing exercises how could the structure of the data request be improved?         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		Valuations of insurance liabilities under current economic conditions and the table on Valuations of			
If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions. Additionally, if similar data is requested in future Field Testing exercises how could the structure of the data request be improved? Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
<ul> <li>of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.</li> <li>Additionally, if similar data is requested in future Field Testing exercises how could the structure of the data request be improved?</li> <li>Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and</li> </ul>		YES	NO	Not Applicable	
the data request be improved? Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		of these difficulties, provid adopting your resolutions	de the rationale sup	oporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of	
		2			
			ary, but with suffici	ent detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	



	Item name	Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)				
45	5 Do you have further comments regarding this section?					
	that you provide which yo	ou consider relevant to	data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential eached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
Item name Reference Description and rationale			Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT 17 Valuation Assets
	FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
	FT17.OAG Totals
	FT17.OAG Others
	FT17.ALM Portfolio X
	FT17 MAV blended option Yield Curves-(20170516).xlsx
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	6.3.15 and 6.4

46	Is there any component of the IAIS' approach to determining base yield curves that is <b>not</b> appropriate for any currency (e.g. instrument chosen for the base curve, selection of the last point of liquidity, length of the extrapolation period, level of LTFR)?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         NO       Not Applicable			
	<ul> <li>If YES, please describe which currencies the choice is inappropriate for, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale and evidence supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact or adopting your resolutions your resolutions of these difficulties.</li> <li>Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.</li> </ul>			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
47	This question refers to valuation of assets for the determination of the risk-corrected spread under current economic conditions using the WAMP approach where used for the Blended option.			
	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied for the purposes of entering net insurance liabilities or assets by class for each specified currency into Determination of the risk corrected spread under current economic conditions of FT17.Valuation assets?			



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions and simplifications applied for the purposes of entering net insurance liabilities or assets by class for each specified currency into Determination of the risk corrected spread under current economic conditions of FT17.Valuation assets, provide the rationale supporting your assumptions and simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments.		
	direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
48	This question refers to valuation of assets for the determination of the risk-corrected spread under stressed economic conditions using the WAMP approach for the Blended Option		
	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied for the purposes of entering net insurance liabilities or assets by class for each specified currency into Determination of the risk corrected spread under stressed economic conditions in FT17.Valuation assets?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for entering net insurance liabilities or assets by class for each specified currency into Determination of the risk corrected spread under stressed economic conditions in FT17.Valuation assets, provide the rationale supporting your assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your assumptions or simplifications. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
49	<ul><li>This question refers to valuation of assets for the determination of the risk-corrected spread using the WAMP approach for the Blended Option.</li><li>Did you encounter any particular difficulties in obtaining the necessary data to enter into the two tables on determination of the risk corrected spread FT17.Valuation assets of FT17.Valuation assets?</li></ul>			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         NO       Not Applicable			
	into the two tables on dete	material difficulties encountered in obtaining the necessary data to enter rmination of the risk corrected spread FT17.Valuation assets, your lties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the solutions.		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
50		o for each currency for the General Bucket of the Blended Option is Weights of FT17 MAV Blended option derivation-(20170516).xlsx		
	Are any of the representative portfolios <b>not</b> appropriate to reflect the global investments of insurers in each currency?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         NO       Not Applicable			
	rationale and industry-wide portfolios, and indicate the	the representative portfolios that are materially inappropriate, provide the e data supporting your analysis and determination of appropriate impact of implementing the outcomes of your analysis. Try, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	direction of impact.			



	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
51	The representative portfoli	o for each currency for the HQA option has been provided.		
	Are any of the representat in each currency?	ive portfolios <b>not</b> appropriate to reflect the global investments of insurers		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe all the representative portfolios that are materially inappropriate, provide the rationale and industry-wide data supporting your analysis and determination of appropriate portfolios, and indicate the impact of implementing the outcomes of your analysis.			
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	rry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
Item name Description and rationale				
Insert text Insert text		Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
52	For all MAV discounting options, the spreads for each asset class for each currency are provided along with risk corrections in FT.17.Valuation assets and also in worksheet 5. Spreads parameters of FT17 MAV Blended option derivation-(20170516).xlsx			
	Are any of these spreads and risk corrections <b>not</b> appropriate for any currency?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe of all spreads and risk corrections that are not appropriate, provide the rationale and evidence supporting your analysis and determination of appropriate spreads and risk corrections, and indicate the impact of implementing the outcomes of your analysis.			



	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
53	When applying the Top Bucket of the Blended Option - Volunteer-specific weighted average of representative portfolios, are there assets excluded from the portfolios as given in Table 5 in the Technical Specifications that should be deemed eligible?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all the assets that should be included, provide the rationale supporting your inclusions, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	Add additional rows as necessary)	
54	When applying the Bucketing of Liabilities for the Blended Option, did you face any particular difficulties in applying the criteria for the top bucket?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe the particular difficulties in applying the criteria for each of the top bucket for the Blended Option, explain how you addressed these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your approach, and indicate the impact of adopting your approach. Additionally, if the IAIS was to		



	pursue an approach to determining the spread that includes bucketing indicate how the criteria for each of the buckets could be refined.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
55	When applying Bucketing of Liabilities for the Blended Option, are any of the application ratios <b>not</b> appropriate to you?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, and please all cases where the application ratios were not appropriate for, propose adjustments and refinements, provide the rationale supporting your adjustments, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments and refinements. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	Add additional rows as ne	Add additional rows as necessary)	
56	When applying Bucketing of Liabilities for the Blended Option, did your Volunteer Group have liabilities that fulfil the criteria for the top bucket, but that you chose not to report in the top bucket due to materiality or as a simplification?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	



	If YES, please describe the insurance product and explain the rationale for opting to not report these liabilities in the Top Bucket.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name Description and rationale			
	Insert text Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as no	ecessary)		
57	This question refers to the Bucket of the Blended Op	e shared currency basis risk mitigation mechanism under the General tion and the HOA Option		
	Do you consider the mech	-		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
		ential changes to the mechanism to make it more appropriate.		
		ential changes to the mechanism to make it more appropriate. ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Please describe in summa			
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and Description and rationale		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and Description and rationale		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and         Description and rationale         Insert text		
58	Please describe in summa direction of impact. Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as no This question refers to the	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and           Description and rationale           Insert text           eccessary)           e shared currency basis risk mitigation mechanism under the General		
58	Please describe in summa direction of impact. Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as not This question refers to the Bucket of the Blended Op	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and           Description and rationale           Insert text           eccessary)           e shared currency basis risk mitigation mechanism under the General		
58	Please describe in summa direction of impact. Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as not This question refers to the Bucket of the Blended Op Did you experience praction	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and           Description and rationale           Insert text           ecessary)           e shared currency basis risk mitigation mechanism under the General tion and the HQA Option.		
58	Please describe in summa direction of impact. Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as not This question refers to the Bucket of the Blended Op Did you experience praction Provide your response b	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and           Description and rationale           Insert text           ecessary)           e shared currency basis risk mitigation mechanism under the General tion and the HQA Option.           cal difficulties in the application of the mechanism?		



	If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered and suggest potential changes to the mechanism which could address them.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
59	This question refers to the of the Blended Option and	e foreign assets basis risk mitigation mechanism under the General Bucket I the HQA Option.		
	Do you consider the mech	nanism to be appropriate?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If NO, plagas suggest pat	antial changes to the machanism to make it more appropriate		
		ential changes to the mechanism to make it more appropriate. ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
60	This question refers to the foreign assets basis risk mitigation mechanism under the General Bucket of the Blended Option and the HQA Option.			
	Did you experience praction	cal difficulties in the application of the mechanism?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		



	If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered and suggest potential changes to the mechanism which could address them.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name Description and rationale			
	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
61	Do you have suggestions mechanisms (for example	regarding the design and calibration of the basis risk mitigation , the trigger levels)?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	supporting the changes.	hat changes should be introduced, provide the rationale and evidence ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
62	When applying the stressed spread scenarios applicable to all MAV discounting options, were any of the stressed spreads provided for each currency <b>not</b> appropriate to assess the effectiveness of the different methods and options?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		



a contraction of the second se						
	If YES, please describe which currencies the stressed spread scenarios were not appropriate, propose adjustments, provide the rationale supporting your adjustments, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					
	Item name Description and rationale					
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add addition	al rows as ne	ecessary)			
63	when using s	tressed spre		whet	ing the requested revaluation of assets and liabilities ther using or not the proposed simplification included in tions)?	
	Provide you	ır response b	y placing an '>	' in t	the relevant cell:	
	YES		NO		Not Applicable	
	assets and lia	abilities when	using stresse	d spi	untered in performing the requested revaluation of pread scenarios, propose adjustments, provide the ndicate the impact of adopting your adjustments.	
	Please descr direction of in		ary, but with su	Ifficie	ent detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name		Description a	and r	rationale	
	Insert text		Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
64	Did you encounter any difficulties in producing yield curves, as specified in Section 6.6 of the Technical Specifications for those currencies where the IAIS has not provided yield curves and spreads to enable each of the reference methods and options to be completed?					
	Provide you	ir response b	y placing an '>	' in t	the relevant cell:	
	YES		NO		Not Applicable	



If YES, please describe the difficulties encountered, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.

Is the available information sufficient to ensure a consistent calculation among all Volunteer Groups?

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.

	Item name Insert text		Description a	and r	ationale		
				Insert text			
	(Add	additional rows	s as r	ecessary)	cessary)		
65	When applying the Blended Option – there is a cap on spread for non-investment grade assets, assets rated below investment grade (i.e. below BBB) which should be assumed to earn a spread that may not exceed the spread for BBB assets in the same currency.						
	Did y	ou have non-in	vestr	nent grade ass	ets ir	ncluded in eligible assets?	
	Pro	vide your respo	onse l	by placing an 'x	' in t	he relevant cell:	
		YES		NO		Not Applicable	
		S, please descr ded Option.	ribe h	ow material the	e imp	pact of the cap was in determining the spread for the	
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			ent detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Iter	n name		Description a	and r	ationale	
	Inse	ert text		Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)						
66	Have	e you applied O	AG to	discount a su	oset	or all of your insurance liabilities?	
	Pro	vide your respo	onse l	by placing an 'x	c' in t	he relevant cell:	
		YES		NO		Not Applicable	



If YES, please indicate what percentage of your liabilities was discounted using OAG. Detail which criteria was used to decide on the methodology (OAG/HQA) to be used for each part of your insurance liabilities.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.

	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
67	ensure that the identified I managed separately from	AG portfolios, what mechanisms are in place in your Volunteer Group to I liabilities are actually backed by the identified assets, and that these are n the remaining business of the Volunteer Group? Is the separate roughout the lifetime of the liabilities?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please provide a description about the mechanisms in place and how they are maintaine Please also describe existing controls in place.			
		ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name			
		Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	Insert text			
	Insert text			
	Insert text (Add additional rows as ne	Insert text		
68	(Add additional rows as ne	Insert text		
68	(Add additional rows as ne Is the OAG methodology t	Insert text		
68	(Add additional rows as ne Is the OAG methodology t Provide your response b	Insert text Insert text ecessary) o derive the "adjusted spread on reinvestment assets" appropriate?		
68	(Add additional rows as ne Is the OAG methodology t Provide your response b	Insert text Insert text eccessary) o derive the "adjusted spread on reinvestment assets" appropriate? y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		



× ×	If NO, please provide suggestions for modification/refinement of the methodology, as well as the rationale and evidence to support your proposed approach.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name Description and rationale				
	Insert text Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
69	Is the OAG methodology	v to derive the "adjusted lifetime spreads" appropriate?			
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
		ggestions for modification/refinement of the methodology, as well as the o support your proposed approach.			
	Please describe in sumn direction of impact.	nary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)			
70	Did you include future cash-flows stemming from non-fixed-income assets (e.g. equity, real estate) in the calculation of the adjusted asset and liability cash-flow ratios, for the purpose of the calculation of adjusted lifetime spreads?				
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe the assumptions used to project such future cash-flows. Provide the rationale and evidence used to support your approach.				



	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
71	the application of the OAG quantitative guardrails for management, and internal	elopment of standards and criteria (or "qualitative guardrails") to underpin ? Such qualitative guardrails would serve to complement the various he OAG and might cover ALM, investment management, enterprise risk control and governance practices that would provide additional of own assets and internal estimates as the basis to derive liability		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	If YES, please describe possible new qualitative guardrails to be included (or improvements to the existing ones) as well as the rationale and evidence supporting your proposals. Qualitative guardrails could be linked to:			
	<ul> <li>The IAIS Insurance Core Principles/ComFrame</li> <li>Existing local jurisdictional regulatory requirements and supervisory standards (e.g. for monitoring and evaluation an insurer's ALM)</li> <li>Current internal practices, policies and governance structures</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
72	Do you agree with the development of quantitative guardrails that are intended to provide comfort regarding the credibility of the results based on own assets and ALM practices?			



C						
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES	NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please provide comments (supported by evidence) on how effective you consider the existing quantitative guardrails (or new ones you may want to propose) to be in respect of:					
	<ul> <li>Mitigating the potential for "yield chasing" (while recognizing the need for insurers to generate sufficient returns to meet policyholder obligations);</li> <li>Ensuring that the use of the OAG is anchored in rigorous internal ALM, ERM, investiganagement, and governance practices;</li> <li>Prohibiting the use of overly aggressive or unsubstantiated assumptions;</li> <li>Requiring standardized parameters and limiting discretion, where warranted, to pron comparability and prudence; and</li> <li>Ensuring that the full spectrum of insurer assets backing liabilities (e.g., equities; loa treated in a prudent and reasonable manner.</li> </ul>					
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and				
	Item name	Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)				
73	Considering the results of applying the three options for determining the spread adjustment, in both current economic conditions and a stress scenario, do you have a view on which of the three options would be the most appropriate basis for the IAIS to consider developing further? Please note that a response of NO will be interpreted as indicating you have no view on which of the options is the most appropriate. If you feel other options should be considered these can be outlined					
		act assessed in response to the following question.				
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please state which Option is your preference. Please explain your choice referring to the results from Field Testing and provide details of any ways in which it could be modified to make it even more appropriate. If possible, also indicate the impact of adopting your modifications.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					



	Item name	Description and ratio	onale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)		
74	Do you have further com	ments regarding this so	ection?	
	that you provide which yo	ou consider relevant to	data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential eached based on the data and/or its analysis).	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:	
	YES	NO	ot Applicable	
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.			
	Please describe in summ potential impact.	ary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	7 GAAP with Adjustments valuation approach ("GAAP Plus")

75	Did you conclude that MAV and GAAP Plus are essentially the same (and hence you did not prepare a separate GAAP Plus balance sheet with explicit adjustments)?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe the analyses performed to determine that the balances under GAAP Pl did not materially differ from MAV, and specify what the key factors in support of that determina were.		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
76	Were any GAAP Plus specifications not followed or simplifying assumptions made in calculating the GAAP Plus balance sheet?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	assumption made, the im	or each GAAP Plus specification not followed and each simplifying pact of using such alternative treatment (from the specifications, or if this may not be justifiable using the proportionality principle. Please the approach taken.	



	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
77	-	ternal inconsistencies or material adjustments that should be addressed in sdictional GAAP Plus examples in the Technical Specifications		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	-	ese internal inconsistencies or material adjustments, provide the rationale and indicate the impact of making these changes on reported outcomes.		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
78	Have you made any material change in the approaches or methodologies used to value assets and liabilities in the GAAP Plus balance sheet, compared to the most recent prior round of Field Testing you participated in?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe these changes in approach or methodology, provide the rationale supporting your changes, and indicate the impact of making these changes on reported outcomes.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			

\_



	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
79	Did you apply a discount r	ate based on a book yield or return on assets under GAAP Plus?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please answer the	following questions:	
	<ol> <li>At what level of gra block of business,</li> </ol>	anularity was the discount rate determined? For example country/currency, etc.	
	For questions (2) throu the question (1) above	ugh (5), please provide your response at the level of granularity noted in e.	
	<ol> <li>For the new money/reinvestment assumption included in the discount rate, please disclose the end point of the liquid portion of the curve.</li> <li>Please disclose the period and method used to grade the reinvestment assumption from the last investible point to the long term forward rate (LTFR).</li> <li>Please disclose the LTFR assumption and how it was derived including the proportion of bonds, equity investments and other alternative investments and how these rates were weighted/blended.</li> </ol>		
		stions (2), (3) and (4) above, if an LTFR was not used to calculate the se explain how new money and reinvestment assumptions were	
	Item name	Response	
Insert text     Insert text       1)     1)		Insert text	
	2)		
	3)		
	4)		
	5)		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



80	Did you apply a discount rate based on a market based curve under GAAP Plus?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	<ul> <li>If YES, please answer the following questions: <ol> <li>At what level of granularity was the discount curve determined. For example country/currency, block of business, etc.</li> </ol> </li> <li>For questions (2) through (7), please provide your response at the level of granularity noted the question (1) above.</li> <li>Please disclose the end point of the liquid portion of the curve.</li> <li>Please disclose the period and method used to grade from the last investible point to the long term forward rate (LTFR).</li> <li>Please disclose the LTFR assumption and how it was derived including the proportion of bonds, equity investments and other alternative investments and how these rates were weighted/blended.</li> <li>Is the market based curve determined by the volunteer or a regulator?</li> <li>Where the market based curve is determined by the volunteer, is it subject to regulator review or approval?</li> <li>When determining or applying the market-based curve, were there any other key areas judgement from the volunteer is required, please describe.</li> </ul>		
	Item name	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	1)		
	2)		
	3)		
	4)		
	5)		
	7)		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
81	Did you report any particip	pating/unit linked contracts under GAAP Plus?	



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
1				
		he key areas were judgment from the volunteer is required (e.g. crediting he GAAP Plus adjustment for participating/unit linked products.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	Add additional rows as i	necessary)		
82	<ul> <li>Under GAAP Plus, Volunteers are expected to follow jurisdictional GAAP rules for contract recognition. Under the MAV Technical Specifications, the contracts recognition criteria is defined in section 6.3.4 - Recognition/Derecognition of Insurance Liabilities.</li> <li>Is your GAAP Plus definition of contract recognition similar to or the same as MAV for life/health and/or non-life liabilities?</li> </ul>			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	<ul> <li>a) If NO " for Life/Health liabilities, please list any products where contract recognition produced a material difference in the reported field testing insurance liability amounts under GAAP Plus and provide a detailed description with respect to how the contract recognition criteria is defined under jurisdictional GAAP.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>b) If "No" for Non-Life liabilities, please provide any additional details that would help explain the figures included in the columns "Recognition Criteria" for tables related to Non-Life Reconciliations.</li> </ul>			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		



	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
83	Under GAAP Plus, Volunteers are expected to follow jurisdictional GAAP rules for contract boundaries. Under the MAV Technical Specifications, the contracts boundaries' criteria is defined in section 6.3.5 - Contract Boundaries. Is your GAAP Plus definition of contract boundaries similar to or the same as MAV?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         Not Applicable			
	the reported field testing	ducts where contract boundary definition produced a material difference in insurance liability amounts under GAAP Plus and provide a detailed to how the contract boundary is defined under jurisdictional GAAP.		
	Please describe in sumn potential impact.	nary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as I	necessary)		
84	How were overhead expenses for life liabilities determined/defined for purposes of the insurance liability valuation under GAAP Plus?			
	Please describe in sumn potential impact.	nary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as i	necessary)		
85	Are there any relevant definitions in your jurisdictional or statutory GAAP for overhead expenses included in insurance liability valuations that could be leveraged for future Technical Specifications?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			

	YES	NO		Not Applicable
		_	<u> </u>	
	If YES, please provide the definition			
	Item name         Definition and reference to jurisdictional or statutory GAAP			rence to jurisdictional or statutory GAAP
	Insert text Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
86				xpense amounts that have been added to the GAAP gmentation provided in the table ICS.Balance Sheet –
	Item name	Definition and	refe	rence to jurisdictional or statutory GAAP
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
87	Were all options and guarantees (including time value of options) measured and reported in the GAAP Plus Balance Sheet?			me value of options) measured and reported in the
	Provide your response	by placing an '>	c' in t	he relevant cell:
	YES	NO		Not Applicable
	If NO, please describe and provide the reason why any options and guarantees were not included. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Options and guarantees not included	Description ar	nd rat	tionale
	Insert text	Insert text		



	(Add additional rows as i	as necessary)			
88	Were all options and guarantees valued based on stochastic models on a risk neutral basis with market consistent returns and an implied volatility?				
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If NO, please describe the calculation.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as i	necessary)			
89	Did you report any options and guarantees under MAV that were not reported under GAAP Plus?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe these options and guarantees and explain why they were not reported under GAAP Plus.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.				
	Item name Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
90	If you were a Volunteer Group in 2016 Field Testing, did you report any options and guarantees in 2017 Field Testing under GAAP Plus that were not reported in 2016 Field Testing?				



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe th	e options and guarantees that were added.		
	Please describe in summa potential impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)		
91	,	CI adjustment (applicable for Volunteer Groups following the US GAAP, AAP examples of GAAP Plus)?		
	Provide your response b	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please answer the following questions:			
	<ol> <li>Were you able to segregate assets into appropriate buckets (e.g. assets backing long ter liabilities) in order to comply with the specifications? If not, please describe any simplification employed.</li> </ol>			
		fixed income instruments from the AOCI adjustment under the "more likely (ES, please provide the instrument type, rationale and relevant description.		
	3) Did you exclude any fixed income investment that had experienced "significant credit deterioration"? How did you define "significant credit deterioration" when applying that criteria to calculate the AOCI adjustment?			
	Item name Response			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	1)			
	2)			
	3)			



	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
92	Do you have any suggested improvements or refinements to the 2017 GAAP Plus Technical Specifications?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         Not Applicable			
	If YES, please specify th analysis.	e improvement and the	rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing	
	Please describe in summ potential impact.	nary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and ration	ale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as i	necessary)		
93	Do you have further comments regarding this section?			
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		



2017 Field Testing Questionnaire - Public



# 7.1 Reconciliations: Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV – Benchmark Discounting Option

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9 Reconciliations from GAAP Plus to MAV

94	includes two user defined Plus to MAV excluding cha	ance liabilities GAAP Plus to MAV Benchmark Discounting Option columns to report any material adjustments required to move from GAAP anges to contract boundaries, cash flow projections and discounting. If ed, please provide a description for adjustment amount included in each	
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
95	Reconciliation of life insurance liabilities GAAP Plus to MAV Benchmark Discounting option includes a column labelled "other" to report any additional adjustments required to move from GAAP Plus to MAV excluding changes explicitly reported in previous columns. If this column was utilised, please provide a description for the adjustment amount.		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	Item name Description and rationale		



	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)		
96	Do you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?	
	that you provide which yo	ou consider relevant to	data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the lusions reached based on the data and/or its	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:	
	YES	NO	ot Applicable	
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name Reference Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)	·	



## 7.2 Reconciliations: Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV – HQA Discounting

#### Option

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9 Reconciliations from GAAP Plus to MAV

97	user defined columns to re excluding changes resultir	rance liabilities GAAP Plus to MAV HQA Discounting Option includes three eport any material adjustments required to move from GAAP Plus to MAV ng from applying the HQA discount curve. If these columns were utilised, on for adjustment amount included in each column.	
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
98	Reconciliation of life insurance liabilities GAAP Plus to MAV HQA Discounting option includes a column labelled "other" to report any additional adjustments required to move from GAAP Plus to MAV excluding changes explicitly reported in previous columns. If this column was utilised, please provide a description for the adjustment amount.		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         Not Applicable		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows	as necessary)		
99	Do you have further	comments regarding this s	ection?	
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your respo	nse by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:	
	YES	NO	ot Applicable	
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.			
	Please describe in su potential impact.	ummary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows	as necessary)		



### 7.3 Reconciliations: Non-Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV – Benchmark

## Discounting Option

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.BCR & ICS.Balance sheet
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9 Reconciliations GAAP Plus to MAV

100	For this Reconciliation, liabilities should be split between "short duration" and "long duration" using local jurisdictional guidance. Did you encounter any difficulties making this distinction?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, then please specify if any material assumptions or simplifications applied. If no, then please provide brief description of what jurisdictional guidance was used in making the split.				
	Item name	Descr	iption and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert	text		
	(Add additional ro	ows as necessar	у)		
101	Did you make any change in the Other columns for the Premium Liability Reconciliations?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe all the amounts reported as part of 'Other' in each table, provide the rationale supporting your adjustments, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments.			•	
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Table	Policy Type	Item name	Description and rationale	



		Short Duration	Insert text	Insert text
	GAAP to MAV	Long		
		Short		
	GAAP to GAAP+	Duration Long		
	GAAF T	Duration		
		Short Duration		
	GAAP+ to MAV	Long		
		Duration		
	(Add additional ro	ows as necessa	ry)	
102	Do you have any	other comment	s on the Premium Liability R	Reconciliations?
	Where appropriate, this includes comment on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculation that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			analysis (that is, have the potential to
	Provide your res	sponse by placi	ng an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO	Not Applicable	
		NO		
		ecify the item o	r issue and discuss it and th	e rationale for its relevance to the Field
	Testing analysis.			
	potential impact.	n summary, but	with sufficient detail to allow	w an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Desc	ription and rationale	
	Insert text	Inser	t text	
	(Add additional ro	ows as necessa	ry)	
103	Did you make any change in the Other columns for the Claims Liability Reconciliation?			
	Provide your res	sponse by placi	ng an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe all the amounts reported as part of 'Other' in each table, provide the			•
	rationale supporting your adjustments, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments.			



Table	Policy Ty	vpe Item name	Description and ration	ale
GAAP to		Insert text	Insert text	
GAAP+	Duration			
GAAP+ to N	AAV Short			
	Duration			
(Add additio	nal rows as neo	essary)		
	to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).         Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         Not Applicable			
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
Specification Field Testing Please desc	ns or Template, g analysis. ribe in summar	and provide a descrip	tion as well as rationale for its relev	ance to the
Specification Field Testing Please desc	ns or Template, g analysis. ribe in summar pact.	and provide a descrip y, but with sufficient de	tion as well as rationale for its relev	ance to the



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.Encumbered Assets FT17.Financial Instruments FT17.Non-Paid-Up Cap Resources
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	10 Qualifying Capital Resources

105	For financial instruments with a contractual maturity date that are issued by mutual insurers, are there any factors that increase their permanence? For example, conditions that must be met prior to redemption that could result in deferral of payment at contractual maturity.				
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
		If YES, please describe the nature of these conditions prior to redemption (e.g. contractual terms or the requirement for supervisory approval), and how they result in deferral of payment at contractual maturity.			
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
106	For financial instruments issued by mutual insurers, are there any relevant factors that can result in the deferral or cancellation of distributions of the instrument?				
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe the nature of any features that can restrict, cancel or defer distributions (e.g. contractual terms or the requirement for supervisory approval) and how they operate.				



	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as no	ecessary)	
)7		the issuance of debt by any legal entity within the group transferred or eamed) to another entity/subsidiary within the group?	
	Provide your response b	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	<ul> <li>other paid-in capit</li> <li>Whether amounts briefly describe ho jurisdiction of the e</li> <li>Any regulatory resisupervisor, in add would further affect at the legal entity for The ability to track</li> <li>If dividends from in supervisory appro approval of divider</li> <li>Whether the trans for asserting that to covers cross-bord supervisory regim- enforces structura</li> </ul>	the amounts transferred or contributed over time insurance subsidiaries to the Holding Company are subject to prior val, please provide details of the circumstances under which supervisory inds applies fer or contribution was made across borders, and in which case, the basis the instrument or amount is subordinated, e.g., if the instrument specifically er situations and is enforceable as to contractual subordination, or if the e of the jurisdiction of the entity that received the transfer or contribution I subordination, and if so, how.	
	direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as	necessary)
108		ments issued by the Volunteer Group or its consolidated subsidiaries contain celeration of future scheduled interest and/or principal payments?
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
		ent with acceleration terms, please describe the nature of the terms and the ich acceleration could be triggered.
	immediately due (i.e. pay within thirty days of the r	on terms can allow for the principal amount of the instrument to become yable) if the Volunteer Group fails to pay any scheduled interest payment elevant interest payment date. Other acceleration terms may only allow for e scheduled payments when the Volunteer Group is bankrupt, insolvent,
	Please describe in sum direction of impact.	nary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as a	necessary)
109	Do any of the debt instru	ments issued by the Volunteer Group contain lock-in clauses?
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please address t	he following:
	<ul><li>maturity?</li><li>What is the trigg</li><li>In the absence maturity and regime</li></ul>	address both distributions (e.g. Interest payments) and redemption at ger point for the lock-in clause? of a requirement for supervisory approval of redemptions prior to contractual burchases, does the relevant supervisor have the ability to prevent the epurchase using other means?



	<ul> <li>Is the Volunteer Group required to notify its relevant supervisor of redemptions and repurchases? If so, does the notification take place before or after the redemption or repurchase and what is the timeframe during which such notification must take place?</li> <li>Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.</li> </ul>			
	Item name Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
110	Did the Volunteer Group it nears maturity?	indicate "Other" for special conditions that apply to a financial instrument as		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:      YES    NO      Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe the special conditions and how they are applied. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
111	Were any proceeds from the issuance of debt at a parent non-insurance holding company transferred or contributed to a downstream entity/subsidiary within the group?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         NO       Not Applicable			
	If "YES", please submit the financial statements of the non-insurance holding company (i.e. unconsolidated financial statements). In addition, please provide the following information:			



	<ul> <li>details of any operations undertaken within the non-insurance holding company, exclusion of finance/capital and investments in subsidiaries</li> <li>for each debt instrument issued, indicate the amount of the proceeds that have been streamed into each insurance subsidiary of the Holding Company, including the jurise of the subsidiaries</li> <li>Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.</li> </ul>					
	Operations undertaken within the holding company	Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
112	Are there any mechanisms or protocols in place that allow the Volunteer Group to accurately monitor and report down-streamed amounts over time?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         NO       Not Applicable					
If "YES", please describe the mechanisms or protocols. If "NO", please describe what mechanisms or protocols the Volunteer Group envisions putting place should monitoring and reporting of down-streamed amounts become a criterion used assessment of Tier 2 capital resources. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality direction of impact.						
	Item name Description and rationale					
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)				
113	Do you have any current issuances or future planned issuances of Tier 2 non-paid-up capital as defined in the Technical Specifications?					



C							
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:						
	YES	NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please provide an up to date listing of the nature and size of all current issuances and f planned issuances of Tier 2 non-paid-up capital as defined in the Technical Specifications. In particular, please also indicate if the issuances have received supervisory approval and if they required to receive supervisory approval. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and						
	direction of impact.						
	Item name	Description and rationale					
	Insert text	Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)						
114	Has the Volunteer Group reported a regulatory reserve that is not included in the table in the Technical Specifications						
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:						
	YES NO Not Applicable						
	If "YES", please complete the table for each new regulatory reserve. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of the loss absorbing capacity of the reserve.						
	Item name	Description					
	Name of reserve	Insert text					
	Purpose of the regulatory reserve						
	Any restrictions or conditions placed on the use of the reserve						
	How the reserve is calculated						



	Circumstances under which the reserve could be released or used to absorb losses beyond the stated purpose of the reserve					
	Specific conditions or approvals that must be met in order to release the reserves or use the reserves to absorb losses beyond the stated purpose of the reserve					
	Estimate of the frequency (over the past 10 years) that the regulatory reserve has been released or used to absorb losses beyond the stated purpose					
(Add additional rows as necessary)						
115	Does the Volunteer Group have a defined benefit pension plan asset that has not been deducted from qualifying capital resources?					
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	If "YES", please describe under what circumstances this pension plan asset would be refund company and made available to support policyholders or other claims.					
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and				
	Item name	Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)				



116	Did you report any pledging activities in the Encumbered Assets sheet / tab?							
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:							
	YES	NO	ot Applicable					
	If YES, please provide additional details describing all pledging activities reported in the Encumbered Assets sheet / tab, including breakdowns as necessary within each category.							
	For those arrangements for which you have pledged assets, please describe what would happen to the pledged assets in the event that you default on the arrangement. Specifically, for each type of pledging activity reported, explain if the full amount of the pledged assets would be forfeited upon a default, irrespective of the value relative to the amount of the liability, or if the pledged assets in excess of the liability would be returned to you? Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.							
	Item name	Description and ratio	onale					
	Insert text	Insert text						
	(Add additional rows as necessary)							
117	Do you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?					
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).							
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical         Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.							
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.							
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale					
	Insert text	Insert text						



(Add additional rows as necessary)				



## 9.1 Consistent and comparable MOCE

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.ICS.MOCE FT17.ICS.MOCE.Patterns
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	12 MOCE

118 With respect to the consistent and comparable MOCE calculations (both prudence and cost of capital approaches), should there be any difference in the calculation of the MOCE under the different valuation options (the two discounting options for GAAP Plus and the three discounting options for MAV)? Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please provide specific suggestion(s) on what these differences should be, provide the rationale supporting your suggestion(s), and indicate the impact of adopting your suggestion(s). Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact. Item name Description and rationale Insert text Insert text (Add additional rows as necessary) 119 Considering the different ways the MOCE could interact with either the capital requirement and/or the capital resources available in the ICS Simulation Tool. Some of the approaches available in the Simulation Tool could be used to avoid perceived double counting between the MOCE and the capital requirement. Which of the mechanisms tested do you see as the most appropriate? Please provide: details of the MOCE approach(es) most appropriate to deal with double counting; the quantum(s) of double counting that you have identified; and detailed rationale and supporting evidence



	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
120	20 The Technical Specifications mentioned that the IAIS is testing two different approaches to the consistent and comparable MOCE. Apart from the two designs tested (i.e. C-MOCE and P-MOCE do you have any suggestions on how the consistent and comparable MOCE can be specified tak into account the profile of your business?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please provide details on how the consistent and comparable MOCE can be specified including the rationale underlying the suggestions.		
	Item name Description and rationale		
	Insert text Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.ICS.MOCE FT17.ICS.MOCE.Patterns
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	12.1 Cost of Capital MOCE (C-MOCE)

121	For 2017 Field Testing, two approaches to determine the cost of capital parameter are being tested: a fixed cost of capital (5%) and a cost of capital linked to the level of interest rate (3% + 10-year risk free rate, with a floor at 3% and a cap at 10%). Which approach to determine the cost of capital parameter is the most appropriate?		
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	A fixed cost of capital (e.g. 5%) A variable cost of level of interest rate A variable cost of capital linked to an economic variable other than interest rate		
	Please provide rationale a	nd evidence or reference to support your view.	
	If you answered variable cost of capital linked to an economic variable or none of the previous, Please provide specific suggestions supported by evidence or reference.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
122	In order to help assess the appropriate level of cost of capital, please provide:		
	Item Answer		
	a) the weighted average cost of capital for your group	Insert text	
	b) the period of time (for example, 2015,		



Y			
	2014…) to which the above cost relates (you may		
	provide several		
	costs of capital for		
	several periods of		
	time)		
	c) the structure		
	(equity versus		
	debt) of the capital		
	for your group		
		<u> </u>	
123	Projection patterns for nor	n-life:	
	Is the prescribed set of pa reasonable simplification t	tterns and the allocation of the ICS segments to these patterns a	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If NO, please provide alter alternative suggestion.	native suggestion as well as rationale and evidence to support this	
	Suggestion	Rationale and evidence	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
124	Projection patterns for life: Different projection patterns are allowed for 7 currency groups.		
	Is this an appropriate number of currency groupings, considering the trade-off between simplicity and risk sensitivity?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If NO, how many currency groupings are necessary to appropriately reflect your business?		



	Number of currency groupings necessary to reflect your business	Rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
125	Projection patterns for life: longevity, lapse, expenses	different projection patterns are allowed by risk (for example, mortality, s).	
	Is it appropriate to separat	e the projection patterns per risk?	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If no, please provide sugge	estion and rationale on how to group risks.	
	Suggestion	Rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
126	Projection patterns for life: Volunteer Groups are required to calculate the projection pattern based on cash outflows excluding amounts not exposed to risk (that is, net amounts at risk).		
	Does your protection pattern exclude any amounts not exposed to risk?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please explain what amounts you exclude for which risk (for example, maturity benefit for Mortality risk).		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows	as necessary)		
127	Do you have further o	comments regarding the co	ost of capital MOCE?	
	In particular do you h	ave any suggestions to im	prove the cost of capital MOCE approach?	
	calculations that you	And where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your respor	nse by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows	as necessary)	1	
	,			



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.ICS.MOCE
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	12.2 Prudence MOCE (P- MOCE)

128	For Life liabilities, the underlying distribution used for the various risks has been assumed to be best represented as normal, using the current estimate as the mean and the required capital as the implied 99.5 <sup>th</sup> percentile.		
	Is the assumption about the normal distribution appropriate for all life lines of business?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	deviation represent an app	P relates only to some lines of business, does two thirds of one standard propriate risk margin where a normal distribution is assumed or do you ernal studies that another margin (such as one standard deviation) may iate interval?	
	If NO, For which lines of business or segments is this distribution likely to be inappropriate and if what distribution should be employed? If the log normal distribution is deemed superior for certai areas, should a percentile methodology be used in preference to the standard deviation and if so what percentile(s) should be employed?		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
129	For non-life P-MOCE, were there any difficulties in providing reserves on an undiscounted basis?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe those difficulties.		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	



	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as no	ecessary)		
130	Non-life unearned provision	ons:		
	Do you have any suggesti liabilities?	ion to improve the definition or description of the P-MOCE for premium		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe th premium provision MOCE	e suggestion to improve the definition or description of the unearned		
	Suggestion	Rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	nal rows as necessary)		
131	Do you believe that the simplified approach taken for the calculation of the P-MOCE can be improved?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please provide your suggestion and rationale.			
	Suggestion	Rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		



	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
132	Do you have further comments regarding the prudence MOCE? Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         NO       Not Applicable			
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional row			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



## 10.1 Look-through

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	-
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.2.1 Look-through

133	Were there any difficulties encountered in applying the look-through approach as described in the 2017 Field Testing Technical Specifications?								
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable								
	If YES, please describe all material difficulties encountered in applying the look-through approach, your resolutions of these difficulties, the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting these resolutions.								
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and							
	Item name	Description and rationale							
	Insert text	Insert text							
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)							
134	Do you have further comments regarding this section?								
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).								
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:								
	YES	NO Not Applicable							
		item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical e, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the							



Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	ICS.Non-Life type risk All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.2.2 Risk mitigation

135	With regards to risks arising from the balance sheet as at the ICS Reference Date:								
	Is the approach for the recognition of the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements with respect to Market risks appropriate?								
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:								
	YES NO Not Applicable								
	Please explain your answe	er.							
	Item name	Description and rationale							
	Insert text Insert text								
	(Add additional rows as necessary)								
136	Are the criteria that need to be met in order to recognise the renewal of risk mitigation techniques appropriate?								
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:							
	YES NO Not Applicable								
	If "NO", please provide specific suggestions for changes to the criteria that should be met for the recognition of such renewals.								
	Criteria	Description and rationale							
	Insert text	Insert text							



	(Add additiona	al rows as ne	ecessa	nry)					
137	-		ny risk mitigation arrangements currently in place to which you have applied the esting approach of recognising their renewal by virtue of meeting the specified criteria?						
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:								
	If "YES", pleas	se provide de	etails o	of the arrangements, ir	cluding:				
	how th     renewa	e renewal o al	f the ri	isk mitigation technique sk mitigation arrangen o valuing the effectiver	nent meets the		Ũ	d	
				have been justified or v		ewai, ine		u	
	Risk Type ar mitigation tee		Description of how the criteria are met			Cost of renewal and validation of effectiveness			
	Insert text		Inser	Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)								
	Please also co mitigation tech	•		ng table on the impact	of recognising	g the rene	ewal of the risk		
	Type of risk Mitigated Were any criteria not and if so w was the renewal recognised		'hy	ICS Risk Charge including the recognition of the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements	ICS Risk Ch no cap is ap recognition of renewal of ri mitigation arrangement	plied to of the sk	ICS Risk Charge if the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements is no recognised		
	Insert text								



	(Add additiona	l rows as nec	essary	y)						
138		Do you have any risk mitigation arrangements currently in place to which you have applied the current Field Testing approach of recognising their renewal but DO NOT meet the specified criteria?								
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         NO       Not Applicable									
	<ul> <li>If "YES", please provide details of the arrangements, including:</li> <li>the type of risk and the risk mitigation technique employed</li> <li>details of the approach to valuing the effectiveness of the renewal, the associated costs and how these assumptions have been justified or validated</li> </ul>									
	Risk mitigation technique	on I	Description and rationale							
	Insert text	1	Insert text							
	(Add additional rows as necessary)									
	Please also co mitigation tech	-	-	-	of recognising the rene	wal of the risk				
	Type of risk Mitigated	Which criteri were not me	et i i	ICS Risk Charge including the recognition of the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements	ICS Risk Charge if no cap is applied to recognition of the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements	ICS Risk Charge if the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements is not recognised				
	Market risk									
	Interest rate risk									
	Equity risk									



	Real estate risk								
	Currency risk								
	Please also prov	vide details	regardin	g the crite	ria that w	rere not met and	d any su	ggested changes to	
	Type of risk mit	tigated	Criteria r	not met	C	escription, ratio	onale for	change	
	(Add additional r	rows as ne	cessary)						
139	Is the application of a cap for the recognition of the renewal of risk mitigation arrangements appropriate?								
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:								
	YES NO Not Applicable								
	<ul><li>If "NO", please provide details, including:</li><li>rationale for why the cap is inappropriate</li></ul>								
	<ul> <li>how the risk and cost of renewals can be accurately reflected in the ICS</li> <li>how all relevant costs, both expected and unexpected, could be adequately reflected in the absence of a cap</li> </ul>								
	• suggesti				avallabili			ertainty of decision	
	Item name Description and rationale								
	Insert text Insert text								
	(Add additional r	rows as ne	cessary)						



	If "YES", is the level of the cap and its mechanism for application appropriate? Please comment on how the cap could be improved.						
	Suggestion	•	Rationale				
	Insert text	Insert te	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)					
140	Are there any additiona recognised in the ICS?	risk mitigat	ion techniques, which	n are currently excluded, that should be			
	Provide your response	by placing	an 'x' in the relevant	cell:			
	YES	NO	Not Applica	able			
			•	ion arrangements that should be recognised, ality of these arrangements.			
	Risk mitigation arrang	ements	Risks addressed	Rationale for allowing recognition			
	Insert text		Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as	s necessary	)				
141	Were any material assu arrangements in non-life	•	simplifications used w	hen allowing for risk mitigation			
	Provide your response	by placing	an 'x' in the relevant	cell:			
	YES	NO	Not Applica	able			
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications used when allowing for risk mitigation arrangements in non-life data, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.						
	direction of impact.	nary, Dut W		allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Item name	Description	on and rationale				



	Insert text	Insert text								
	(Add additional rows as	dditional rows as necessary)								
142	Dynamic hedging arrangements are not currently recognised as a risk mitigation technique for Field Testing, except for the proportional recognition of what is in force as at the balance date. Should dynamic hedging arrangements be included in the scope of recognised risk mitigation techniques for the development of ICS version 2.0?									
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:								
	YES	NO Not Applicable								
	If YES, please explain.									
	Please describe in sumr direction of impact.	nary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and								
	Item name	Description and rationale								
	Insert text	Insert text								
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)								
143	5	ments regarding this section?								
	you provide which you c	includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that onsider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).								
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:								
	YES	NO Not Applicable								
		e item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical ate, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field								
	Please describe in sumr potential impact.	nary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and								



Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	
(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)	



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	-
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.2.3 Geographical segmentation

144	Were there any difficulties 2017 Field Testing Technic	encountered in using the geographical segmentation as described in the cal Specifications?			
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	If YES, please describe all material difficulties encountered in using the geographical segmentation (for example, were there some risks for which it was not possible to split results into geographical segments), your resolutions of these difficulties, the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting these resolutions.				
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
145	Do you have further comm	nents regarding this section?			
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).				
	Analysis).     Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:     YES     NO     Not Applicable				
		item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical e, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the			



Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	



## 11.1 Grouping of Policies for Life Risks

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.1 Grouping of Policies for Life Risks

146	exposed to homogeneous	ons on Life insurance risks specified that portfolios of products (or policies) insurance risks can be grouped together for the purpose of calculating the uidance provided in the technical specifications on the construction of appropriate?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If NO, please explain why and provide suggestions on how the guidance for the construction of homogeneous risk groups can be improved or modified. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of the potential impart				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
147	Have you determined the technical specifications?	life risk charges based on homogenous risk groups as specified in the			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
		tails on how homogeneous risk groups have been constructed taking into vided in the technical specifications.			
		ails on the how products or policies have been grouped for the purpose of ges (e.g. policy by policy, product type, etc.).			



Item name Description and rationale	
Insert text	Insert text



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.2 Mortality Risk

148	Were any material assump	otions or simplifications used when providing data on Mortality risk?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Mortality risk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
149		ces in the way Mortality risk is assessed in your economic/internal models used in 2017 Field Testing?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:       YES       NO       Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe the material differences, including the stress levels for Mortality risk in your economic/internal models (by geographical segments, if possible), as well as the underlying assumptions. Please also describe how the stresses applied in your economic/internal models are determined.				
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			



	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
150	Are there material differences in the Mortality risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in particular between the GAAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting options (two for GAAP Plus and three for MAV)?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include commentary on which valuation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why.Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.Item nameDescription and rationaleInsert textInsert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
151	Did you specify any effect of management actions for Mortality risk?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:     YES     NO     Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe ar the basis for such actions.	ny material management actions taken with respect to Mortality risk and			
	Management actions	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			



	(Add additional row	s as necessary)					
152	Do you have further	Do you have further comments regarding this section?					
	that you provide wh	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).					
	Provide your resp	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
YES NO Not Applicable							
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.						
	Specifications or Te	emplate, and provide a c	5				
	Specifications or Te Field Testing analys	emplate, and provide a c sis.	5				
	Specifications or Te Field Testing analys Please describe in s	emplate, and provide a c sis.	lescription as well as rationale for its relevance to the				
	Specifications or Te Field Testing analys Please describe in s potential impact.	emplate, and provide a c sis. summary, but with suffic	lescription as well as rationale for its relevance to the cient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and				
	Specifications or Te Field Testing analys Please describe in s potential impact.	emplate, and provide a c sis. summary, but with suffic Reference	lescription as well as rationale for its relevance to the cient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and				



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.3 Longevity Risk

153	Were any material assumption	otions or simplifications used when providing data on Longevity risk?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Longevity risk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
154		ces in the way Longevity risk is assessed in your economic/internal pproach used in 2017 Field Testing?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	economic/internal models	e material differences, including the stress levels for Longevity risk in your (by geographical segments, if possible), as well as the underlying describe how the stresses applied in your economic/internal models are			
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	rry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			



	Item name	Description ar	nd rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows	as necessary)				
155	In your economic/ internal model do you apply a trend stress?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, what data sou If NO, please explain		lo you use to calibrate the	stress?		
	Data source M	lodel or calibration pproach	Stress applied	Description and rationale		
	Insert text In	nsert text	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows	as necessary)				
156	Is the removal of the trend component from the stress compared to the 2016 Field Testing approach appropriate?					
	Provide your respor	nse by placing an 'x'	in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If NO, what changes	would you like to see	e in the approach to longe	vity risk and why?		
	Item name	Description ar	nd rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text				



	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
157	Are there any other changes to the current design and calibration of the longevity stress that you would make in order to better reflect your risk profile?					
	Provide your response b	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES					
	If YES, please describe the proposed changes and the rationale for making these changes.					
	Item name Description and rationale					
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
158	Are there material differences in the Longevity risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in particular between the GAAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting options (two for GAAP Plus and three for MAV)?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include commentary on which valuation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and					
	direction of impact.					
	Item name Description and rationale					
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
159	Did you specify any effect of management actions for Longevity risk?					



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:									
		YES			NO			Not A	pplicable	
	If YES, please describe any material management actions taken with respect to Longevity risk and the basis for such actions.									
	Management actions Description and rationale						)			
	Inse	ert text			Inse	Insert text				
	(Add	additional	rows	s as i	necessa	ary)				
160	-	ou currentl ucts with lo	-			ssumpti	ons	within ye	our current estimate of liability valuation for	
	Pro	vide your ı	respo	nse	by plac	ing an '›	‹' in t	he relev	vant cell:	
		YES			NO			Not A	oplicable	
	If YES, what data sources and model(s) do you use to calibrate this assumption?									
	Data source Model or calibration approach			on	Trend assumption used in current estimate			Description and rationale		
	Inse	ert text	Inse	ert te	xt	Insert	text		Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)									
161	Do you have further comments regarding this section?									
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).									
	Pro	vide your ı	respo	nse	by plac	ing an '›	‹' in t	he relev	vant cell:	
		YES			NO			Not A	oplicable	



If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.

Item name	Reference	Description and rationale		
Insert text	Insert text			
(Add additional rows as necessary)				



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.4 Morbidity and Disability Risk

162	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Morbidity/Disability risk?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Morbidity/Disability risk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.					
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and				
	Item name	Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
163	Is the methodology for determining the Morbidity/Disability risk charge as specified in the Technical Specifications appropriate?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If NO, please describe how the approach could be adjusted, provide the rationale supporting your adjustments, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					
	Item name Description and rationale					



	Insert text	Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as ne	dd additional rows as necessary)					
164	Does the proposed segmentation by benefit type and contract duration appropriately capture the full range of similar to life health products?						
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES	NO Not Applicable					
	If NO, please suggest an alternative segmentation, including the rationale for such segmentation well as suggested data sources and/or evidence to support a calibration for the suggested segmentation.						
	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and						
	Item name	Description and rationale					
	Insert text	Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)						
165	Are there any material differences in the Morbidity/Disability stresses applied in 2017 Field Testing from those used in your economic/internal model?						
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:						
	YES NO Not Applicable						
	If YES, please describe the material differences, including the stress levels for Morbidity/disabil risk in your economic/internal models (by geographical segments, if possible), as well as the underlying assumptions, Please also describe how the stresses applied in your economic/internal models are determined, including the data sources and models used to calibrate the stress.						
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.						
	Item name	Description and rationale					



	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
166	Were there any practical is calculations?	ssues or difficulties in performing the Morbidity/Disability module				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe all material issues or difficulties encountered in performing the calculation your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicat the impact of adopting your resolutions. Please also provide any suggestions for further simplification / more practicable specifications. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					
	Item name	Description and rationale				
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
167	Are there any material differences in the Morbidity/Disability risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in particular between the GAAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting options (two for GAAP Plus and three for MAV)?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         NO       Not Applicable					
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include commentary on which valuation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.					



	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
168	Did you specify any effect	of management actions for Morbidity/Disability risk?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe ar risk and the basis for such	ny material management actions taken with respect to Morbidity/Disability actions.			
	Management actions	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
169	Is the 2017 Field Testing approach to Morbidity/disability risk more appropriate than the default approach for Health risk from 2016 Field Testing?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If NO, please describe the modifications that you would suggest for the 2017 Field Testing approach to more accurately capture your risk profile for Morbidity/disability risk.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			



	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
170	Do you have further co	omments regarding this se	ection?			
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.Item nameReferenceDescription and rationale					
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					

T



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.5 Lapse Risk

171	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Lapse risk?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Lapse risk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
172	Were any practical issues or difficulties encountered in applying the Lapse risk methodology as specified within the technical specifications?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all material issues or difficulties encountered in applying the specified methodology, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	



	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)	
173	The Field Testing specifications allow for the calculation of the Mass Lapse charge on a homogenous risk group level. Is this approach to determining the Mass Lapse charge appropriate?		
	Provide your response b	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If NO, please explain why the approach is not appropriate together with suggestions on the how the Mass Lapse stress can be applied. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
174	Are there material differences in the way Lapse risk is assessed in your economic/internal models compared to the approach used in 2016 Field Testing?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe the material differences, including the stress levels for Lapse risk in your economic/internal models (by geographical segments, if possible), as well as the underlying assumptions. Please also describe how the stresses applied in your economic/internal models are determined.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name Description and rationale		
Insert text Insert text		Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
175	Are there material differences in the Lapse risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in particular between the GAAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting options (two for GAAP Plus and three for MAV)?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include commentary on which valuation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
176	Did you specify any effect of management actions for Lapse risk?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe any material management actions taken with respect to Lapse risk and the basis for such actions.		
	Management actions	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional row	s as necessary)	
177	Do you have further comments regarding this section?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your resp	onse by placing an 'x' in th	e relevant cell:
	YES	NO	Not Applicable
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.6 Expense Risk

178	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Expense risk?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Expense risk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
179	Were any practical issues or difficulties encountered in applying the Expense risk methodology as specified within the technical specifications?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe all material issues or difficulties encountered in applying the specified methodology, your resolutions of these difficulties, provide the rationale supporting your resolutions, and indicate the impact of adopting your resolutions.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	



	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
180	Are there material differences in the way Expense risk is assessed in your economic/internal models compared to the approach used in 2016 Field Testing?	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	economic/internal models assumptions. Please also	e material differences, including the stress levels for Expense risk in your (by geographical segments, if possible), as well as the underlying describe the expense assumption structure used in your and how the stresses applied in your economic/internal models are
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
181	The Field Testing Technical Specifications specify expense inflation stresses that grade down to 1% for Other Developed Markets, China, and Emerging Markets. Is this appropriate?	
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	If NO, please provide sugg supporting rationale.	gestions on the appropriate stresses and grading period together with the
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.	
	Item name	Description and rationale



	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
182		ces in the Expense risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in AP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting s and three for MAV)?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
		Νοι Αρμιζαδίε	
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include commentary on which valuation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why.		
	Please describe in summa	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	direction of impact.		
	Item name Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
183	Did you specify any effect of management actions for Expense risk?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe any material management actions taken with respect to Expense risk and the basis for such actions.		
	Management actions	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows	s as necessary)	
184	Do you have further	comments regarding this s	section?
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your respo	onse by placing an 'x' in the	e relevant cell:
	YES		lot Applicable
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Techni Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance Field Testing analysis.		
	Please describe in s potential impact.	summary, but with sufficien	t detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows	s as necessary)	



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17_NL FT17_NLX_XXX
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.7 Premium Risk and Clams Reserve Risk

185	The non-life Premium and non-life Claims Reserve risk charges are calculated based on the lines of business in the main jurisdictions in the various regions.		
	Do you have any feedback on the use of the main jurisdictions lines of business or on the level of granularity in the lines of business within each region?		
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please provide tha	t feedback.	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
186	Are there any lines of business that should be removed or merged together?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please indicate which lines of business should be removed or merged and provide rationale.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
187	Did you apply any simplifications in allocating your business across the geographical segmentation and lines of business?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe those simplifications and provide the rationale supporting those simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting your simplifications.			
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
188	The Technical Specifications request that segmentation be based on the location of the risk. Where this information is not available, the location of the legal entity underwriting the business may be used as a proxy. Were you able to report according to the location of risks?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If NO, please indicate:			
	<ul> <li>was the location of the legal entity used as a proxy for location of risk?</li> <li>was another approximation applied, for example, using underwriting location?</li> <li>is this likely to materially impact the Premium and Claims Reserve risk charge?</li> <li>is the limitation in reporting an effect of the best effort basis or does it reflect the limitations of your current systems and data collection?</li> </ul>			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		



	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
189	For Premium risk, each line of business is assigned to a predefined bucket based on the level of unexpected losses at 99.5% VaR.			
	Please provide your views, supported by rationale and evidence, on whether the Premium risk factors applied are appropriate.			
	Views on the factors applied to the buckets for Premium risk and whether the levels are appropriate			
	Comments on factors applied to the buckets Rationale and Evidence			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
190	For Claims Reserve risk, each line of business is assigned to a predefined bucket based on the level of unexpected losses at 99.5% VaR.			
	Please provide your views, supported by rationale and evidence, on whether the Claims Reserve risk factors are appropriate.			
	Views on the appropriateness of the factors applied to the buckets for Claims Reserve risk:			
	Comments on factors applied to the buckets	Rationale and Evidence		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
191	The approach being tested in the Field Testing is	to aggregate in three steps:		
	Premium and Claims Reserve risks are first aggregated within a line of business, with the same correlation factor for all lines of business;			
	Is applying the same correlation factor between Premium and Claims Reserve risks appropriate?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the re	levant cell:		
	YES NO Not	Applicable		
	If No, please provide evidence and suggestions to	) improve the approach.		



	Material Limitation	Evidence and suggestions to improve the approach		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
192	The approach being tested from the property-like and		ting introduces a new motor-like category separate	
	Is the change made (group property and liability) appro	•	thin each geography as a category separate from	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the rel	evant cell:	
	YES	NO Not	Applicable	
	If NO, please provide rationale and evidence to support specific suggestions for possible refinements			
	Suggestions for possible	refinements	Rationale and evidence	
	Insert text Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
193	The approach being tested aggregates the risk charges across regions using a correlation matrix. The correlation factor between each region is 25 per cent.			
	Is this appropriate for the Premium and Claims Reserve risk charge?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If NO, please provide ratio refinements.	nale and evidence to su	pport specific suggestions for possible	
	Suggestions for possible	refinements	Rationale and evidence	



	Insert text		Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as no	ecessary)		
194	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Premium and Claims Reserve risk?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the re	levant cell:	
	YES	NO Not	Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Premium and Claims Reserve risk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.			
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient de	tail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationa	ale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
195	Are there material differences in the Premium or Claims Reserve risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in particular between the GAAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting options (two for GAAP Plus and three for MAV)?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include commentary on which valuation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			



	Item name Description and rationale				
Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)			
196	Do you have further comr	ments regarding Premi	ium and Claims Reserve risks?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.				
	Item name Reference Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.3.8 Catastrophe Risk

## 11.8.1 Catastrophe risk: Non-Life Exposures

197	Please provide the following information about the natural catastrophe model used to produce the data provided in the Template related to natural catastrophe.					
	Information about the natural catastrophe model used to produce the data provided in the template related to natural catastrophe.					
		Tropical cyclone	Other windstorm	Earthquake	Other	
	Model description					
	Type of model: vendor / proprietary					
	Vendor name(s) (if relevant)					
	Vendor model name(s) (if relevant)					
	Vendor model version(s) (if relevant)					
	If not a vendor model: 1 <sup>st</sup> year when the model was developed and used					
	If not a vendor model: year of the last major update of the model					
	Modelling specification					
	Event set selected					
	Frequency selected					



_				
	Attenuation function selected (if relevant)			
	Secondary uncertainty (Y/N)			
	Secondary perils included (primary peril for the 'other' category)			
	Demand surge / Loss amplification (Y/N)			
	Model run: in house / by third party			
	Exposures description			
	Main territories of exposures			
	Main lines of business covered			
	Main geocoding level and estimated % of total exposures			
	Estimated insurance / direct business (% of total exposures)			
	Estimated non-proportional reinsurance business (% of total exposures)			
	Modelling adjustment			
	Please briefly describe the perils, sub-perils or territories not modelled to which you are materially exposed			
	What additional charge did you include for non-modelled risks or non-modelled exposures or other (e.g. adjustments for exposure data quality, adjustments for exposure growth, model deficiencies – severity or			



198	losses net of protection.	e the s, s, sults			
		ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	potential impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
199	Please describe why the perils or territories not modelled are not modelled using catastrophe models (for example, no model available for these perils or territories, concerns on the reliability of available models, exposure data collected does not allow the use of models, etc.) and the materiality of these perils or territories				
	Why the perils or territories not modelled are not modelled and the materiality of these perils or territories.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			



	(Add additional rows as necessary				
200	Latent liability scenario				
	Is the proposed methodolo	ogy (developed around a "mass tort" scenario) appropriate?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If NO, please provide an alternative approach suitable for the ICS standard method.				
	Alternative approach Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
201	Latent liability scenario				
	Considering the context of the latent liability scenario (a mass tort scenario); do you have any suggestion to refine the segments that should be included in the scenario?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please provide spe	ecifics on the nature of the changes that could be made and rationale.			
	Segment	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
202	Latent liability scenario				
	For the 2017 Field Testing more granular information is being captured regarding some of the segments included in the scenario.				



	Should the scenario be refined (e.g. by capturing more granular exposure or by adjusting the factors) to reflect the extent to which the latent liability scenario could differently impact different products within a segment?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please provide specifics suggestions and rationale.					
	Suggestions	Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)				
203	Latent liability scenario Are the relativities of the factors used across products and geographic regions in the latent liability scenario appropriate?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
		onale and evidence on how the relativities should be adjusted.				
	Adjustments to relativities	Description and rationale				
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
204	Latent liability scenario					
	The exposure to the latent liability scenario is adjusted taking into account historical premium changes over the previous 7 years for the most material segments.					
	Is this adjustment appropr	iate and striking the right balance between accuracy and simplicity?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					

	YES	NO		Not Applicable	
	If NO, please provid	e alternative sugge	stion	and rationale.	
	Suggestion Rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows	s as necessary)			
205	Latent liability scena	rio			
	At present, no adjustment has been made to the latent liability for double-counting with Premium or Claims Reserve risk. Do you think any such adjustment should be made? If yes, please provide suggestions for how this can be done.				
	Provide your respo	onse by placing an '	x' in t	he relevant cell:	
	YES	NO		Not Applicable	
	If YES, please provi	de suggestion and	ratior	nale.	
	Suggestion	Rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
206	Latent liability scena	rio			
	The purpose of the "latent liability" scenario is to capture the portion of liability risk that is not adequately captured by historical claims experience. The focus of the "latent liability" scenario for 2017 Field Testing is on the exposure of the Volunteer Group to mass tort events. This is considered to be one of the most material ways in which historical experience does not reflect a Volunteer Group's full exposure to liability risk. Are there scenarios or refinements you would recommend to more fully capture latent liability risk?				
	Provide your respo	onse by placing an '	x' in t	he relevant cell:	
	YES	NO		Not Applicable	



	If YES, please provide alternative scenarios or refinements.		
	Suggestion	Rationale	
Insert text		Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
207	Latent liability scenario		
	Are there exposure measure premium exposure curren	ures that you think would be better suited to a latent liability risk than the tly used?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES please suggest alternative exposure measures (examples of exposure measures may include current estimates, policy limits, the sum of x years of premiums, etc) and provide recommendations or suggestions for developing exposure factors including the rationale for you recommendation or suggestion.		
	suggestion		
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
208	Latent liability scenario		
	Do you think the latent liability scenario is best situated within the Catastrophe risk component or with other non-life risks (that is, Premium and Claims Reserve risk)?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	Component	Premium Not Applicable and Claims Reserve Risk	



	Please provide a rationale for your answer.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
209	Latent liability scenario			
		der necessary to make		ional amendments to the latent or the ICS standard method
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         NO       Not Applicable			
	If YES, please provide those suggestions for additional amendments to the latent liability scenario you consider necessary to make it more suitable for the ICS standard method (regarding both the design and the calibration).			-
	Suggestion		Rationale	
	Insert text		Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
210	For the 2017 Field Testing, a marine scenario is no longer captured in the catastrophe component Please provide the additional information related to marine exposure			
	Questions related to marine businessAnswer: Yes, Ne applicableDo you have exposure to marine business?Y, N, NAIs your exposure to marine 		No or not	Rationale
				Insert text
				Insert text



	context of the ICS (please provide evidence)?		
	Should a marine scenario be included in the catastrophe component of the ICS?	Y, N, NA	Insert text
	If yes to the previous question, please provide suggestion for such scenario		Insert text
211	For the 2017 Field Testing, an avi component. Please provide the ac	•	-
	Questions related to aviation business	Answer: Yes, No or not applicable	Rationale
	Do you have exposure to aviation business?	Y, N, NA	Insert text
	Is your exposure to aviation business material in the context of the ICS (please provide evidence)?	Y, N, NA	Insert text
	Should an aviation scenario be included in the catastrophe component of the ICS?	Y, N, NA	Insert text
	If yes to the previous question, please provide suggestion for such scenario		Insert text
212	Do you have any specific suggestions for amendment to the credit and surety scenario is necessar to make it more suitable for the ICS standard method?		
	Provide your response by placin	g an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please describe specific suggestions for amendment to the credit and surety scenario is necessary to make it more suitable for the ICS standard method.		



	Please differentiate amendments to improve the suitability of the scenario and amendments to improve the practicability of the calculation.			
	Suggestion	Suitability of the scenario or amendment to improve practicability of the calculation	Rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
213		emponent of the credit and surety scena e applied take into account salvage and	-	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please briefly desc	ribe the methodology.	e methodology.	
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text	text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
214	Were there any material exposures other than natural catastrophe that are not captured by the other catastrophe scenarios and that you believe should be captured by the ICS standard method.			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe those material exposures.			
	Material exposures	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		



	(Add additional rows as ne	is as necessary)		
215	The calculation of the contingent credit risk proposed for Field Testing is a simplified approach. A more accurate calculation would separately consider exposures to individual reinsurers. Is the approach taken appropriate?			
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES     NO     Not Applicable			
	Please explain your answe	er.		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
216	Do you have any additional suggestions to improve the design or calibration of the Catastrophe risk as regards Non-life Exposures?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe yo	our suggestion and provide a rationale for the suggestion.		
	Suggestion	Rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
217	Are there material differences in the Catastrophe risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in particular between the GAAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting options (two for GAAP Plus and three for MAV)?			



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO	ot Applicable	
	foresee and describe the discounting, differences i commentary on which va	primary drivers of the n the approach to the s luation approach you b	ed in the Template or other differences that you differences (for example, are differences driven by stress calculation or something else?). Also include believe is more reflective of the risk and why. detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and ratio	onale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)		
218	Do you have further comments regarding Catastrophe risk?			
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



## 11.8.2 Catastrophe risk: Life Exposures

219	Do you have any specific suggestions for amendments to the pandemic scenario you consider necessary to make it more suitable for the ICS standard method?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please describe specific suggestions for amendments to the pandemic scenario you consider necessary to make it more suitable for the ICS standard method and provide a rationale for those suggestions.		
	Suggestion	Rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
220	Do you think the pandemic scenario is best situated within the Catastrophe risk component or with other life risks (e.g. mortality)?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	Catastrophe Component       Life Risk Component       Not Applicable         Please provide a rationale for your answer.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
221	Do you have any additional suggestions to improve the design or calibration of the catastrophe risk charge as regards Life exposures?		



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please describe your suggestion and provide a rationale for the suggestion.         Suggestion       Rationale         Insert text       Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
222	Are there material differences in the Catastrophe risk charge for Life exposures depending on the valuation approach, in particular between the GAAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting options (two for GAAP Plus and three for MAV)?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by		
	-	the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include uation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why.	
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
Insert text Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



## 11.8.3 Catastrophe Risk (All -- Life & Non-Life)

223	The Terrorist attack scenario has been amended for 2017 Field Testing. Is the restriction of the scenario to losses generated by insurance contracts (excluding own use building and benefits provided to staff) appropriate?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         If No, please provide those suggestions along with the rationale. Please differentiate amendments to improve the suitability of the scenario and amendments to improve the practicability of the calculation.		
	Suggestion	Rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
224	The Terrorist attack scenario has been amended for the 2017 Field Testing.		
	Is splitting the scenario into two components (property losses and life and health losses) appropriate?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the re	levant cell:	
	YES NO Not	Applicable	
	Please provide rationale differentiating rationale related to conceptual considerations and rationale related to the practicability of the calculation.         Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)	<u> </u>	
225	The Terrorist attack scenario has been amended for the 2017 Field Testing.		



	Is the severity of the scenario appropriate for a 99.5% VaR over a one year calibration?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO	ot Applicable
	If No, please provide suggestions supported by rationale and evidence.		
Insert text Insert text			Insert text
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
226	Do you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.         Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		
Item name Reference Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



## 12.1 Interest Rate risk

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.4.1 Interest Rate Risk

227	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Interest Rate risk?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Interest Rate risk, provi         the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.			
	Item name	Description an	d rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows	s as necessary)		
228	Did you vary lapse rates in response to the interest rate scenarios?			
	Provide your respo	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, what were the major product groups for which you assumed that lapses vary with interest rates, and how much did the lapse rates change under scenarios?			
	Scenario	Major product groups	Description and rationale, change in lapse rates	
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)	
229	Does your group have products with interest rate guarantees?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
		f YES, which product groups have interest rate guarantees triggered under scenarios? How do you ralue interest rate guarantees for the purposes of the interest rate stresses?	
	Product groups	Valuation of guarantees	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	Add additional rows as r	necessary)	
230	Is the methodology for determining the calibration and Interest Rate risk charge appropriate? If not, how do you suggest it could be improved?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If NO, how do you suggest it could be improved?		
	Suggestion	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
231	Should the IAIS apply a stress after the last point of Segment 1 of the yield curve (i.e. the last liquid point of the curve)?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		

	YES	NO	Not Applicable		
	If YES, how should that stress beyond the last observed calibration point on the yield curve be determined?				
	If NO, provide the rationale for that position.				
	Item name	Description a	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as	s necessary)			
232	What do you consider an appropriate number of years of data to be used in determining the shocked interest rate curves?				
	In providing your rationale for the appropriate number of years, please state what factors should be taken into consideration in determining the length of data?				
	Number of years	Rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as	s necessary)			
233	233 Should the period be the same for all jurisdictions where data is available?		isdictions where data is available?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO	Not Applicable		
	If YES, should the same period of data be use for all jurisdictions, determined by the jurisdiction when the data is only available for a shorter period?				
	Item name	Description a	and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text			



	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
234	Are there material differences in the Interest Rate risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in particular between the GAAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting options (two for GAAP Plus and three for MAV)?			
Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include commentary on which valuation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)		
235	<ul> <li>235 Did you encounter any problems regarding implementation of each GAAP Plus stress method? Where different GAAP Plus examples were used for valuation purposes, did you face any practical issues when applying different Interest Rate risk methods to different parts of your business?</li> <li>Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:</li> </ul>			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	ne problems encountered. Where different GAAP Plus methods were used to ce liabilities, please describe the approach used to calculate Interest Rate			
	Please comment which method produces more reasonable stress outcomes in light of features liability and asset portfolios.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		



	Add additional rows as	necessary)	
236	Have management actions been incorporated into the Interest Rate risk scenarios?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
		ow management actions were incorporated. nary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	potential impact.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
237	Is the first scenario (the mean reversion scenario) appropriate?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe the primary drivers and the magnitude of the drivers of gains/losses for major currencies.		
for such changes.		anges to the scenario that would make it more appropriate and the rationale	
		nary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
238	Are the number of scenarios and the design of the scenarios considered sufficient to quantify interest rate risk?		
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	If YES, please comment on the ability to simplify the number of scenarios and/or the design of the scenarios without sacrificing material adequacy. Please provide rationale for any suggested changes. If NO, please comment on any other scenarios that should be included and/or design modifications		
	that should be considered. Please provide rationale for any suggested changes. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
239	Is the aggregation appro	ach appropriate?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If NO, please suggest an alternative aggregation approach with rationale for changing approaches. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



240	Do you have further comments regarding this section?			
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
YES NO Not Applicable				
If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Techni Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance Testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of material potential impact.				I
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.4.2 Equity Risk

241	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Equity risk?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Equrisk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
242	Did you have any significant issues in applying implied volatility shocks for different tenors?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe any significant issues you had in applying implied volatility shocks for different tenors.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text Insert text				



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
243	The Equity risk component within 2017 Field Testing does not include a countercyclical measure to reduce pro-cyclical behaviour such as equity 'fire sales'. The IAIS is aware that some jurisdictional regulatory capital regimes currently include a countercyclical measure within their Equity risk capital rules. For example, in some jurisdictions, the Equity risk charge is based on a 'through-the-cycle' calibration and includes a symmetric adjustment to reduce pro-cyclical behaviour during stressed markets. Should the Equity risk charge include a countercyclical measure to reduce pro-cyclical behaviour?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
		Not Applicable	
	If YES, please explain hov	v should such a measure be designed and calibrated.	
	Suggestion	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
244	Are there material differences in the Equity risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in particular between the GAAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting options (two for GAAP Plus and three for MAV)?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	foresee and describe the p discounting, differences in commentary on which valu	differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you orimary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include uation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why.	
	direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	



			1	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	Add additional rows a	s necessary)		
245	Do vou have further co	mments regarding this s	ection?	
-	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your respons	e by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:	
	YES       NO       Not Applicable         If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Testing analysis.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
Item name Reference Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.4.3 Real Estate Risk

246	Were any material assumptions and simplifications used when providing data on Real Estate risk?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Real Estate the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of ad assumptions or simplifications.			
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
247	Did you have any significant issues you had in applying the technical specifications for Real Estate risk using the GAAP Plus approach?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe any significant issues you had in applying the technical specifications for R Estate risk using the GAAP Plus approach.		
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	



	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)
248		nces in the Real Estate risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in AAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting us and three for MAV)?
	Provide your response t	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	foresee and describe the discounting, differences in	e differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by n the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include luation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why.
	Please describe in summ direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
Insert text Insert text		Insert text
	Add additional rows as n	ecessary)
249	Do you have further com	nents regarding this section?
243		ncludes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that
		icidues comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that
	you provide which you co	nsider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).
	you provide which you co have a material impact or	
	you provide which you co have a material impact or	n any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).



Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.4.4 Currency Risk

250	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Currency risk?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Currency risk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
251	Did you specify any effect of management actions for Currency risk?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe any material management actions taken with respect to Currency risk.			
	Management actions Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		



	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
252	Are there material differences in the Currency risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in particular between the GAAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting options (two for GAAP Plus and three for MAV)?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include commentary on which valuation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name Description and rationale				
Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
253	Do you have further comments regarding this section?				
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).				
	Provide your response b	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.				
	Please describe in summ potential impact.	ary, but with sufficient	detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text			



(Add additional rows as r	necessary)	



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge.XXX
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.4.5 Asset Concentration Risk

254	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Asset Concentration risk?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Asset Concentration reprovide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.				
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	tional rows as necessary)			
255	Are there any issues or concerns with the incremental risk charges used for 2017 Field Testing purposes?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe the concerns.				
	Item name Description and rationale				
	Insert text Insert text				



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
256	Were any deviations from	any deviations from the BCBS definition of connected counterparties applied?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         NO       Not Applicable				
	-	e deviations along with an estimate of the impact of those variations. ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Item name	Description and rationale	1		
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)			
257	Are there material differences in the Asset Concentration risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in particular between the GAAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting options (two for GAAP Plus and three for MAV)?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	foresee and describe the p discounting, differences in	S, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you see and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by punting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include mentary on which valuation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.				
	Item name Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text			



	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
258	Do you have further comments regarding this section?					
	you provide which you	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).				
	Provide your respon	se by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:			
	YES	NO	ot Applicable			
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale			
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows a	as necessary)	·			



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge. <i>XXX</i>	
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.5 Credit Risk	

259	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Credit risk?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe all material assumptions or simplifications made for Credit risk, provide the rationale supporting the assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the assumptions or simplifications.				
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)			
260	Have you relied on a rating agency that is not listed in the specifications, but that qualifies as an ECAI under the Basel II Framework in your jurisdiction?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please provide the name of the rating agency or agencies.				
	Rating agency     Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text			



	(Add additional rows as necessary)					•
261	Have you relied on a rating agency that is not listed in the Technical Specifications and does not qualify as an ECAI in your jurisdiction?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable					
	<ul> <li>If YES, for each agency please provide:</li> <li>the name of the rating agency;</li> <li>the name of the national authority that regulates or has recognised the rating agency, along with a summary of how the authority regulates, or the criteria that the authority uses for recognising rating agencies;</li> <li>The rating agency's definition of default, including a link to where the definition is posted; and</li> <li>The rating agency's average three-year cumulative default rates by rating, the number of years of default data on which this average is based, the number of credits for each rating on which the average is based, and a link to where all of the information is posted.</li> <li>The ICS rating categories to which you have mapped the agency's ratings</li> </ul>					
	Rating agency	-	of regulatory authority and ary of regulation		Rating agency definition of default	
	Insert text	Insert text				-
	(Add additional rows as necessary) For each rating agency listed in the table above please complete the table below Rating agency name					
	3-year cumulative default rates by rating including years of default data		lumber of credits for each rating on which the average is based		ICS rating category mapping	
	Insert text	Insert text				



	(Add tables for each rating agency)						
262	Are the factors applied to the buckets appropriate?						
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:						
	YES	NO Not Applicable					
	If NO, please identify the f calibration of those factors	actors/buckets that are not appropriate and provide feedback on the s/buckets.					
	Factors/buckets	Factors/buckets Feedback					
	Insert text	Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)					
263	Is the methodology for determining the Credit risk charge as specified in the Technical Specifications appropriate?						
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:						
	YES NO Not Applicable						
	If NO, how do you sugges	t it could be improved?					
	Item name	Description and rationale					
	Insert text	Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)					
264	Are there material differences in the Credit risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in particular between the GAAP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting options (two for GAAP Plus and three for MAV)?						
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES	NO Not Applicable					



If YES, please identify the differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you foresee and describe the primary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by discounting, differences in the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include commentary on which valuation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why.

Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.

	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
265	increased default probabili non-default related factors historic price movements t Given that the IAIS is deve non-default related spread	e ICS risk charges captures the risk from widening credit spreads due to ity. However, it does not currently reflect the risk of spreads widening due to a, e.g. liquidity and other market related risks. The market has observed that cannot be entirely explained by changes in the default conditions. eloping a market based solvency regime, the IAIS is considering whether I risks should be included within ICS Version 2.0.		
	included in ICS Version 2.			
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	If YES, where should they be incorporated? For example should they form part of the capital requirement through a risk charge for market risk, credit risk, or other risk components or should be considered as separate requirements outside of the ICS, such as in a liquidity test, ORSA, or ComFrame? Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



266	If non-default related spread risks were to be incorporated into the ICS capital requirement, how should the risks be defined and calibrated?					
	ICS Component (e.g. market risk, credit risk, etc.) Definition of risk (e.g. what elements of risk a included and how can these be identified)		are	Principles of calibration	Data source or driver of risk to use in the calibration	
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)				
267	Do you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?	?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES	NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.					
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
	Item name	Reference	Desc	ription and rationale		
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	All sheets FT17.ICS.Risk Charge.XXX	
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.6 Operational Risk	

268	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on Operational risk?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
		material assumptions or simplifications made for Operational risk, provide e assumptions or simplifications, and indicate the impact of adopting the ions.	
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
269	Do you have feedback on the factors proposed in the template?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please provide that feedback.		
	Item name Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
270	Should the additional growth charge be applied at the total direct and total assumed level rather than by geography?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, comment on the a	ppropriateness of doing this.	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
271	Do you currently capture of	lata on Operational risk?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please comment on the following:		
	The type of data currently captured and why		
	<ul><li>How the collected data is used</li><li>Any plans to alter or amend the data collected and the manner to do so.</li></ul>		
	Item name	Description	
	Data currently captured Insert text		
	How the collected data is used		
	Plans to alter or amend the data collected		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
272	Do you currently capture of	lata on external Operational risk events?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		

	YES	NO	Not Applicable		
	If YES, please comme is used.	nt on the type of	on the type of data you collect or have access to and describe how the data		
	Type of data	Description	of how data is used		
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows a	s necessary)			
273			erational risk charges have been previously discussed (that is, e of the other risk charges of the ICS).		
	Are there any other me	ethods of calculat	ting the Operational risk charge that the IAIS should explore?		
	Provide your respons	se by placing an '	'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO	Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describ indicate the impact of a		nethod, provide the rationale supporting this method, and thod.		
	Please describe in sun direction of impact.	nmary, but with s	sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Item name	Description	and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows a	s necessary)			
274	Should the IAIS differ life (non-risk)-non-reta		rational Risk charge between the life (non-risk)-retail and		
	Provide your respons	se by placing an '	'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO	Not Applicable		



C			
	If Yes, please explain how the Operational Risk charge should be different for these two sources of business and provide justification for any difference.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
275	provided on written and ea	d" section of the Technical Specifications for Operational Risk, guidance is arned premiums. Do you have any feedback on this guidance and/or rifications that should be made in future Technical Specifications?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please provide tha	t feedback and/or the suggested clarifications.	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
276		ices in the Operational risk charge depending on the valuation approach, in AP Plus and MAV approaches, but also depending on the discounting is and three for MAV)?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	foresee and describe the p discounting, differences in	differences as reported in the Template or other differences that you orimary drivers of the differences (for example, are differences driven by the approach to the stress calculation or something else?). Also include uation approach you believe is more reflective of the risk and why.	



	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and ratio	onale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)		
277	Do you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?	
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Fiel Testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name Reference Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)		



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.Simulation
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	13.7 Aggregation / Diversification

278	The ICS Simulation Tool included in the Field Testing Template aggregates the individual risk charges using a series of correlation matrices.		
	Do you have any suggestion to improve the design and calibration of the correlation factors?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please provide spe	ecific suggestion(s) with rationale and supporting evidence.	
	Amendment	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
279	Do you have further comm	ents regarding this section?	
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		



Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	
(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)	



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT.17.Baseline.Jurisdictional
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	14 Baseline Jurisdictional Legal-Entity Capital Requirements

280	Were any material assumptions or simplifications applied (for any entity) in filling in FT.17.Baseline.Jurisdictional?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe, for each relevant entity, each material simplification or adjustment made, including an assessment of their materiality, and the rationale for making the assumption or simplification.			
	Please describe in s direction of impact.	summary, but with suff	ficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Entity	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows	s as necessary)		
281	Were any immaterial subsidiaries (across all jurisdictions) accumulated in the consolidation into the single line in FT.17.Baseline.Jurisdictional?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please specify how many subsidiaries are counted in that accumulation.			



282	Do you have further comments regarding this section?			
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			•
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:	
	YES	NO N	ot Applicable	
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			t
	Item name Reference Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.Baseline.Internal Model
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	15 Baseline Supplementary Internal Model Data

The purpose of this section is to enable Volunteer Groups to provide supplementary qualitative information (with respect to the quantitative data submission) to support the assessment of the appropriateness of calibrations of risk charges covered by the standard method. This will facilitate a better understanding of:

The reasons for differences in outcomes between the ICS standard method and risk charges determined by internal models at the same calibration level; and

The nature and scope of application of internal models used by Volunteers Groups

283	Does the Economic Balance Sheet reported have the same scope as the Insurance-related column on the worksheet <i>FT17.BCR</i> & <i>ICS.Balance Sheet</i> ?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If No, please describe the	e differences
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.	
	Difference in scope	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
284	Are there any material differences between the valuation of insurance liabilities in the Economic Balance Sheet and the five MAV and GAAP Plus discounting options?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable
		ose differences. (You may describe one MAV and one GAAP d summarise other differences referring to material elsewhere in



	this questionnaire.) Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Valuation option     Differences, Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)	
285	Are there any material differences between the valuation of assets in the Economic Balance Sheet and the MAV and GAAP Plus approaches?		
	Provide your response t	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe Please describe in summ direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Valuation approach	Differences, Description and rationale	
	MAV	Insert text	
	GAAP Plus		
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)	
286	Do any of the required capital amounts reported on the basis of VAR 99.5% and a one- year time horizon in FT.17.Baseline.Internal differ materially from the equivalent ICS risk charges determined according to the ICS Standard Method?		
	Provide your response t	NO Not Applicable	
	any material differences in	e key drivers of any material differences for each risk. Please also outline n your definition of each risk compared to that used by the IAIS. ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	



Risk	Key drivers of differences between IAIG reported capital amounts for regulatory purposes and ICS Standard Method capital amounts. Please also include outline of any material differences in the definitions of risks.
Mortality	
Longevity	
Morbidity/Disabilit	у
Lapse	
Expense	
Premium risk	
Claims reserve ris	;k
Interest Rate risk	
Equity risk	
Real Estate risk	
Currency Risk	
Credit Risk	
Asset Concentrat Risk	on
Operational Risk	
287 Did you report 'Othe	r risks not captured by the ICS'?
Provide your respo	onse by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
YES	NO Not Applicable
	cribe those risks and the materiality of each risk if more than one risk is . Please describe why the Volunteer Group chooses to model these
Risk name	Description and rationale



	Insert text	Insert text	
	Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
288	Does the ICS standard me model(s)?	ethod cover risks that you do not include in your internal economic capital	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please explain why	y those risks are not modelled in your Group	
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Risk name	Description and rationale of why the risk is not modelled	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
289	For the company actual internal modelling result where the risk measure and confidence interval varies from 99.5% VAR and the time horizon differs from 1 year, please detail the reasons why a different target calibration is used and outline any adjustments used when entering data on at 99.5% VAR over a 1 year time horizon.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item where different from ICS calibration	Reasons and adjustments when entering data on same calibration as ICS	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	



290	supervisory authority, p	ated the results come from a model whose use has been approved by a please provide details of the approval – has the approval been granted by th , a single legal entity supervisor or a college of supervisors?
	Model approved	Details of the approval
	Insert text	Insert text



## 18 Questions to support the drafting of the plan to consider possible future use of internal models in the ICS

The purpose of this section is to enable Volunteer Groups to provide additional information to support the development of the plan to consider other methods of calculation of the ICS capital requirement. This covers areas such as potential scope of application of internal models as well as controls, validation processes, or necessary requirements (governance, approval and use) for the use of other methods.

## 18.1 Model coverage - Scope of application of internal economic capital model(s)

291	What risks is/are your internal economic capital model(s) covering? Please specify.		
	Please describe in summary.		
	Risk covered by internal economic capital model	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
292	Are there any particular differences with respect to the definition of risks used in the ICS standard method? Please specify		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please comment on the differences in the definitions.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Risk	Difference between internal definition and ICS definition	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
293	Are all risks to which your model(s)? If not, why	Volunteer Group is exposed covered by your internal economic capital	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If NO, please explain why.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Risk not covered by internal economic capital model	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
294	Is there any risk not captu capital model(s)?	red in the ICS standard method but covered by your internal economic	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please indicate which risks and provide the rationale for inclusion in your internal economic capital model(s).		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Risk not captured in the ICS but covered in internal economic capital model	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
295	Are all legal entities included in the scope of your internal economic capital model(s)?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If NO, please explain why.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Legal entity or entities excluded	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
296		e of your group, is there any area for which the ICS standard method is nich and internal economic capital model(s) would be more appropriate?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe why internal economic capital model(s) would be more appropriate in the context of a consistent assessment of risk needed for the ICS.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	

## 18.2 Uses and purposes of internal economic capital model(s)



	nomic model(s) results used in your internal decision making process?
Provide your resp	onse by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
YES	NO Not Applicable
f YES:	
0	Are you using your economic model at the level of the group consolidate at the level of legal entities?
0	Please provide the most material examples of decisions to which the internal economic capital model(s) provide input to (eg capital assessme capital allocation, buying reinsurance protection, business performance
	assessment etc)
Please describe in direction of impact	assessment etc) summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality a
direction of impact	summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality a
lirection of impact Example	summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality an Description and rationale
lirection of impact Example	summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality an Description and rationale Insert text
direction Exan Inser	on of impact. nple t text

## 18.3 Model governance

The following questions relate to internal economic capital model(s). Where results are reported from more than one internal economic capital model and the answers to these questions vary, please clearly state those differences.

298	Who (function or role) is responsible for developing, maintaining and running the internal economic capital model(s), e.g. risk management, actuarial?
	Please describe in summary.



	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
299	Who (function or role) is a committee, executive com	pproving internally the use of internal economic capital model(s), e.g. risk mittee, board?	
	Please describe in summa	ıry.	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
300	How is the integrity of the internal economic capital model(s) ensured over time (eg version control, documentation, process in place to keep it up to date)?		
	Please describe in summary.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
301	Please describe briefly the process for updating the internal economic capital model(s) (including the governance aspect)?		
	Please describe in summary.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



(Add additional rows as necessary)

### 18.4 Model validation

The following questions relate to internal economic capital model(s). Where results are reported from more than one internal economic capital model and the answers to these questions vary, please clearly state those differences.

302	How do you ensure that the internal economic capital model results are appropriate? What kind of controls/process do you have in place to ensure the appropriateness of model results? Amongst the validation tests, has the model been back tested and, if so, how do the model's predictions compare to actual experience?			
	Please describe in summa	Please describe in summary.		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
303	How is the independence of the validation process ensured within the Volunteer Group?			
	Please describe in summary.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
304	Which validation tools do you use? Please describe them briefly and indicate how frequently they are used.			
	Please describe in summa	ry.		
	Validation tool	Description and frequency of use		
	Insert text	Insert text		



(Add additiona	al rows as necessary)	

## 18.5 Aggregation/diversification

The following questions relate to internal economic capital model(s). Where results are reported from more than one internal economic capital model and the answers to these questions vary, please clearly state those differences.

305	For each risk for which results are reported, is the calculation done at the consolidated level or are calculations at a more granular level aggregated; i.e. are there calculations based on region, legal entity, business line etc which are then aggregated?		
	Risk name	Level of Aggregation	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
	If the calculations are at a more granular level, please explain how they are aggregated and what diversification benefits are taken into account. If diversification benefits, are taken into account please explain the basis of calibrating those diversification benefits.		
306	Did you report a diversification benefit among risks on FT17.Baseline.Internal Models?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, how has that diver	sification benefit been calculated?	



The following questions relate to internal economic capital model(s). Where results are reported from more than one internal economic capital model and the answers to these questions vary, please clearly state those differences.

307	Is external data used in the development and/or validation of your full or partial internal models?		
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	If YES, please provide name (or link) to these data sources, provide brief description of how they are used and state whether or not source is publicly available. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Data source	Description , how they are used, publicly available or not	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
308	Has/have the model(s) be	en developed externally (e.g. vendor model)?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please name the vendors and versions of the model(s) being used.		
	Vendor	Description and version of the model	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
309	How do you assure the quality of the data used in your internal economic capital model(s)?		



	Please describe in summary.		
	Item name	Descripti	ion and rationale
	Insert text	Insert tex	xt
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)	
310	What are the main risk fac model(s)?	tors and d	rivers used for stresses in IAIG's internal economic capital
	Please describe in summa	ry.	
	Main risk factors and drivers	Descripti	ion and rationale
	Insert text	Insert tex	xt
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)	
311	What is the risk measure ( the measures are different	-	TVAR) and confidence level (e.g. 99.5%) that you are using (if ent risks please specify)?
	If using a common risk measure and confidence level across all risks, please provide the answer in the box below.		
	Risk measure and confidence level	Ra	ationale for choice
	Insert text	Ins	sert text
	Are the risk measures and	confidenc	ce levels different for different risks?
	Provide your response b	/ placing a	an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO	Not Applicable
	If YES, please specify how	those ris	k measures and confidence levels vary among different risks.



	Risk	Risk measure, confidence level and rationale for choice	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
312	To the extent explicit diversification benefits are taken into account in your internal economic capital model(s), how has the diversification benefit been calibrated? If empirical and/or external evidence (as opposed to judgment) was used in the calibration, provide a brief description of the data and/or sources used.		
	Please describe in summa	ary.	
	Method for calibration of diversification benefit	Description of data and/or sources used	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	

# 18.7 Other questions

313	What would be the benefits from using an internal economic capital model for the purposes of the ICS?		
	• What are the main benefits that you have identified from using an economic model for internal purposes (eg in your risk management)?		
	• What are the main benefits that you see or you would see from using an internal economic capital model(s) as part of the calculation of the regulatory capital requirement?		
	Please describe in summary.		
	Benefits of using an internal economic capital model	Description and rationale	



	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)	
314	What would be the challed ICS?	nges in using an internal economic capital model for the purposes of the	
	<ul> <li>What are the main (for internal purpo)</li> </ul>	n challenges that you have identified from using an economic model ses)?	
		n challenges that you see or you would see from using an internal model(s) as part of the calculation of the ICS capital requirement?	
	Please describe in summ	ary.	
	Challenges of using an internal economic capital model	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)	
315	5 Do you have further comments regarding this section?		
	Provide your response b	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe in	the table below	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.ReportingScope
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	Section 16 Scope of Group Section 3 – Scope of Application

316	For those Volunteer Groups that participated in the 2016 Field Testing, are there any differences in the scope of your group for the 2017 Field Testing compared to last year's exercise?			
	Provide your res	ponse by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please provide details of significant acquisitions and divestitures and their impact on the risk profile of your Volunteer Group.			
	Please describe in potential impact.	n summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	Acquisitions / divestitures	Description and impact		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional row	ws as necessary)		
317	Are there any material post-balance sheet date acquisitions and divestitures for your Volunteer Group?			
	Provide your res	ponse by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of ma and potential impact.			



	Acquisitions / divestitures	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rov	vs as necessary)
318		group structure chart with sufficient detail to support the data submitted in ope and this Questionnaire.
	-	er comments necessary for Field Testing Analysis Team to understand the group and its relationship to the data submitted?
	you provide which	e, this includes comment on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculation that you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to pact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).
	Provide your resp	conse by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please spe Testing analysis.	cify the item or issue and discuss it and the rationale for its relevance to the Field
		n summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rov	vs as necessary)
319	Was any significant judgement exercised in determining the level at which the group consolidated balance sheet should be prepared?	
	Provide your resp	conse by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable



	If YES please explain how the judgement was made. In particular, if a choice was made between the consolidated balance sheet of an insurance holding company of an insurance group and the consolidated balance sheet of a financial holding company of a financial conglomerate, how was this choice made? And if there was any ambiguity as to which entity was the head of the insurance group or head of the financial conglomerate, how was this ambiguity resolved?Item nameDescription and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows a	s necessary)		
320	Have additional entities been included or excluded because the ICS valuation consolidation criteria is based on regulatory requirements (such as submissions under the GAAP Plus valuation basis that is based on the European Solvency II Directive) rather than on the jurisdictional GAAP accounting consolidation standard that is used to prepare the group consolidated balance sheet (the starting point for both MAV and GAAP Plus valuation bases)?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please provide details of such included or excluded entities and whether the relevant data provided has been audited.			
	Included / excluded entity	Description and rationale	Relevant data audited? (Y/N)	
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows a	s necessary)		
321	Are there any material related entities that may be a potential source of risks to insurance operations (see paragraph 7 of the Technical Specifications), but are not reported on the consolidated balance sheet as financial instruments, equity method investments or fully consolidated entities?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:         YES       NO         NO       Not Applicable			



	If YES, please provide details of such material related entity and the potential impact if the entity had been included in the consolidated data submitted.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact			
	Material related Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows a	as necessary)		
322	Have any related entit or similar reasons?	ties been excluded from the scope of the group owing to materiality, practicality,		
	Provide your respon	se by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe the nature of the exclusions. If in aggregate all excluded entities' gross assets represent more than 5% of the group's gross assets, 5% of profits or may require the group to absorb losses in excess of the capital contributed, please describe the nature of the excluded entities and the risk that they could pose to the group. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact			
	Related entity	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
323	With respect to the 'Consolidation technique' column in FT17.ReportingScope, are there any material related entities in which the Volunteer Group has an interest of more than 20% but less than or equal to 50% (i.e. including joint ventures and joint operations) that are not recorded as investments under the equity method? (For instance, if alternatively they are fully consolidated, proportionally consolidated, or recorded as financial instruments)?			
	Provide your respon	se by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		

	YES	NO		Not Applicable		
	If YES, please provide details of such entities, how were they are recorded and the rationale.					
	Material related entity	Descriptior	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows	as necessar	y)			
324	On a best efforts basis, for the material related entities recorded as investments using the equit method, would applying the ICS capital requirements by looking through to the owned proportion of underlying assets and liabilities of the entity generate a materially different result?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please describe the significant drivers of the differences in capital requirement charges.					
	Description of Entity/Investment		Key drive	er of capital requirement difference		
	Insert text		Insert text			
	(Add additional rows	as necessar	y)			
325	If you answered 'Other' in the 'Valuation basis' column in FT17.ReportingScope for any entity, what other valuation bases are used to report the net assets or gross assets in the Template for the legal entities within your Volunteer Group?					
	Provide your respor	ise by placin	g an 'x' in	the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO		Not Applicable		
	If YES, please provid	e details of t	he valuatio	on bases.		



	Valuation basis	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)		
326	Do you have further com	nents regarding the section of 'Scope of Group'?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



# 20 Supplementary data collection (national government exposures)

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.Sovereign
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	17 Supplementary Data Collection (National Government Exposures)

327	Did you have any significant issues in applying the Technical Specifications for this section?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please describe any significant issues you had in applying the Technical Specifications for this section.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
328	Do you have further comments regarding this section?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
		item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical e, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the	
	Please describe in summa potential impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	



text	Insert text	
	text	text Insert text



21 Supplementary data collection (investment segments)

FT Template Sheets referred to in this section:	FT17.Investment segmentation
Key FT Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	18 Supplementary Data Collection (Segmentation of investments)

329	Overall, did you have any significant issues in applying the Technical Specifications for this section?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe any significant issues you had in applying the Technical Specifications for this section.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
330	Did you utilise any specific definitions, concrete measures, and/ or other considerations for purposes of applying the criteria prescribed for strategic equity (for example, joint activity thresholds for determining 'durable link')?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe in summary below.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
331	Did you utilise any specific definitions, concrete measures, and/ or other considerations for purposes of applying the specific criteria prescribed for private equity (for example, determining correlation of returns between the equity investment and other assets)?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe in summary below.				
	Item name Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	s necessary)			
332	Did you utilise any specific definitions, concrete measures, and/ or other considerations for purposes of applying the specific criteria prescribed for privately placed debt (for example, applying key ratio thresholds for determining if the financial state of the debtor is sufficiently strong)?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe in	summary below.			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
333		nt issues in providing the data requested for the fixed-income regulatory capital for a financial institution issuer?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				

	YES	NO	Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe in	summary below			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as n	ecessary)			
334	Did you utilise any specific definitions, concrete measures, and/ or other considerations for purposes of applying the specific criteria prescribed for infrastructure corporates (for example, analysing the volatility of revenues generated by the infrastructure assets to assess predictability)?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES     NO     Not Applicable       If YES, please describe in summary below.				
	Item name Descriptio		d rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as n	lecessary)			
335	Did you utilise any specific definitions, concrete measures, and/ or other considerations for purposes of applying the specific criteria prescribed for infrastructure projects (for example, testing the infrastructure project can meet its financial obligations under sustained stressed conditions)?				
	Provide your response b	by placing an 'x' in	n the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO	Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe in	summary below			
	Item name Description and rationale				



	Insert text	Insert text	
	Add additional rows as r	necessary)	
336	Are you aware of any data sources that may be useful for possibly developing appropriate capital risk charge calibrations for any of the proposed investment segments for ICS Version 2.0?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe in	n summary below.	
	Item name	Description and ratio	onale
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)	
337	Do you have further com	ments regarding this se	ection?
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you provide which you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Field Testing Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and		
	potential impact.	Reference	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text	



(Add additional rows as necessary)



The IAIS has developed a strawman proposal for a holistic treatment of taxes under the ICS. Volunteer Groups are asked to provide feedback and comments on the outlined proposal as well as possible alternative approaches.

### **Valuation**

### **Current ICS placeholder**

As the ICS balance sheet (under MAV or GAAP Plus) is reporting economic value, the amounts are reflected on an after tax basis. Deferred tax amounts on the reported GAAP must be adjusted to reflect differences in valuation of assets and liabilities between that reported GAAP and MAV/GAAP Plus. MOCE is not adjusted for tax.

### **Strawman Proposal**

• Jurisdictional GAAP Balance Sheet - Use accounting rules as guiding principle for valuation of deferred tax assets (DTAs) as reported in audited, consolidated GAAP balance sheets. In general terms this would follow the rules as specified under IFRS where a "probable" utilisation test is applied to deferred tax assets. It is proposed that there will be no discounting of deferred taxes and the effective tax rate follow jurisdictional GAAP accounting rules.

338	When evaluating the utilisation of DTAs under your jurisdictional GAAP rules, how far out do you project future profits? Please provide any detailed qualitative and/or qualitative criteria you use to determine the length of projections used.
	Response
	Insert text
339	Do you contemplate future business versus future profits on current business? Please describe how this is contemplated in the utilisation analysis.
	Response
	Insert text

• **GAAP to GAAP Plus/MAV Adjustment** - For the deferred tax adjustment derived from adjusting GAAP balance sheet items to GAAP Plus or MAV, two options are being proposed on the granularity of the utilisation assessment of the tax impact.



- Top down For the deferred tax adjustment (from GAAP to GAAP Plus/MAV) no net DTA will be recognized over and above the GAAP reported amount. DTA will only be recognized up to the DTL, using a weighted avg. effective tax rate
- Bottom up Tax jurisdiction level utilisation analysis of the deferred tax adjustment. Note that this requires significant detail that may not be easy to obtain, thus the top down approach is currently favored.

340	Please provide any feedback or comments on the two proposals.
	Response
	Insert text
341	Please provide any alternative approaches that you would propose.
	Response
	Insert text

• **Tax impact on MOCE** – It is not clear at this time whether MOCE should be treated as a temporary tax difference or a prudential adjustment which has no fiscal effect as this may hinge on how the consistent MOCE is calculated and defined under the ICS in its final form.

342	Please provide any comments or feedback on the potential tax impact on MOCE as tied to the definition.
	Response
	Insert text
343	How does your firm plan on reflecting the risk adjustment under IFRS 17 under deferred tax rules in IAS 12. Will it be considered as a temporary or permanent difference?
	Response
	Insert text

# Capital Resources

### **Current ICS placeholder**

AIS

Current specifications simply state that an assessment may be required to evaluate the realisability of the deferred tax asset for solvency purposes.

### **Strawman Proposal**

- We would propose no further narrowing of the range of practice on realisability/utilisation under Capital Resources because it would require rerunning the utilisation calculation
- There will be an overall limit on DTAs using a basket approach including other balance sheet items.
- It is also proposed (assuming that stresses are tax affected) to include any DTA resulting from capital requirement stress as an addition to Tier 2 capital resources (in the basket) instead of a reduction of the capital requirement, on the basis that stress DTA should not receive more favourable treatment than the GAAP reported DTA.
- To the extent that there is any remaining DTA, a factor (risk charge) may be a consideration

344	Please provide any comments or feedback on the proposal above for capital resources.
	Response
	Insert text

### **Capital Requirements**

### **Current ICS placeholder**

The ICS capital requirement stresses are also calculated on an after tax basis and thus create the need for an additional adjustment to deferred taxes in the context of determining the capital requirement. In 2016 Field Testing this adjustment was calculated after stress and including the impact of diversification and operational losses.

### **Strawman Proposal**

- It is proposed that utilisation/realisation calculation for the stressed DTA would need to be at a tax jurisdictional (and potentially tax entity) level in order to be credible, which given the ICS is not calculated at an entity level makes such an approach difficult at this stage
- Options:



- 1. Unless it can be determined how stresses could be applied to the entity level (bottom up approach), Stress DTA is \$0 with a global calibration of the capital requirement to compensate.
  - It is being considered to link the calibration to the level of the capital ratio and the group effective tax rate; the idea is to recognize post stress decreases in net DTL to the full extent and link post stress increases in net DTA to the capital ratio as this reflects that the utilisation of net DTA by means of future profits becomes less probable the lower the post stress capital ratio or
- 2. Apply an overall haircut to the stress DTA for imprecision and use the entity level utilisation ratios from pre-stress balance sheet to reduce the remainder

345	Please provide any feedback or comments on the two proposed options for the capital requirement.
	Response
	Insert text
346	While it is proposed that utilisation/realisation calculation for the stressed DTA would need to be at a tax jurisdictional (and potentially tax entity) level in order to be credible, could you suggest a potential simplification for how this could be applied in practice for the purpose of ICS.
	Response
	Insert text
347	Please also provide any suggestions for an alternative (practical approach) for stress DTA utilisation/realisation analysis using a bottom up approach that addresses issues such as tax offset /fiscal unity considerations and diversification impact.
	Response
	Insert text



END OF DOCUMENT